## Court Blocks

The 5th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed Monday a lower court decision which Two days later. Garrison blocked the perjury trial of charged that Shaw had lied un-New Orleans businessman Clay der oath when he testified that L. Shaw, accused of lying in the 1969 probe of the assassina-

Dist. Atty. Jim Garrison had lodged the perjury charges, against Shaw two days after Shaw and others were acquitted of conspiracy to kill the presi-

nocent of the conspiracy charge after a 34-day trial in 1969. der oath when he testified that he never knew Lee Harvey! Os. wald, accused slayer of Kenne dy, and David Ferrie, named as a coconspirator. Shaw then won a U.S. District Court injunction against further; prosecution, with the judge ruling that Garrison had acted in bad faith in filing the

perjury charge.
The 5th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals took Garrison's plea for a reversal of the ruling under advisement after an hourlong hearing in which the dis-trict attorney's lawyer argued that Judge Herbert W. Chris-tenberry hadn't given him a

fair decision.

Key arguments were on whether the Garrison-Shaw controversy fit within legal precedents laid down by previous 'bad faith', prosecution cases and whether Shaw's acquittal was based on the alleged perjury 🧢

Garrison held that Shaw's de-nial that he knew either man was perjury, and that the trial-jury's werdict of ismocent did not mean that the jurors had accepted everything Shaw said as truth.

The plea also argued that Judge Christenberry displayed obvious bias against Garrison, and that no legal precedent in Garrison held that Shaw's deand that no legal precedent up-

and that no legal precedent up-held the ruling.

Shaw's lawyer said the legal precedent was clear, Judge Christenberry was not blased, and the trial jury in finding Shaw innocent, had already ruled on the truth of his testimony and the issue could not