

ML  
8/18/67

Dear Mr. Segeste,

Thanks for the editorial. I think your suspicion is shrewd, warranted, and worth keeping an eye on. If you see anything else along this line, I'd very much appreciate a copy.

I do not know if I ever told you, but as soon as I finish the factual writing on the assassination, I shall return to what would have been my second book, a non-organic sequel: TIGER TO RIDE: THE UNINTENDED STORY OF THE CUBA MISSILE CRISIS. I laid it aside, after researching and typing all the notes, because I felt Lane's doctrinal flaws, the serious error of the Epstein writing and doctrine and the attention it was getting, required that I do WWII instead. Then, the stuff I got from the Archives, it seemed to me, took priority. All the things that are new in this are correct. Khrushchev's statement, which is a very considerable understatement. I have much that bears on this. I am anxious to return to that writing. One has to assign priorities, and I hope mine are not wrong.

Perhaps you now understand my intensity, why I keep pushing past exhaustion and without financing.

However, when I complete the book I'm now working on, I think I must complete the third-written and pretty much researched MANCHESTER MACHIAVELLI: THE UNINTENDED UNOFFICIAL WHITENASH. That writing will not take long, once I'm cleared for this. But, with the end of the summer, I'd better find a way of going on tour to sell the books, not only to pay for them but to get the word out. The effort to suppress right now is greater than it has ever been. I'm trying a little intellectual judo.

Please do keep an eye on what you have. You may see things that I miss, and your new suspicion is a possibility.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Aug 15 - 1967

Dear Mr. Wisburg:

Here is the Free Press Editorial of July 16 1967 I wrote to you about. Coming as it did in the midst of the New Orleans investigation I regarded it as an attempt to build a storm shelter for the day when the CIA can no longer hide behind Oswald. The information contained in the editorial is old stuff. When the U.S. began to dismantle its missile bases in Turkey shortly after the Cuban affair I concluded it was quid pro quo in the deal between Kennedy and Khrushchev on the Cuban missile.

(2)

the timing of the NBC tape telecast of the Kruchoff interview followed by this editorial in the free press indicates to me that a planned attempt by the media to turn the people against Kennedy is being readied for that day when they can no longer blame Oswald for the assassination; the line will then be that Kennedy had betrayed the Country by agreeing to a Communist government in Cuba and this had imperiled the safety and security of the U.S. and whoever killed him (I doubt if they will admit it was the National Security Council or the CIA) had done

③

a patriotic service in the  
Cause of his Country and  
deserved the gratitude of  
the entire Country.

James Segala  
15142 Charles R. Ave  
East Det Mich  
48021

I am spending my summer  
up on Lake Hudson at Rogers  
City Mich for the hay fever  
season.

RFD 334A  
zip 49779