Prospective witnesses- the Autopsy doctors Drs. Humes, Beswell, Finck

1/6/69 Marold Weisberg

In my original analysis I made the inexcusque mistake of believing it was possible for the Farren Commission to be right shout enything. So, when these doctors were led into testimony that established on the record that each had competence in forensic pathology, I never drawns this would be false. It was. Only Finck, who was not in charge, had had any meaningful experience with gunshot wounds at all. These men were of undoubted competence in ordinary hospital deaths but not in crimes of violence. Incredible as it seems, it is exactly as I put it in thirefash II: the President got an autopsy unworthybof a Bowery bum; his accused assassin got an autopsy befitting the President of the United States.

Then Commender Jones J. Humes was the chief pathologist at the Bothesds Mayal Hospital. His next-in-charge we then-Cormander J. Thornton Hoswell. Boswell weited a decent time to retarn to civilian life. Humes weited until he made captain, then elso became a civilian, weiting long enough to appear on the CES videowhitewach as a Captain. His oddress can be easily found. It is in the midwest. Bosmell is in suburban Weshington, living and working nearthe Nevel Hospital. He is pathologist at Suburben Hospital, in Montgomery County. His home and office are both at 8600 91d Georgetum Road, phone 301/016-7449 and 016-6000 (off). Colonel Pierre Finck, when lest I checked up on him, has a phone listed at the Pentagon. He is, or at least was, chief of the Wounds Ballistics Branch. He serned to spend most of his time out of the country, in Vietnem, where even his office told me they didn't know him ad resc. Since the JPK assassination, he seems to have developed a weakness for nervous breakdowns. Dr. Jack Nichols was my source on this. It is possible he has kept up with Finck and flumes. Through his pathologists directories I am certain he can supply the addresses that are current. Of course, if Finck has been steying thm in the US laterly, he can be reached at his home, 7541-14nw, RE PA 3-4154 This is near Walter Reed Medical Center, the Army's equivalent of Rethesda Nevel Hospital (RA3-1000). Jack has more or less kept tabs on these men. I suggest that if you have smy questions about their careers subsequent to the assussination, he may know the caswers. To keep you from lo king it up, his phones in Kenses City age: NIZ-9460 (home) and ADS-5252, ext. 350 (off). He is spending most of his time at his office. He is working on a manuscript. I didn't ask him, but it seems to me possible he might be at the New Orleans meetings Wecht is attending the 17th and 18th, and it is not beyond possibility that the others, all or some, may be there. The ragistrations will tell you. If they ere, it simplifies issuing subpense.

Possibly because I have no separate recollection of Sylvia Meagher's criting I believe that the chapter "The Doctors and the Autopsy", together with the one before it, "The Number of Shots", in MHITEMEN, are, although the first, still the definitive published work on the sutopsy. I emplified it in part 2 of "MHITEMEN HAS II. Since then I have written POST MORTEM, which has (with the addition of new material discovered since it was completed 9/67) all that has since come to light, and that I think is the most shocking stuff in out/history. I'd prefer that this not be gone into at the tripel, for I have a fortune in time and money tied up in that manuscript. If it is necessary, chay, if you do it with me as the sitness, testifying to the document I discovered and how I discovered them (the hottest misfiled, destroyed every place they are supposed to exist in the files). I will have the memuscript and the documents with me. It depends on your approach and what can be done in a court of law, but I repeat what I wrote in the memo on the Parkland doctors, having Specter as a witness could be quite something. I sure wish I could question him:

Of the three autopsy doctors, if you have to stick to one, I recommend humas. Especially with his subsequent statements, which I have, he will come apart or commit perjury. Either way, he will halp. I have, for exemple, the text of the CBS show, where he lied. It would help to know what and how you intend to do and go into, for there is a limit to how much I can carry. I could take a briefcase full of stuff on just the authpay.

In seying above that my chapter remains the definite work, I do not went to imply there is nothing also. I suggest only that if there is time for but limited reading, this should be it. I shave already recommended the appendix weekt wrote from Thompson. Epstein, who visualizes the most awful conspiracy any writer has suggested, the rewriting of the autopay after January 20, nonetheless is quite wrong, for he just didn't and doesn't know the material. The autopay was done over immediately, for the reasons set forth in FOST-MATERA. In a sense, this and what relates is much worse, If you have to be into, we can discuss it when I am there or if in comes up to argue in District of Columbic court.

