明明教 . tank

5

n the prosecution of Clay L. Shaw in he 1580 conspiracy trial, today invoked he Fitth Ameadment in federal district sturt, refusing to say whether he saw haw in David Ferrie's apartment with see Harvey Oswald in 1963. Russo aid to answer the question would be Perry Raymond Russo, expose himself to possible prosecu-star witness out.

y ALLAN KATZ

ten Testimony Text, Page 20 nd GENE BOURG

District Attorney Jim Garrison spent transfed mixture of state, court and rivate money on his Kennedy assassination probe, testimony revealed to-ay, with some overlapping into the

the bookteeper in the DA's office, Daniel Jones, who held the spollight in the third day of a federal court hearing in which Clay L. Shaw seeks to have perjury charges against him thrown DA's own election campaign funds. This information was elicited from

There were these major revelations:

of J. G. Saff fund, a vehicle for handling some of the Kennedy probe money, included "a number of disbursements to and from the Jim Garrison campaign fund."

—Gov. John J. McKeithen said in

Baton Rouge he gave Garrison \$10,000 in state funds for the Kennedy probe. -Jones confirmed the total amount

spent on the Kennedy probe, not counting the Shaw trial itself, was \$99,448.

-This figure, Jones testified, included some \$25,000 from the court's fines and fees fund, money normally available to the district attorney's office. This was in addition to the money from the governor's office and

home during the investigation, Jones 5 -SOME OF THE MONEY was spent hire guards to protect Garrison's

"numerous" trips by star DA's staff
members, including James L. Alcock,
Andrew "Mumu" Sciambra and a mysterious category styled "others"

—The DA's office paid at least \$315

Sapir's law partner, he said. Councilman Eddie L. Sapir. The money was paid to Steven R. Plotkin, sination plot. The money was paid in the summer of 1967, several months after Ferrie's death Feb. 22, 1967. Ferin rent on an apartment occupied by David W. Ferrie, a shadowy figure rie's landlord, Jones testified, was City Councilman Eddie L. Sapir. The

JONES' TESTIMONY was the high-light of today's session of the hearing before Federal District Judge Herbert W. Christenberry.

the contributions from Truth and Con-sequences, a private group set up to finance the investigation.

Investigative expenses included numerous", trips by star DA's staff

David W. Ferrie, a shadowy figure
Garrison says was part of the assasstration plot. The money was paid in
to light yesterday and Mouday in carthe summer of 1967, several months lier testimony before Judge Christen-

Christenberry.

٠,

Wednesday, January 27, 1971

Sifiav was charged with perjury by be Garrison, who claims he lied in his bigo trial on charges of conspiring to kill President John F. Kennedy. Shaw a was acquitted on that charge but Gar he was acquitted on that charge but Gar he was acquitted on that charge but Gar he was acquitted by the service of the charge of the cha

Shaw went into federal court to get the perjury charge thrown out on grounds that Garrison is violating his civil rights. In the meantime, Garrison is enjoined from prosecuting him in state court.

Turn to Page 6, Column 1

berry and was confirmed again today by Jones.

) <u>}</u>

Ď

aide, W. W. McDougall, said the money
was paid out of the governor's law
enforcement fund at the spedal request
of Garrison for financial aid.
McDougall said one check for \$5,000 In Baton Rouge, the governor's chief

was given to Garrison March 22, 1967, one day after Garrison made the re-quest in a written appeal for assist-ance. The second check also was for \$5,000 and was issued Jan. 30,

the Garrison incident. able to other raw enforcement agencies and DAs both before and since not unusual and has been made availhe added. McDougall said such assistance was

THE FUND IS A special \$40,000 appropriation by the legislature which the governor uses for special situa-

At the hearing in New Orleans today the judge scheduled to preside over Shaw's perjury trial testified that he

New Orleans, La.

refused to throw out the charge withord of the conspiracy trial. out having closely examined the rec-10 Cer

Criminal District Judge Malcolm V. O'Hara was the first witness today.

ON DEC. 14, 1989, Judge O'Hera turned down a plea to throw out the perjury charge. He was questioned perjury charge. He was questioned closely about this action today by Shaw

attorney F. Irvin Dymond.

Judge O'Hera said the long delay between the filing of the motion to quastthe perjury charge (May 14, 1989) and
his acting on it was due to Garrison's
illness. The DA suffers from a back ailment and was unable to appear in

Dymond asked the judge if he read the transcript of the conspiracy trial Judge O'Hara said he did not.

court.

the testimony of the state's star wilness, i vary rasymonid Russo. He state's
he felt this was unnecessary because
he felt this was unnecessary because
he was a member of a three-judge
panel before which Russo testified in a
preliminary hearing prior to the trial.
Asked if he were aware of changes
in Russo's testimony between the 1957
hearing and the 1950 trial, he said he
knew of them "through other media"
but had no detailed knowledge of them.
Judge O'Hara said he read the opening and closing arguments by Garrison
but not the rebuttal argument by chief
prosecutor Alcock, now a fellow Criminal District Court judge.

unnecessary to read the entire transcript of the conspiracy trial before ruling in the perjury case.
The second winess, Jones, identified himself as bookleeper in Gerrison's office.

of the still wal hearing. RUSSO, ON WHOSE testimony most if the conspirery case rested, was till waiting to festify in the current

Criminal District Judge Malcolm V. O'Hara was the first witness today in the third day of a federal court hearing in which Clay L. Shaw stekinissal of perjury charges against him.

