

11035 Landale Street
North Hollywood, California 91602

April 28, 1970

RECEIVED
APR 29 1970
Senator Edmund Muskie
Senate Office Building
Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Muskie:

I think the very real possibility that Democratic Party leadership may fall to you, makes the issue raised by this letter of immediate import. Although it is politically highly volatile, I hope you will carefully examine it to the satisfaction of your own conscience and well-being.

It is now six and one half years since John Kennedy was shot in Dallas. Only "kooks" and "cranks" are interested in that long-dead issue any more. And I am one of them -- because the evidence warrants continued interest, and what's more, action.

Part of that evidence is enclosed in this letter for your perusal in case you have not -- like most Americans -- been exposed to it before.

The enclosed print of the Zapruder film of the assassination -- with all its strange splices -- I think clearly shows the final and fatal shot (or shots) coming from the front. Study it closely and judge for yourself. If you agree it came from the front, a conspiracy to kill the president existed, since what little was revealed of the autopsy also indicated he was hit in the back at least once.

If there was a conspiracy (and there is an abundance of evidence, including sworn testimony, to indicate there indeed was), great lengths were taken to hide it and allow the actual assassins to go free (or wherever they were "allowed" to go).

The enclosed photographs are but a single example. The picture allegedly taken by Marina Oswald in March, 1963 (right side of two-photo composite), which later appeared the day after the assassination, is contrasted with the mug shot taken on Oswald's arrest, November 22. The face on the right-hand picture is Lee Oswald's, but the chin and neck are not -- note the faint line where the pictures were joined.

The full picture (see the enclosed picture of the cover of Life magazine) shows Oswald holding the alleged murder weapon and some pro-communist pamphlets. It was used to help establish that 1) Oswald had such a rifle and 2) he had communist leanings. The fact is that outside of that photograph there is no incontrovertible evidence that 1) he had such a gun in his possession, or that 2) he was a communist. In point of fact, 1) the gun Oswald actually ordered and supposedly received was four inches longer, and 2) there is evidence that he may have been an agent or operative working for the FBI.

There is a great cry for law and order in the country today. If we are truly to have law and order, let us begin here with the full and open solution of this most heinous crime. How long will we let the bullet supersede the ballot?

Sincerely,



Ivan Dryer

ID:bjd
encl. 1 8mm film
2 photographs