I do not have the flouts book, which is on Helperin. I do have the magazine piece, which goes into that part, and I have and will bring the fournal of the American Medical Association issue on it. Helperin assument what he does not know and what is false and from there, wrong as he is, nonetheless cuite rightly clobbars the autopsy doctors as incompetent because they lacked the training and expanience for that kind of autopsy. However, he assumes the correctness of the basic Commission conclusions, like Epstein, without exemining them at all.

I also have in FOST MORTEM Specter's carefully-dated notes of his predeposition questioning of humes (and, I think, Boswell). My recollection is that this and the subsequent testimony he adduced are sufficient for the charge of perjury and its subcreation. In any event, there is little that is crucial in the Tumes testimony that will stand cross-exemination, particularly against the testimony of the Secret Service egents. If we add to this the observations of the FBI egents present, "uges is in de p trouble. "t is my opinion be will go to any length to svoid being a witness. e cannot be a decent man and survive it, personally or professionally, without a plaint of "mis culpa", to complain that he was not adequate to the task and it should not have been assigned to him. I have also Specter's notes on his questioning of the FBI agents at the autopay, Siebert am O'Neill, whom he thersefter was careful not to call as witnesses. I think it would be good to try and subpone these two, but if such testimony is permitted, it is I who discovered their report, though it first appeared, by a strange series of unexpected events, in the appendix to the repint of "Inquest". Inheve canducted what Inthink can qualify as an exhaustive investigation of the autopay. I think it required as much time as a doctorate.

But, if you restrict yourself to what is published, myou can uttarly destroy the sutopsy. The Motes that were burned - and Hunes burned them - are not the notes maker pimps for headlines, knowing, for I taught him in August 1966, that he is wrong. The autopsy notes were never burned. I have traced them from thes autopsy beach to the witness chair, with the appropriate recei ts, which I have. that was burned was the first holograph autopay. Chances were then made in the second holograph, often substantive, not editorial (I have made a word by word comparison of all 15 pages), and one pivotal one that was not made by Humes. This is where he omitted what Perry told him the day efter the assassination, when he was rewriting the druft: the President's meck wound was a front wound. Everybody seems to have missed this obvious thing. "t is in the fourth paragraph. I have e facsimile in the appendix to WHITHWASH. Even so, Humas and "inch both said, and Boswell, that there could have been no single-bullet explanation of the non-fatal injuries. He cannot explain the rear non-fatal wound, not when he is exemined (in there any doubt he would be ruled unfriendly?), and lack Michols has a wary simple end totally devestating thing on this I am ashuded of myself for not tumbling to. for it requires no medical knownledge- I just missed it. If you can get him against the agents on the stand, you should wind up with something real good, for what they will say is entirely other than he will, and he is their original source ... He newer sew the pictures, and he can hardly have used the available X-rays during his examination of the body. Yet he said be "re-examined" the pictures when they were put in the Archives (I have the contract and correspondence with the executor,

Consideration of the contract of the contract

Archivist (I really think is is west led him to retire early), etc. Two betches of pictures didn't come out, incredible as this may seem when you know the very stiff federal prorequisites for medical photographer. The pictures and prints returned to the government do not add up against what is recorded as having been taken, etc. 3

Do not allow yourself to be borby-trapped on the A-rays. They are very significant, but not in the way you think from that you have said. They connot show the path of bullets through the body or where they entered or exited, unless they hit bone, for tissue does not show in I-rays, bone does. They will show metal, for exemple, which is significant. The pictures, I think, are the think, and if I were to make a wild guess, I'd say that the two barches that did not turn out include the crucial case on entry and exit. Now there has been extensive inaccurate writing about the pictures and X-rays. In fact, since their "return", I recall no single accurate article, including that by lies in the Sat. Eve. Fost. The truth is not that "obty refused them—he didn't; not that Berran prohibited it; quite the contrary and I have all of this from the suppressed stuff). The existing documentary evidence points at Hankin, for at one point Werren ordered him to arrange an examination. The "omnission never even considered asking obby about the pictures and A-rays until after it had taken its autopsy testimony. It never he deany direct contact on this at ali. Again, I have the documents in AST MORIEM.

Parenthetically, I think a subpens to the Archives for the suppressed part of Jackie's testimony, that on the wounds, is in order. She was the only close experiments in the world, the only one close enough to him to see that they looked like. I suggest it is not good tests that led the Commission (again, I suggest this means fankin or Eankin-Specter) voluntarily to expunge her testimony on this from the printed evidence. I have tried without success to get access to it under any circumstances and been denied, repeatedly. Int the legal sense, I have exhousted my edministrative remedies.

