## $9 / 16 / 69$

Dear Mr. Segesta,
When I got your note and th check this morning, I imnediately thought how unerring it is the s when you holp us it is always at a time we most need it. In the severul hours that have passed, - have cone to understand that it is alrays such a time with us. Which, realij, makes the fine thing you have dre more voluable to us.

We ore, as perhaps you can mixayz understand, between the horns of a constent dilemma, a multiform dilerma. Always, can we continue, and we do and we will. Also, what next, of all the things to be dore when one is cleaned up. From time to time, when we ponder cur problems, so large a percentege of which have a financial basis, we worider should I lay this oside for a vile and seek emvoyment so would not be going deeper into debt daily. We have compromised on this by my wife taking employment tinat lasts a quarter of a year and requires about three to for reeike of perparation (mpaid). This is underpaic, yields relative litile, yet looms lerge when compered with nothing. Tomorrow she begine her training for the coring querter, two days a weak until the hilays. Hhis, of course, cuts down on what she can do on the work upon which we are engaged. It tekes two daily hinks out of my day, getting her there and bringing her back. But, having done it lest year, she decided to repeat this one, for the benefit of the slight income.
i have just finished a more-thon-bo-k-length addition to $a$ bonk 9 did a year ago, on $9 . l 1$ three assassirations. The addition is on the King/Ray case alone. $I_{t}$ probably totels about 200,000 rords. The entire vork, including the appendix to the port done last yesr, will probably total closer to a helf-million than a tilird of a million words! why wife is eng ged in this rather large tyoing job.

And, we continue other work I think the end will show is importent, on nem evidence and on new espects of the old. In example, I have just iscovered rew evidence on the so-called "magic kullet", nez proof of ofriciel dishonesty and deliberate misrepresentation. I'll include it in the second part of the three-part study of the autopsy. The, first and third are written, but there is on expence with tha taird I'll wow have less misgivings about, thanks to you. As soon as I can I Tlan to try and get a copy of the trenscript of the little-known procesding in Washington in Janusry dnd February in which we forced out a description of the piatures and A-reys of the autopsty. Then you see my POST MOPTEM III you will understand what I discovered there and its significance. The Department of Justice has $\&$ failed to answer my request for access to the court-neporter's transeriot. Now I feel I can go to the clerk of the court and "afford" to pey for a xerox copy. Then I'll excerpt this and include it in the spendix to thet mork.

I so wish there were others like you: I have tried every black I can think of ard a number ni others, justrt o try and get them to lonk at what i heve. They all shy off. There is so much to be done, it is so costly, ond there is now even less helo then the little there $\%$. I , tell you this so you will know how important to me what you have done is, although I think you do vell understand...A speaker's bureau has finally said it will offer me, too lete to be included in this year's calatogue. Ferhaps there will be a slight return fromthis... I wander. One thing, be fore sincere thanks, $H_{\text {arold }} W_{e l s b e r g}$

Dear un Weisberg:
Please accept this is helf you woth your esfernes.

Cames begesta
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