#### LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

#### Discussion of Garrison, Trial Concluded

I find Evelyn Favre's suggestion that I resign from the district attorney's office (Lettersto the Editor, March 11) rather emotional and unfounded. Since I do not consider myself or any other member of the district attorney's office guilty of any unethical conduct whatever, I feel that I need not apologize for my participation in the prosecution of Clay L. Shaw. I would further add that I consider it a privilege to be associated with the only public official in the country who has the courage to canduct a legitimate investigation into the assassina-tion of John F. Kennedy.

In calling for everyone's resignation, except our janitor's, Miss Favre overlooks some rather significant facts of law; namely, that a Grand Jury returned an indictment against the defendant, a three-judge panel ruled that there was probable cause to bind the defendant

over for trial. . . As our legal system would have it, a jury of 12 men heard the evidence and returned a verdict of not guilty. They did this not because they thought the case was a fraud, but because in their minds the evidence presented by the state did not prove the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of the crime of conspiracy to murder the President.

As an attorney, I accept the jury's verdict, but I hardly think that their decision necessitates my, or anyone else's, resignation from office. On the contrary, I feel that a failure to prosecute under the above circumstances would be tantamount to malfeasance on the district attorney's part.

I might also add that in spite of the fact that some of the news media would have the people believe that Jim Garrison is the reincarnation of Adolf Hitler, the record will show that defendant's rights were never

violated at any time and that even the Supreme Court of the United States could find no reason to prevent Jim Garrison from prosecuting Clay Shaw.

ANDREW J. SCIAMBRA, Assistant District Attorney.

Editor, States-Item:

I am responding to the many people who have sent in letters taking issue with your criticism of Dist. Atty. Jim Garrison.

The main issue to these people, seems to be that Mr. Garrison is beyond criticism because he was only performing his duties as a prosecutor; and that it was the Grand Jury that indicted Clay L. Shaw. I would like to point out that the Grand Jury is not made of lawyers or persons with legal background, and that these people have to depend upon the legal advice and urgings of the district attorney for direction. The district attorney is the man who tells them what constitutes a case in the eyes of the law. This is what happened in the Shaw case.

Point No. 2 is, that having convinced the Grand Jury that they had a case, Mr. Garrison then had a clear path to go on with his so-called case against Mr. Shaw. How he ever expected to have the jury bring in a "guilty" verdict, I don't know. It is difficult to explain why he even brought the case to court with his little bit of so-called evidence. I question his motives

and reasoning.

Finally, I say to these people who take issue with your editorial for the resignation of Mr. Garrison: What if you, an innocent person, could be brought before the Grand Jury on such scanty information and be charged with this crime? Would you be able to hold up for two years under this persecution? What if you were a person of lesser means, and unable to prove yourself innocent of this charge? There is a right in

America to be termed "innocent until proven guilty", but not in New Orleans, where you are guilty, until proven innocent. How many people of low means have gone to prison because of being unable to prove themselves innocent in New Orleans? RICHARD D. MANUEL.

Modesto, Calif.

Editor, States-Item:

Why condemn Jim Garrison? He wanted answers to questions which he and the American people have a right to know. Your resentment only makes you seem involved.

It is unfair and un-American to ask for his resignation. Give this man due credit for speak-

ing out.

MRS. E. R. OLSON.

Editor, States-Item:

The recent Shaw trial causes us all to sleep a little uneasy. The shadow of accusation is hovering over us like an evil specter of doom. Every time we see an officer of the law looking our way, we can feel his heavy hand on our shoulder saying we are under arrest for some imagined crime. And these imagined crimes may be many.

Unfeeling, cold, calculating minds of a district attorney and his staff seem to be a very fertile grounds for false charges. Without any curb on such people we are all subject to the ruthlessness of these socalled public servants.

What type of Grand Jury would issue an indictment on the flimsy evidence presented by Jim Garrison. . .

How do you repay a man for two years of his life? How do you recompense him for the anguish and concern over such a false accusation? . . .