If you ask Hymes to repest under cath what he has said in public you not! him On perjury, for he never saw the pictures until he was shown a set in the fall of 1986. The best he could then have said is that they show what he than recalled having seemon his autopay beach.

Contrary to what is generally thought of his testimony and the misrepresentation of it in the heport, he never sid may that he traced the path of the resr-entry bucket through the body. He merely assume it. This is not only his testimony, but it is smething also I have from a suppressed official source in POST MORTEM. With the body before them, there was no such path, and they took the body apart, which is what one does in an autopay. And, if you had gotten the stills I tried to get you to get from LIFE, he would even have trouble with his testimony about the head wound, the fatal one or ones, for I as certain there were two head shots, as exemination of the movie shows, as you say when I showed it to you. Here I am not talking about what Thompson lifted from Tareus, which is too technical for the jury to get easily. There is a visible forward movement before the 315 shot. That, of course, shown to him, should also throw him if he is asked to explain it in terms of his autopay report.

This is too heaty, for I have to leave for town and want to get it typed so I can get it in today's mail. We have a prediction for alset, which will make driving through the rountains uncongenial. It may seem chaotic. Let me summe rize that I can sumply any detail you may want. Lumas did the autopsy and wrote the report on it. Boswell and Finck were his assistants. They did little. Bossell will go to pieces on the body chart, with what I have. He is the one who did it. not humas, as Mark and theothers say. I had a reporter friend interview him and I have what he then said, which is ridiculous and would ruin him on examination (if he retracts it. he can say only what is more demoging). Humas wrote the autopsy first

telling me the ostensible purpose of each cell). Now, please remember my stipulation on PSOT MOPTEM. Humes rewrote them autopsy report in his own home, in the recreating room, burning the original in the fireplace (and was never asked why, nor was this ever frowned upon). When?

AFTER HE WIE OF WALD HAD BEEN MUNDERED AND IT WOULD MOT HAVE TO WITHSTAND CROSS EXAMINATION:

his mistake was in not rewriting the second dreft, in acknowledging that he had burned any. If the changes he made in the second dreft are examined, they will clearly snow he again changed the story. To aliminated all but one reference to entry (his synonym, as the testimony shows, was "puncture").

To a degree this is covered by the speroval of his certification of having done this. He did turn in his notes, he get a receipt for them, the ad-Miral in charge of the entire naval madical installation turned them over to Enock or the Secret Service, BoxOk turned them over to Burkley, and I have the receipts. They specify the prosectoris notes. This is also cleer in his testimony, when he held in his hand Xeroxes of his own notes and so testified. I have extensive correspondence on this, with the Archives and, I think, Secret Service. I will have them with me. These notes are required to be in File 171 and Exhibit 397 and are in neither. They, too, should be subpensed. They are the most important part of the autopsy examination with the possible exception of the pictures. They may be jections of a "contract".

What is even worse about all of this I will not but in writing, but I have a copy of a document all copies of wich were though destroyed. It is, in some ways, perhaps the most shocking a ngle one is our history. Everything wrong was approved. Real MacBeth. This I got after I completed the text of the book. That is about 140 single-space, legal-sized pages. I will have it with me. And I will durst ah body who leaks any of itis secret contents. Vince and Bud both agree it is by far the most significant book yet.

Again to summarize in a different way, proper exemination of "upes, is him alone of the autopey doctors, especially brecketed with wecht and Michols, should establish the there had to have been a conspirecy, that his testimony and sutopsy proctocol are wrong, that there had to have been more about then conceded, that they had to have come from both front and bock -meen that his autopsy report so atated until after Oswald was murdered. This is one of the things that he cannot live with, I am certain, the rewriting of his report when he knew it would not be cross-exemined by Cown d's lawyer, for the dead Oswald would not be in court, would have no lawyer. Here again I want to remind you of the desiry-bility of having Specter as a witness and the alternative, if it is a) legally possible and b) really necessary to your purposes, of having me testify to the documents I discovered and read them or enter them into evidence.

Here I have divided interests. This would certainly be the end of any possible belief in the Warren "sport or the innecence of those involved in it and the sutopsy. "I would also be ruinous to my personal interest and cost me a considerable amount of time and money invested, much more than you can imagine.

Still in strictout confidence, I sid this: There were 25 military autopsy witnesses, none of whom were called as witnesses. There were no civilian witnesses at all. Everyccivilian was kept cut of the room. The only exceptions are the Secret Service agents guarding the President's body and the FEI agents keeping then homest. The FiI agents were not called as witnesses and their report was suppressed. (There are also indications of an earlier report by them that I example find.