Shaw Called Liar in State Summation

From News Dispatches NEW ORLEANS, Feb. 28the trial of Clay Shaw was neaded for the jury tonight with chief prosecutor James L. Alcock contending the State had proved Clay Shaw "an absolute liar and absolutely guilty" of conspiring to murder President John F. Kennedy.

Alcock asked for a verdict of guilty against the 55-yearold Shaw, charged with criminal conspiracy. Conviction would mean 1 to 20 years in prison for Shaw, who was arrested and charged two years ago-March 1, 1967. Under Louisiana law, nine of the 12 jurors are sufficient for conviction or acquittal.

Final arguments began this afternoon as Judge Edward A. Haggerty Jr. of Criminal District Court denied the defense's second motion for a directed verdict of acquittal after the State finished its rebuttal.

Alcock recited much of the State's case in his summation to the jury.

"We have here parts of a puzzle," Alcock said. "I am going to try to bring together the parts."

At the outset of the trial, Alcock said, Shaw had been proven a liar unworthy of your belief." Witnesses for the State linked Shaw with Lee Harvey Oswald and David W. Ferrie, Alcock said, although Shaw denied knowing them. Oswald was named by the Warren Commission as Kennedy's lone assassin, but the State contends that Oswald and Ferrie, both now dead, plotted with Shaw to assassinate Kennedy in 1963.

Alcock's argument was full of references to coincidences and curious events. He said, for example, that when Shaw first denied guilt after his arrest, his statement referred to Harvey Lee Oswald-the same way Oswald's name was listed on an application for a nospital job at Jackson, La., according to a State witness.

The 66th and last witness in the trial's 33rd day was a handwriting expert who said it was "highly probable" that Shaw signed the name Clay

Bertrand in a guest register. District Attorney Jim Garrison, who showed up only a few other times in the courtroom, questioned the expert, Elizabeth McCarthy Bailey of Boston, who said she uses her maiden name in her business.

See SHAW, A5, Col. 1.

She testified that she had compared Shaw's signature with the signature "Clay Bertrand," which the State contends was Shaw's alias in conspiring with Oswald and Ferrie.

Garrison asked Mrs. Bailey if she had reached a conclu-sion. She said, "Yes." "Would you state your con-

clusion." "It's my opinion that it is highly probable that Clay "Shaw signed the name Clay

"Bertrand." by Her testimony clashed with that of a defense witness, an

*FBI expert, who had testified that Shaw positively did not , sign the "Bertrand" name in a New Orleans airport VIP guest register in 1967.

"Other Witnesses

Two other rebuttal witnesses testified for the state today. Dr. John M. Nichols, a Univer-

said it would have been im- sect the throat tract to ascerpossible for a bullet to pass tain the path of the bullet. He through Kennedy's neck, as a said this should have been defense witness said, without done. His testimony was aimed fracturing a bone.

topsy team, had said X-rays entered the back. showed no bones were hit by

SHAW, From A1 |sity of Kansas pathologist, | autopsy team's failure to disat backing the State's conten-Col. Pierre A. Finck, an tion that Kennedy was killed Army pathologist who was a in a crossfire. The autopsy member of the Kennedy au-finding was that both shots

Peter Schuster, the bullet, one of two that rapher for the Orleans Parish a photog-Coroner, testified he believed Nichols also challenged the there was a man "holding

something" in a blown-up pho-cerned," s a i d photographer tograph of the grassy knoll Peter Schuster. "He appearsarea of Dealey Plaza in Dallas appears-to be holding somewhere Kennedy was shot Nov. thing."

Oswald fired the fatal shots.

Points Out Figure

22, 1963. The spot is some dis-tance from the Texas School pictures by amateur photog-

Book Depository from which raphers presented by the State the Warren Commission said to back up Garrison's claim that Kennedy was killed in a crossfire.

The facts of the actual as-"Here I think you can see sassination had nothing direct-a man, his hair, his eyes, his ly to do with Garrison's charge whole face, as far as I'm con-that Shaw conspired in 1963

to kill Kennedy with Oswald defense summation, Alcock sination and saw Shaw hand and Ferrie. said today: Murder Probed

But the State went deeply dict) or any other witness the into the Kennedy murder to State of Louisiana put on. try to prove Garrison's contry to prove Garrison's con- You do not find bank presi-tentions the Warren Commis- dents in the company of Lee sion missed and other Govern- Harvey Oswald or David Ferment officials suppressed the rie."

Oswald what appeared to be "I do not apologize for money. Bundy said he was at Vernon Bundy (a narcotics ad- the waterfront to take narcotics and had been a narcotics user for some time.

Shaw, retired director of the New Orleans 'International Trade Mart, smoked cigarettes and looked past Acock "real facts" that Kennedy was killed by a "crossfire" and a conspiracy. As if anticipating the later waterfront before the assas-

W. Post 3/1/69