No Indication of Time for Ruling Review

An attorney for Clay L. Shaw Thursday gave no indication of when an attempt will be made to obtain a higher court's review of Judge Malcolm V. O'Hara's denial of Shaw's legal

request to have at perjury charge against him dismissed.

Jan 18 was set as the trial date for Shaw, whom the district attorney's office charged with perjury shortly after a 12man jury March 1, 1969, acquitted him of a charge that he conspired to murder President John F. Kennedy. Shaw was accused of lying

Shaw was accused of lying when he testified in his own defense during the trial that he did not know Lee Harvey Oswald, identified by the Warren Commission as Kennedy's assassin, or David W Ferrie, an ex-airline pilot when Judge O'Hara, of Criminal D is trict Court, denied Shaw's motion to quash Wednesday the defense gave notice of day, the defense gave notice of its intention to apply to the state Supreme Court for writs of certiorari and review and such other writs as may be applicable.

*Edward F. Wegmann, one of Shaw's attorneys, said it was

still relatively early to apply for these writs. Some time is required to prepare the applica-

Wegmann said that then First

Wegmann said that then First A s s i s t a n t District Attorney James L. Alcock, since named to a judgeship in Criminal District Court, set the trial date. One argument used by attorneys in their attack on the perjury charge was that the jury, which acquitted Shaw of the conspiracy charge, at the same time passed judgement on his truthfulness.

It took many months to hear the motion to quash, parily be cause of an inability of District Attorney Jim Garrison, who

Attorney Jim Garrison, who was ill, to supply needed testimony for a time.