Thei charges were brought by District Attorney Jim Garrison, who claims Shaw lied when he testilied on his own behalf in his 1950 trial on charges of conspiring to kill president John F. Kennedy, Judge O'Hara is scheduled to preside at the perjury trial, if it is ever held. Judge Christenberry. has enjoined that trial from proceeding until the current hearing is over.

F. Irvin Dymond, a Shaw attorney, questioned Judge O'Hara Here is the text of the testinony:

DYMOND BEGAN by questioning whether O'Hara was fully familiar with all the cytodence in the first Shaw trial

5

motion to quash was overruled on Dec. 14, 1863? Was
that in any way due to delaying efforts by the delease:

A. I believe the proceedings
were extensively delayed due
to Jim Garrison's inability to
appear in court. (Garrison
has been ill for almost a
decision to overrule the deland of written ruling on your
transcript of the Clay Shaw
John Vol. Jid not.
Q. Did you read the entire
testimony in that trial given
the Case of a three-judge panel withch
A. No, I did not.
Q. Were you aware of a three-judge preliminary hearing in
the case.
Q. But you find not.
Q. Did you read Jim Garrison
the case.
Q. But you find not.
Q. Did you read Jim Garrison
A. Yes I did. I remember
that consider to be relevent
what I consider to be relevent
what

Εij Ьe perjury pro-

Q. There was a motion to quash the second bill of information, when was that filed?

A. May 14, 1969.
Q. Is it not a fact that the motion to quash was overruled on Dec. 14, 1969? Was that in any way due to delaying efforts by the defense?

A. I believe the proceedings were extensively delayed due to Jim Garrison's inability to appear in court. (Carrison has been ill for almost a year.)

Q. Did you deliver any vertel of the proceeding of the process of

A. Ves they did.

Q. Did the state of formal of the DA's office) appose having the full transcript made available to you as being not necessary?

volla ASKED if he thought the could judge whether it would be necessary to read the entire transcript of Shaw's 1969 trial.

"Had I thought it had direct or related contaction to the perjury charge, I would have," the judge replied.

Daniel Jones, a bookkeeper on Garrison's staff, was the most retinase coulont.

area of throughly inadmissa-

the entire transcript of Shaw's question Jones.

the "Had I thought it liad direct stive related connection to the Have," the judge replied.

Daniel Jones, a bookkeeper on Garrison's stalf, was the name of the district behave," the judge replied.

Daniel Jones, a bookkeeper on Garrison's stalf, was the next winess called.

In response to questions by ite Edward Wegmann, a Shaw'at orney, Jones said he has been a member of the district which were used to finance and the district atlorney's stalf for the past 21 to the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was this money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was this money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was this money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was this money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was this money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was this money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was this money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was this money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was this money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was this money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was the money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was the money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was the money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: Was the money frams in the J. G. Saif fund.

Q: The next item shows advances to Jim Garrison in money frams with the fremedy of expense payments to wall-in money frams with the formers and prosecution of connection with the flowestign of the stantial amount was used in connection with the flowestign of the stantial amount was used in connection with the flowestign of the stantial amount was used in connection with the flowestign of the stantial amount was used in connection with the flowestign of cartison's stantial amount was used in connection with the flowestign of the stantial amount was used in connection with the flowestign of the stantial amount was used in connection with the flowestign of the stantial amount was used in connection with the flowestign of the stantial amount was used in connection with the flowestign of the stantial amount was used i

four "Smith Case," Jones said that were placed around the guards was the name given Garrii of Jim Garrison during the son's assassination probe in the beginning.

Yolz then hold the court that the the probe of the beginning of the probe at the Smith Case was used only Isaac and Vincent and New York and "the name Smith was hame of Mr. Shaw."

Judge Christenberry said: A. There was money had to those guard services.

Itara "As far as I'm concerned it is all one."

Concerning donations to the probe.

Concerning donations to the probe inded from Gov. John J. Probe.

a special account in the governor's office was one of those used in the probe.

Wegmann continued to onestion lones menerater, romes said that as he recalled a \$5,000 check from

Jones went on to explain that emergency expense could include something as minor as meals or something as large as a reajor expense.

Q. Don't your record show you paid Mr. Sciambra on the basis of this estimated expense account? Right?

A. Yes, I gave him a check.

Q. Duribe the Irial of the Shaw Crassivacy case, wreet;

a substantial number of witnesses brought to New Orleans from Dallas and other areas and given board and lodging? Wasn't that was from these funds?

