Prospective witnnesses- the Autopsy doctors Drs. dumes, Boswell, Finck

1/6/69 Harold Weisberg

In my original analysis I made the inexcussable mistake of believing it was possible for the farren Commission to be right about anything. So, when these doctors were had into testimony that established on the record that each had competence in forensic pathology, I never dreams this would be false. It was, Only Finck, who was not in charge, had had any meaningful experience with gunshet wounds at all. These men were of und-ubted competence in ordinary hospital deaths but not in crimes of violence. Incredible as it seems, it is exactly as I rut it in THITE-EACH II: the Freeident got an autopsy unworthybof a Bowery bum; his accused escassin got an autopsy befitting the Precident of the United States.

Then Commander James J. Hungs was the chief pethologist at the Betheads Navel Ecspital. His next-in-charge MT then-Commander J. Thornton Boswell, Ecswell waited a decent time to return to civilian life. Eunes whiled until he made captein, then also become a civilian, waiting long enough to appear on the OES videowhitewach as a Ceptain. His address can be easily found. It is in the midwest. Boswell is in suburban Mashington, living and working asserbe Nevel Hospitel. He is pathologist at Suburban Hospital, is Montgomery County. His home and office are both at 8600 01d Georgetern Road, phone 301/016-7449 and 018-6000 (off). Colonel Pierre Finck, when last I checked up on him, has a phone listed at the Fentagen. He is, or at least was, chief of the Wounds Bellistics Branch. He reemed to gread most of his time cut of the country, in Vietnem, where even his office told me they dian't know his ad rear. Since the JEK assessingtion, he seems to have developed a weakness for nervous breakdowns. Dr. Jack Nichols was my source on this. It is possible he has kept up with Firck and Humas. Through his pethologists directories I am certain he can supply the addresses that are current. Of course, if Finck has been steying that in the US laterly, he can be reached at his home, 7541-14nw, RE RA 3-4154 This is near Walter Reed Medical Center, the Army's equivalent of Eethesda Naval Hospital (RA3-1000). Jack here more or less kept tabs on these men. I suggest that if you have any questions about their careers subsequent to the assessination, he may know the enswers. To keep you from lo king it up, his phones in Keness City see: NI2-9400 (home) and AD6-5252, ert. 350 (off). He is spending most of his time at his office. Be is working on a manuscript. I didn't ask him, but it seems to me possible he might be at the New Criesas meetings Wecht is attending the 17th and 18th, and it is not beyond possibility that the others, all or some, may be there. The registrations will tall you. If they are, it simplifies issuing subpenas.

Possibly because I have no separate recollection of Sylvis Meagher's writing I believe that the chapter "The Doctors and the Autopsy", together with the one before it, "The Number of Shots", in WHITEMASH, are, although the first, still the definitive publiched work on the autopsy. I emplified it in port 2 of WHITE-WAS II. Since them I have written POCT MORTEM, which has (with the addition of new asterial discovered since it was completed 9/67) all that has since come to light, and that I think is the most shocking stuff in out/history?. I'd prefer that this not be gone into at the trifel, for 1 have a fortune in time and money tied up in that manuscript. If it is necessary, chay, if you do it with me as the witness, testifying to the document I discovered and how I discovered them (the hottest misfiked, destroyed every place they are supposed to exist in the files). I will have the manuscript and the documents with me. It depends on your sporosch and what can be done in a court of law, but I repeat what I wrote in the memo on the Parklend doctors, having Specter as a witness could be quite something. I sure wish I could question him!

Of the three autopsy doctors, if you have to stick to one, I recommend Humos. Especially with his subsequent statements, which I have, he will come epart or count perjury. Either way, he will help. I have, for example, the text of the CHO show, where he lied. It would help to know what and how you intend to do and go into, for there is a limit to how such I can carry. I could take a briefdeso full of stuff on just the sutepsy. In soying above that my chapter remains the definited work, I do not went tO imply there is nothing else. I suggest only that if there is time for but limited reading, this should be it. I shave already recommended the appendix weakt wrote from Thompson. Epstein, who visualizes the most swful conspiracy any ariter has suggested, the rewriting of the sutopy after Jamuary 20, nonatheless is quite wrong, for he just didn't and doesn't know the material. The sutopy was done over immediately, for the reasons set forth in FDET-MARTEM. In a sense, this and what relates is much worse, If you have to bo into, we can discuss it when I am there or if dim eases up to argue in District of Solumbia court.

