

Prospective witnesses- Dallas doctors
Autopsy doctors

1/5/69
Harold Weisberg

When you asked for my suggestions, you specified doctors. Therefore, I make no mention of nurses or others, as for example Price, who is used effectively by Thompson (and here I think accurately) and, as I recall, by CEB. Price could be a good witness in a number of non-medical areas having to do with the acute defects of the investigation, beginning immediately. If you are interested in the nurses, some of them have had more experience with gunshot wounds than many doctors, the chapter "The Doctors and the Autopsy" of WHITEWASH perhaps part 2 of WW 11 (you have index), will guide you.

Those I'd recommend would be determined by the number you want. I presume you cannot call all of them, so I limit my recommendation to four:

Shires, because he can prove conspiracy by reading the X-rays he ordered of Connally, post operative. What I have in WHITEWASH is quite accurate, despite the whoring around and misrepresentation by the AP- Gavzer and Moody. He discovered a metal fragment remaining in the chest. Poor, overburdened Bullet 399 just could not spare that additional fragment, no matter how tiny. He was in charge of Connally's case after surgery, therefore, aside from the surgery, he is the main medical man on Connally. Specter was careful to keep him away from the ashtray ~~members~~, perhaps for this chest-fragment reason. Examination might disclose other ~~members~~. He has the advantage of not having been led through the Specter hanky-panky before the Commission. I think there is little doubt he would cost medical disapproval on the whole single-bullet contrivance, which means conspiracy. He also spent a long time with Connally, presumably when no one else was around and when Connally was under and was first out of anaesthesia.

Perry, who is an attractive and personable young man, ~~was~~ also perjured himself. He told me that the bullet did cause lung damage and the rear non-fatal. From what the Bethesda doctors told him, was two inches down on the back. How persuasive he will be with his past ~~before~~ before the Commission I do not know. You have a memo on my interview, I think. He will testify with pride about the special kind of inconspicuous incision he made for the insertion of the tube. It was not the normal vertical slit such "experts" as Helpert assume. It was across the neck, bizarre twist that this is, for cosmetic reasons. Upon healing it is just like another fold in the skin and is invisible. Healing? But that is the kind he made. He will testify to two calls from Bethesda, not the one ~~times~~ testified to, and that it would not have been a bit unusual for the Bethesda doctors to have called him at night rather than after they completed their autopsy examination, while they still had the body. If you use him and Kemp Clark both, you can wind up with a perjury and subornation of perjury business (the latter if you also use Humes)

Clark did testify that Perry did know what the autopsy would say and therefore asked him to take over the Saturday press conference. His letter to Barkley was altered at some point prior to publication in the Commission's evidence. It should be subpoenaed, as should every original hospital record, including Oswald's autopsy and the pictures of it. Shires just might have some Connally pictures, on the hospital night. It was a Presidential assassination; he was a very important man in the State in his own right. The question never arose before the Commission, naturally....Going along with this, would it not be nice to subpoena Specter? Can he plead executive privilege for what became a public investigation with publication of the testimony? Oh, boy, would I like to question him! Aside from the evidence of the actual shooting that you could get from Clark, what do you think the jury would think when they learn his letter to the President's physician was altered before publication? It is visible if you have forgotten WHITEWASH. Just look at Exhibit 392.

McClelland, of course, because of his contemporaneous report giving

as the cause of death "gunshot wound to the left temple". Here I encourage you to forget all the crap Mark has been fishing out about what the Zapruder film shows, for it shows no such thing. What he says may make a sensation, but it just isn't true. There is abundant reason for believing there was a left-head wound, like I've already cited with Altgens. Read what I say about McClelland in WHITEWASH. Spector also did not produce him before the Commission. He didn't dare. The indications are McClelland would not back down on this. Spector never asked him about it! Instead he let the record stand. When asked if there was anything he'd said he'd want to change, McClelland said there was not. He would not back down. There is a young doctor who can corroborate him, if that is necessary, but he was then very junior. He was never a Commission witness. I found out about him by accident. I have him in POST MORTEM. McClelland, remember, was standing at the head and looking right down on it, the only doctor to do this.

Through these doctors, all except Perry being senior on the staff, I think you can do an additional thing that is inherent in some of my other memos, get a large number of pictures not in the Commission evidence in your evidence and public. I do not know, but I believe there must be Connally pictures. I know there are Oswald pictures. With Casold, as I do in unpublished POST MORTEM, it is even possible to build a case for Jack Ruby not being the immediate cause of death. Hubert's deposition of Bisberdorff, the medical student who was the jail first-aid man, is a classic of untainted incompetence. He guaranteed Oswald would be dead by the "treatment", if you are interested, I will go into this with you. Briefly, the effect of Bisberdorff's "treatment" was to make it impossible for Oswald to talk- and he did, indeed, try.

I will do a separate memo on the autopsy doctors. However, I want to re-emphasize that if you take their testimony before the Warren Commission and do nothing but duplicate it in court, using Humes, Perry and Clark, you will have a perjury case and probably one of subornation. This, too, is in WHITEWASH, the chapter "The Doctors and the Autopsy". I sent both Humes and Beaswell copies of WHITEWASH, solicited their comment, asked for interviews, and they were and have been publicly 100% silent.

Aside from Perry, who could not be avoided, the doctors who got the major attention before the Commission were Shaw and Gregory. They did the major surgery on Connally. They never did say that 399 could have done what Spector attributed to it (again I refer you to that same chapter of WHITEWASH). But they will have to resist you because of the use made of them. They actually said 399 could not have had its reputed history. They were asked to conjecture about any bullet but that, could one bullet have caused these wounds, regardless of what this did to the bullet. Spector left that bit out. I think they will not be as amenable to constructive testimony as Shires, McClelland and Clark.

Returning to Price, if you have forgotten, he would testify that, when Tomlinson gave him the bullet from underneath the mattress, he, in turn, could not interest any federal agent in it. If there is a chain of possession from Tomlinson to the witness stand, it does not exist in the evidence or the Report. I published the story of how the Secret Service in Washington learned about it and got it in WHITEWASH II. It was in an agent's pocket and he neglected to report having it! Note also that when each of the hospital employees involved was asked to prepare a statement, immediately, there is none printed (Price exhibits) for Tomlinson. So, all the original copies of all the original statements and all other records should be subpoenaed. Could Price testify to Tomlinson's, having been the one who provided them to the Commission? Tomlinson will be worried, and he led to worry, about perjury.

Also, please bear in mind the car was washed at the hospital. Same Chapter, also WHITEWASH II. Don Wicker, NYTimes Washington Bureau Chief, still has his original notes of seeing a bucket of bloody water by the car.