

Shaw, Ruby, Oswald Data Filed

DA Bares Alleged BR Conspiracy Date

Dist. Atty. Jim Garrison's office today told a Criminal Court here that an alleged meeting between accused assassination conspirator Clay L. Shaw, Lee Harvey Oswald and Jack Ruby occurred on Sept. 3, 1963, from 2 to 9 p. m. at Jack Tar Capitol House in Baton Rouge.

The information was furnished the court on a bill of particulars request by Shaw's defense attorneys and approved by Criminal District Judge Edward A. Haggerty

in ruling on a number of pre-trial motions earlier this week.

GARRISON has alleged in his investigation that Shaw, Oswald and Ruby met in Baton Rouge in 1963 to plan the assassination of President John F. Kennedy.

In answering the request for information, Assistant DA James L. Alcock told the court that while the DA's office knew the approximate time of the meeting, they did not know the exact room number where the meeting took place.

The Warren Commission said Oswald acted alone as

the Kennedy assassin and that the late Dallas night club operator Ruby in turn shot Oswald to death.

Alcock also said in a second request of the bill of particulars, that Shaw made a trip during November, 1963, to Portland, Ore., via Houston, Los Angeles and San Francisco.

GARRISON contends participants in the plot arranged to be in various sections of the country on the day of the assassination. Shaw said he went to the West Coast to speak to a World Trade group

See PROBE— Page 4

Probe--

Continued from Front Page

and spent the day of the killing in San Francisco.

In other developments concerning the investigation, Criminal District Judge Oliver P. Schulingkamp today turned down two motions by Layton Martens, one-time roommate of plot figure David W. Ferrie and accused of perjury in connection with the probe.

Martens, 24, was indicted April 5 after testifying before the Orleans Parish Grand Jury.

Milton Brener, Martens' attorney, had filed motions including a prayer for oyer (inspection of evidence) and a request for a bill of particulars.

JUDGE Schulingkamp ruled the state's answers to these motions were "good and sufficient in law" and ruled against Martens.

Brener filed a bill of excep-

tions for a possible appeal of the ruling to the state Supreme Court. He told the States-Item his next move will be to file a motion to quash the perjury indictment against his client.

The aim of the motions denied today was to get a full transcript of the testimony about which the grand jury accuses Martens of lying, and also transcripts of all written statements made to Garrison's office by Martens.

Also sought was information in detail on certain questions asked Martens before the grand jury. The questions were listed in the indictment.

THEY RELATED to Gordon Novel, a fugitive witness sought by Garrison in the probe, and the mysterious burglary of a munitions bunker in Houma.

No date has been set for Martens' perjury trial. He is a student at the University of Southwestern Louisiana in Lafayette.