Dear Ton.

ŝ,

1

Ŋ

Soury you were not available and had left no message such of the times I was in Mashington recently.

You have not responded to my request for the Hudkins reports. It has been some time, Asido from the requirement of the law that responses be "primpt", the recommendation of the Administrative Conference of the United States this March, in its "Uniform Implementation of the Freedom of Information Act" (which does not, of course, have the standing of law), is that response should be made within 10 days. I still want this information and I dog hope you will, as you can, send it directly to me. You well know that routing it through the Archives is at best sympany of building-in considerable delay and at worst a frustration.

Your eccounting of the history and handling of the autopsy film was incomplete. I have already told you of missing X-rays. I hope you have in tituted an inquiry to learn what happened to them and can tell me. In addition to this, I have recently come accreas the proof I had forgetten about when we spoke that the pictures also were provided to the Conscission, more than the one you told me you showed to Arlen Specter in Dallas. In this connection, the accounting of the pictures turned over to the Archives in the newspapers is inconsistent with the official accounting. I do want my writing to be complete and accumate, and I do not want to leave doubte that can and I think will be taken as a reflection on anyone. There has already been too much of this, and I think it will in the future be the cause of considerable schartassment to samy people, including the innecent. So, I would like this film accounting to be complete, please.

On an entirely different subject, I have informal information that the Secret Service use on the trail of James Earl Ray in connection with what may perhaps be described as "hot" money. I presume this is not your area, but I suggest it is possible that in this connection I may be able to be af some help.

When I heard nothing from you in response to what I sant about the strange thing sunt to Senator Gravel's administrative assistant, I sont no more to you. Enclosed is what I had forgotten to mail. With all the many problems with yideh you have to cope, I do not angue with you about your decision to pay so attention to this. Nor do I know much about such possible threats, However, if in ignorance, I hasn't the suggestion that this one is not typical and that analyzing it should be a scholarly rather than a police matter, the interpretations possible, for the most part, not facilitated by usual police training and experience. To the limited degree that I can, with such assistance as I can obtain, I will try to pursue it. There are some apports that are clearly within competent police experience. If you have any suggestions on them, I would veloces them. Do you regard it as meaningless that this begins, "Gen Mr, Weisborg translate?". Why not the better known, like Jim Garrison, Herk Lene, or J. Higher Hoover? Do you think it coincidence that this was sailed on my birthday? Have you any opinion on why two different typescitors were used? And can you read any significance into the signature "Ookle"?

Sincerely,

Harold Vaisberg

7/26/72