So citizens, say nothing or do nothing and hope the eyes of the elected "protector" do not fall on you with accusation.

ED HAYNES.

# More Newspapers the Shaw

(Editor's Note: Since publication of a roundup of editorial comment on the Clay Shaw conspiracy trial on Saturday, the volume of such editorials has grown. More are presented today.)

### Journal The Louisville Courier-

rison's case against case at all. If a prosecutor Shaw turned out to be no can sully a man's reputation, willynilly, and get away with District Attorney Jim Garour system of criminal Clay

justice is dangerously flawed.

If a prosecutor can all but trict attorney. tions of an irresponsible disno more evidence than Mr. ruin a man financially with is safe Garrison presented, no one from the depreda-

not get away with it. The president of the American Bar Association has called for an investigation, and he Garrison . . . ciplinary action against Mr. ever, that Mr. Garrison will wants the Association to consider dis-There is a chance, how-Louisiana

# The Kansas City Star

in the extreme, and we bein the interests of its own into the matter very closely the legal profession to look (Shaw trial) has been odd lieve it is the obligation of . . . The entire business

a circus, the principal vic-tims are the people who rely on the American system of Justice. Whenever a court becomes

# The Charlotte Observer

The exoneration of Clay Shaw takes care of District Attorney Jim Garrison's assassination" of President John F. Kennedy two years claim that he "solved the

his case against Shaw was whether the trial judge years, the biggest issue in claims over the ago ... After all of Garrison's last two

The evidence was that weak should let it go to a jury.

There is no point in be-laboring Garrison at long range for this fiasco. The handle him. . . . public members of the bar and the he represents can

## The Baltimore Sun

Shaw in New Orleans as a charade, and said he would ask the Louisiana Bar Association to consider disciplinary action against Jim scribed the trial of Clay ican Bar Association de-The president of the Amer-

> Garrison, the district attor-ney who directed the prosecution.

torial. rison has shown himself un-fit to hold the office of disverted the law rather than prosecuted it," said the edieditorial saying the States-Item, published an for his conduct, "He has perbrought into court to answer trict attorney and should be A New Orleans newspaper, that Gar-

from the time the charges tions during the two years fully on the basis of his ac-Mr. Garrison deserves them These are harsh words, but

were made until the trial's end last week . . . Garrison has much to answer for, and it would be questioning his actions. appropriate for his fellow lawyers to take the lead in

## The Milwaukee Journal

relations man and judge in what he flamboyantly called call it, in effect, a farce . . years as prosecutor, public with conspiring to murder President John F. Kennedy. took a jury 54 minutes to "the case of a century." Garrison spent those two Orleans charged Clay Shaw torney Jim Garrison of New Two years ago District At-

Certainly it is justified in view of the district attorinto this disgraceful affair. Bar Association should look ney's perversion of the law Furthermore, the American for Garrison's resignation. The cry in New Orleans is

# San Francisco Chronicle

B-14 BRICKMAN been put to severe test in recent weeks while awaiting Our sense of propriety has

> Jim Garrison has now had his hand called by a panel proceedings, conducted un-der mask of law, in the Orthe end of the dangerous, disgraceful and demeaning Orleans. sophisticated city of New the otherwise sensible and leans Parish courtroom District Attorney In

one in authority in the en-It is still incredible that no nancial backers of substanof steady-minded jurors . . . the nerve to cry halt. tire state of Louisiana had tial means in New Orleans. power was supported by fi-Garrison's misuse of great

## The Miami Herald

an innocent man . . . to fabricate a case against Garrison ficial who used his office branded now as a public of-. . . District Attorney Jim IS deservedly

in his own brain. attorney permitted to bloom the fantasy that the district mary. The blame belongs to Garrison insisted in his sumthat murdered truth, as Mr. It was not the government

## the small society

AUTOMATED SOCIETY? FOR FITTING INTO AN WHAT ARE YOUR PLANS (C. 2) 200 by Brickman OF BECOMING A POCTOR-INSTEAD OF AMECHANIC I'M THINKING