I do not have the Houts book, which is on Helperin. I do have the magazine piece, which goes into that part, and I have and sill bring the "ournal of the American Madical Association issue on it. Helperin assumest what he does not know and what is false and from there, wrong as he is, nonatheless suite rightly clobbers the sutopey dectors as incompetent because they lacked the training and experience for that kind of autopsy. However, he assumes the correctness of the besic Commission conclusions, like Epstein, without exemining them at all.

I class have in POST-MONTEM Specter's carafully-dated notes of his predeposition questioning of Hames (and, I think, Boswell). My recollection is that this and the subsequent testimony he edduced are sufficient for the charge of perjury and its subornation. In any event, there is little that is crucial in the Aumos testimony that will stand cross-examination, particularly against the testimony of the Secret Service agents. If we add to this the observations of the FBI agents present, "uses is in dg p trouble. "t is my opinion he will go to any length to avoid being a witness. a cannot be a decent man and survive it, personally or professionally, without a plaint of "mis culps", to complain that he was not adequate to the task and it should not have been assigned to him. I have also Spector's notes on his questioning of the FBI agents at the autopsy, Siebert and O'Neill, whomhe thereafter was careful not to call as witnesses. I think it would bo good to try and subpane these two, but if such testimony is permitted, it is I who discovered their report, though it first appeared, by a strange series of unexpected events, in the appendix to the replat of "Inquest". Inhave conducted what Inthink con qualify as an exhoustive investigation of the sutopey. I think it required as much time as a doctorate.

But, if you restrict yourself to what is published, syou can utterly destroy the autopey. The Motes that were burned - and Muses burned them - are not the notes Make pinnes for headlines, knowing, for I tought him in August 1986, that he is wrong. The autopsy notes were never burned. I have traced them from thes eutopsy banch to the witness chair, with the appropriate receives, which I have. What was burned was the first holograph autopsy. Changes were then made in the second holograph, often substantive, not editorial (I have made a word by word

comperison of all 15 pages), and one pivotal one that was not made by fumes. This is where he conitted what Perry told him the day after the essessination, when he was rewriting the draft: the Freeident's mack wound was a front wound. Everybody means to have missed this obvious thing. 't is in the fourth peragraph. I have a factimile in the appendix to METREWASH. Even so, Humas and 'inck both said, and Beswell, that there could have been no single-bullet explanation of the non-fatel injuries. He cannot explain the rear non-fatel wound, not when he is examined (is there any doubt he would be ruled unfriendly?), and oak Michols has a wry simple and totally devastating thing on this I am sampled of myself for not tumbling to, for it requires no madical knownladge- I just missed it. If you can get Hill against the agents on the stand, you should wind up with something real good, for what they will say is entirely other than he will, and he is their original source...He noter saw the pictures, and he can bardly have used the evailable X-rays during his examination of the body. Yet he said he "re-examined" the pictures when they were put in the Archives (I have the contract and correspondence with the executor, Archivist (I really thick is is what led him to retire serly), etc. Two betches of pictures didn't come out, incredible as this may seem when you know the very stiff federal prerequisites for medical photographer. The pictures and prints returned to the government do not add up against what is recorded as having been taken, sto. g

To not allow yourself to be borby-tropped on the X-rays. They are very significant, but not in the way you think fromwhat you have said. They cannot sho the path of bullets through the body or where they entered or exited, unless they hit bons, for tissue does not show in X-rays, bone does. They will show metal, for example, which is significant. The pictures, I think, are the think, and if I wave to make a wild guess, I'd say that the two betches that did not turn out include the crucial ones on entry and exit. Now there has been extensive inaccurate writing shout the pictures and X-rays. In fact, since their "return ". I recell no single accurate article, including that by "ise in the Sat. Ave. Fost. The truth is not that "obby refused them- he didn't; not that Warren prohibited it; quite the contrary fund I have all of this from the suppressed stuff). The existing documentary evidence points at Mankin, for at one point Warren ordered him to arrange an examination. The "omnission never even considered eaking" obby shout the pictures and i-rays until after it hed taken its sutopey testimony. It never in d any direct contact on this at all. Again, i have the documents in FOST MORTEM.

Perenthetically, I think a subrene to the Archives for the suppressed port of Jackie's testimony, that on the wounds, is in order. She was the only close shewitness in the world, the only one close enough to him to see what they looked like. I suggest it is not good tests that led the Commission (sgain, I suggest this means Senkin or Senkin-Specter) voluntarily to expunge her testimony on this from the printed evidence. I have tried without success to get access to it under ony circumstances and been ionied, repeatedly. Int the legal sense, I have exhausted my administrative remedies.

If you ask Humes to repost under onth what he has said in public you noth him in perjury, for he never now the pictures until he was shown a set in the fall of 1965. The best he could then have said is that they show what he then rec alled having seemen his autopsy banch.

