po attendentant has higher of historians

7/24/11

Hr. Ton Kelley, Am'T. Dir. U.S.Secret Service 1800 G. St., NV, #845 Vachington, D.C.

Bear Tom.

Neur letter of July 20 does not really respond to mine of June 10 and leaves unsuswed other question I have asked. In it you say your controls 705 and 782 are the follow-ups to 767. I have been to the Archites, obtained copies, and they are not follow-ups but, although involving the same people, deal with other matters. The follow-up I seek deals with the report Odesid was some kind of government informat, not with alleged plots to will Contro. The information I game you that the contents of this report ware insediately phoned to the Consission somes from a Consission nemousadom which states this emplicitly. I have lossed my copy to someone, but I believe it says you did the phoning. I would appreciate it it you could provide or refer me to the information I seek, not what I do not seek. And I cortainly would have to have to write, when I return to that writing, that the Secret Service had no further interest in the report that the men accused of killing the President it was protecting was some kind of government information.

I have asked to be able to see the 17 stills "chemn Rush supplied. Your control 703 says he gave them to the Secret Service and has you personally involved in that investigation. It could not be more explicit on the point of my interest, "...Osmald and two other subjects passing out...airculars..." These are not at the Archives. Whether I see them at the Archives or in your office is immaterial to me, but I would like to be able to see them secon. Will you please tall me when and where this will be possible?

You have not responded to my latter asking for an accurate accounting of the handling of the autopay film. I returned to this in the third paragraph of my letter of the 16th. This leaves me in the position, when I write of that, of deciding whether you or Bankin or either were truthful. I would much prefer to be able to write fully and accurately and not to have to report conflict in statements, especially on a subject like this. What I now can learn and therefore may seems to depend upon what you can provide. If you do not provide it, and when my requests for it extend over so many years, there is little class I will be able to write and I will have to set forth the conflict as the records I have been able to obtain leave it.

Very few writers can or will take to time and make the effort I do to learn the truth. All efficiales make that cost too much, waste too much time. The inevitable result is such stack stuff as you will find in The Mation of the 19th, where Fred Cook, an antablished writer with a good reputation, says, in effect, that the Sequet Service set the Freedent up for the assessmention. Needless, wrongful sequent, the mithhelding of information, is what inspires this kind of writing, these kinds of suspicions.

Sincerely.

Barold Wedsborg