SHAW \$5 MILLION TO SITURD AT THE STATE OF TH

I ruth and Consequences Trio Among Accused

Clay L. Shaw, New Orleans businessman who was acquitted: on charges that he conspired to assassinate President John F. Kennedy, filed a \$5 million damage suit Friday against District Attorney Jim Garrison and certain of his supporters

Filed in Federal District Court, the suit also names as defendants Joseph M. Rault Jr. Cecil M. Shilstone and Willard Robertson, members of the "Truth and Consequences" or ganization which gave financial support to Garrison's investigation of the Kennedy assassination.

Other defendants include Perry Raymond Russo, Garri-son's chief witness at the Shaw trail, and Dr. Esmond A. Fatter, who allegedly put Russo into a hallucinatory trance in order to elicit testimony implicating Shaw in the assassination.

The suit is also against certain unknown persons who may have joined in the alleged conspiracy to prosecute Shaw and unknown insurers of the defendants. These defendants are identified in the suit only by fictitious names and it is alleged that they will be identified upon amendment of the pleadings.

CHARGES CONSPIRACY

It is charged that Shaw's constitutional rights were violated as a result of a conspiracy between the defendants.

Shaw was acquitted of the assassination conspiracy charge in Criminal District Court on March 1, 1969.

Shaw refused to comment on the suit Friday night but said he has been "restoring some houses and making some lec-tures" since his acquittal. Asked if he was writing a book about

that during the month of November, 1966, or thereabouts.

Garrison, Robertson, Shilstone and Rault entered into a conspiracy to carry into effect a scheme contrived by Garris

n. The purpose of the conspiral was to conduc cy it is alleged, was to conduct an investigation of the Kennedy assassination and to attack the validity of the Warren Report, the integrity of the United States government and all con-

nected with it.

"K' allegedly was a part of the conspiracy that the investigation would provide a forum of Garrison's attack upon the Warren Report.

Garrison and all members ig of his staff acted outside the

Cont. in Sec. 1, Page 3, Col.

Continued from Page 1

scope, of their jurisdiction and without authorization or color of law, it is contended.

Edward Wegmann, a Shaw attorney, explained to newsmen that "the doctrine of judicial immunity flows down to the prosecuting attorney and you have to be able to establish that he acted without color of law or authority and what he did was outside his juris-diction," then bear his ye

diction," continue has jurisdiction," continue has jurisdiction, wegmann said he made; a complaint to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, "shortly after the acquittal" that Shaw's civil rights had been violated. "They did nothing about it," he said soll to rivil he said soll he said he said soll he said he said soll he said he said soll he said he said soll he said s

Orleans Parish and that he acted outside the scope of his juris-

diction. Shaw claims that his indictment was returned by the grand jury solely and only on the hallucinatory, drug-induced and hypnotically in-duced testimony of Russo.

The charges against the plaintiff were "totally lacking in substance and the said Garrison knew them to be so lacking, the suit contends.

The charges against Shaw were not filed for legitimate purposes, it is claimed, "but rather in furtherance of his scheme and that of the defendants herein to conduct an illegal, useless and fraudulent investigation of the assassination of President Kennedy, a crime which occurred beyond the scope of Garrison's jurisdiction, for his own personal aggran-dizement (or for other reasons unknown to your plaintiff) and in order to obtain a judicial forum for his attacks upon the Warren Commission and other government officials."

Shaw's prosecution was un-lawful and underaken in bad faith for the purpose of harrassment and served no legitimate interest, it is charged.

In furtherance of the

scheme, the suit claims. Garrison misused and abused the prosecutorial powers vested in him.

During the course of his in-

vestigation | Garrison allegedly filed criminal charges against various, members of the news media, who opposed him or disagreed with him and his theories, knowing full well that the charges had no basis in fact or

in law to the These charges allegedly were brought in an effort to inhibit criticism of Garrison or his investigation.