Contrary to what is generally thought of his testimony and the misrepresentation of it in the Haport, he never did say that he traced the path of the resr-entry bucket through the body. He merely assume it. This is not only his testimony, but it is consthing else I have from a supressed official source in FORT YONNER. With the body before them, there was no such path, and they took the body apart, which is what one does in an exterpsy. And, if you had gotten the stills I tried to get you to get from LIFE, he would even have trouble with his testimony shout the bead wound, the fetal one or ones, for I am certain there were two head shots, as examination of the movie shows, as you saw when I showed it to you. Here I am not the bing about what Thempson lifted from "ercus, which is too technical for the jury to get resily. There is a visible forward movement before the 513 shot. Thet, of course, shown to bim, should also throw him if he is asked to explain it in terms of his eutopsy report.

This is too heavy, for I have to leave for town and want to get it typed so I can get it in today's mail. We have a prediction for cleat, which will make driving through the mountains uncongenial. It may seen chaotic. Let me summarize that I can supply any detail you may mant. Humes did the suppay and wrote the report on it. Boswell and Finck were his essistants. They did little. Doswell will go to pieces on the body chart, with what I have. He is the one who did it. not Eumpa, as Mork and thepthers say. I had a reporter friend interview him and I have what he then said, which is ridiculous and would ruin him on examination (if he retracts it, he can say only what is more dumaging). Humas wrote the autopay first draft the days after the body examination. "e called the Farkland doctors the intervening day, twice, not the once he testified to (and Perry confirmed this to may telling me the estensible purpose of each call, now, please remember any active tion on "NOT MONTHL Humes rewrote them autopsy report in his own home, in the recreation room, burning the original in the fireplace (and was never asked why, nor was this ever frowned upon). When?

AFTIN HI. MEN OCTALD HAD FITE MUNUERED AND IT NOULD NOT HAVE TO "ITHITAND OROSS EXAMINATION!

His mistake was in not rewriting the second draft, in acknowledging that he had burned any. If the changes he made in the second draft are examined, they will clearly show he again changed the story. So dimineted all but one reference to entry (his synonym, as the testimony chave; was "puncture").

To a degree this is covered by the approval of his certification of having done this. He did turn in his notes, he fot a receipt for them, the admiral in charge of the entire movel medical installation turned them over to book of the Secret Service, Bayak turned them over to Burkley, and I have the receipts. They specify the prosector's notes. This is also clear in his testimony, when he held in his head Merores of his own notes and motestified. I have extensive correspondence on this, with the Archives and, I think, Secret Service. I will have them with me. These notes are required to be in File 271 and Exhibit 397 and are in meither. They, too, should be subpresed. They are the most important part of the autopay examination with the possible exception of the pictures. They may be more important, if less drematic, and they are not subject to the technicel objections of a "contract".

What is even worse about all of this I will not but it writing, but I have a copy of a document all copies of which were though destroyed. It is, in some ways, perhaps the most shocking a ngle one in our history. Everything wrong cas approved. Leal MacBath. This I got after I completed the text of the book. That is shout 140 single-space, legal-sized pages. I will have it with me. And I will durfer sh body who leaks any of iths secret contents. Vince and Bud both agree it is by for the most significant book yet.

Again to surverize in a different way, proper examination of "index, is the slone of the sutopey doctors, especially brecketed with Wecht and Nichels, should establish that there had to have been a conspiracy, that his testimony and sutopey processed are wrong, that there had to have been more shots then conceded, that they had to have come from both front and back -even that his sutopey report so stated until after Osvald was murdered. This is one of the things that he connot live with, I am certain, the rewriting of his report when he knew it would hot be cross-examined by Oswa d's lawyer, for the dead Oswald whild not be in court, would have no lawyer. Here again 1 wont to remind you of the deair fability of having Specter as a witheas and the slavenstive, if it is a) legally possible and b) really necessary to your purposes, of having we testify to the documents I discovered and read them or enter them into avidence.

Here I have divided interests. This would certainly be the end of any possible belief in the Warron "eport or the insceence of these involved in it and the sutopsy. 't sould also be ruinout to my personal interest and cost me a considerable amount of time and monay invested, much more than you can imagine.

Still in strictest confidence, I add this: There were E5 military eutopsy witnesses, none of whom were called as witnesses. There were no ciwilien witnesses at all. Everyccivilian was kept out of the room. The only exceptions are the Secret Service agents guarding the Prosident's body and the FEI agents keeping them honest. The FEI agents were not called as witnesses and their report was suppressed. (There are also indications of an earlier report by them that I cannot find.