A. No sir, that was from the fines and fees account.

Q. Well prior to the beginning of the trial many witnesses were brought to New Orleans from out of town. Weren't these expenses paid from those funds?

A. There were some witnesses were brought of two Verbens from out of town. Weren't these expenses were paid from those funds?

A. There were some witnesses whose expenses were paid from finesses sepenses were paid from finesses expenses were for. Some

Jones read a letter from Plotkin to Garrison in which Plotkin told the DA he had spoken with City Councilman

A Yes Sir.

A Yes Sir.

Q Which members of the NA's staff'?

A Yes Sir.

Q Which members of the staff made numerous trins?

A. That would include William Martin, Andrew Sciambra, James Alcock and a category called follors.

Q Let's look at a trip advance expense sheet submitted by Andrew Sciambra to see if it is typical. It lists trips to Clinton and Jackson, La., 80 males, \$15, emergency expense, \$50 and other items amounts to \$226.

A. Well it's not really typical because it is a sheet submitted in advance.

Q. What is an emergency expense, \$50 and other items amounts to \$226.

A. I understood that was for anything not allowable under normal procedures, bones went on to explain

JONES REPLIED that the check covered the rental of David Ferrie's Louisiana Avenue Parkway aparlment for July, August and September of 1867.

Judge Christenberry then asked 'The work to make for Mr. Gurvich's trip to Las Vegas when he brought Mr. Garrison a brown sport shirt and six bullefay: Jones replied that Gurvich's trip was accounted for in the wonders.

trip was accounted to vouchers.

O. Do you adminitines and fees account.

A. Yes sir.

O. Can you tell administer account? the

Q. Can you tell me the amount paid from the beginning of the probe in 1967 to
the end of the trial in 1963
that was paid from fines and
feec?

fees?

A. From memory I'd say from \$45,000 to \$50,000. Q. That's in addition to the \$99,000?
A. No. That would be subtracted from the total. Perhaps \$25,000 or \$30,000 should be subtracted from the total. In the last testimony before a 10-minute recess shortly after moon, Wegmann asked Jones about a check for \$305 made out to Steven R. Plotkin what did that cover?"

Eddie, L. Sapir, Ferrie's landlord. Ferrie's rent was in arrears.
Q. When did the district at-

torney's office take possession fe of Ferrie's apartment?

A. I don't know.

Wegmann then told Jones that Garrison had advanced the fund more than \$15,000 for investigation expenses. He asked if the DA had ever been

repaid the money.
"Not to my knowledge," answered Jones.

ASKED WEGMANN: "Was there an obligation on the part of the city or the state to pay back this money?"

"I don't know," replied Jones.
Jones told Christenberry that one account was named J. G. Safi. The name, he said,

Q. When was the J. G. Safi account established?
A. In March, 1987.

stood for "Jim Garrison Special Account for Investiga-

Q. Is it still open?
A. Yes it is.
Q. What is the amount now

A. Four hundred and some dollars

Q. What is the date of the last activity which occurred relating to the account?

A. August 1969

A. August, 1969.
Wegmann then contended that since Shaw's acquittal on the conspiracy charge in 1969 the J. G. Safi account has been used only intermittently and for small amounts.

WEGMANN asked Jones if he ever secured a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service that the account was a taxexempt fund.

exempt fund.
"I don't know," Jones answered. "I merely set up an expense fund, not a corporation."

I ford, Jones stated that the fines and fees account in the DA's office includes only official money from bond form feitures and fines.

JONES ALSO said that about \$25,000 of the \$99,488 came from the fines and fees account.

e He added that the city aucounts annually.
Jones also said documentation of the Garrison investigation expenses have been
turned over to Shaw's attorneys, except for actual receipts for hotels and airline
tickets.

JONES SAID some money in the accounts was provided by campaign contributions for Jim Garrison's re-election

in 1969.
Alford took up the cross examination of Jones.
Q. Mr. Jones have we turned everything over to the defense except for motel bills

and similar vouchers?

An argument followed as to whether everything had been turned over to Shaw's attorneys and Judge Christenberry said the question might not be important as it had been proven that it would have been easy to divert some of the privately given thands to a purpose other than what was intended.

Volz and Alford took exception, saying that no misuse of funds had been proven.

JUDGE Christenberry remarked, "I was merely stating what was possible."
Alford resumed the cross-examination of Jones,
Q. Why weren't these motel bills turned over?

O. Why weren't these motel bills turned over?

A. There were many, many small bills. I photostated as many as I could, we have all the receipts.

Q. They are very volumi-

A. Yes.
Q. Has Mr. Garrison given you any instructions regarding the records?

A. He has told me to give Mr. Shaw's attorneys everything they ask for.

Wegmann then asked one question.

Q. In your years with Mr. Garrison have you ever handled any account like the J. G. Safi account.

A. No sir.

Alford then fired back with one last question of his own.

Q. Have you ever been connected with any other office that investigated the death of a president?

A. No sir.