

2/14/71

Dear Tom,

When we spoke a little over a week ago, you said you had written me about the memo of transfer. I have not yet received this letter. For the completeness of my files and because of anticipated need that can develop at any minute, I'd appreciate a copy, please.

I will enclose some of my correspondence relating to my Civil Action No. 2569-70. I do this for your information, believing it rather directly addresses certain beliefs I hold and have tried to communicate to you.

If I recall correctly what you told me about the Secret Service 1970 review, it^{is} that you declassified everything except what originated with other agencies. I am not certain I recall this correctly. If I am in error, please correct me. I do not yet have copies of all that was declassified and not any of Secret Service origin. It would be good to have if you preserved a list of what remains classified. A friend has prepared one the accuracy of which I hope you can have checked:

GD 87:206,334,336,427,453,578 (all under Guidelines 3b);
GD 330:675 (3b),711 (3a),737 (3a,c);
GD 499: 193 (1;3b).

Entire Secret Service 48a:

GD 795 (3b,a); GD 858 (1,3b,3c); GD945 (3a,b,c).

I have a copy of GD87:206. It does not indicate the source. From the content and the agent, I'd suggest it could be Penabaz or BEUC. Penabaz was the cause of running the FBI ragged with raw manufactures, including extensive ones involving the subject of this one, Geronimo Pine. Among other things, he had Pine as Oswald's get-away pilot, a fiction that may sound familiar to you. If you examine the available FBI documents (I'll lend them), I think that save for one thing this is already available and has never been withheld. That thing is the alleged other assassination plots. If these do not have to be withheld, I'd like to examine them for several reasons including these: Penabaz and others did precisely the same thing when Senator Kennedy was killed; these people have imagined a sufficient number of such plots to warrant interest; and one coincides in time with another one we have already discussed. (Jagan is not, to the best of my recollection, mentioned in the FBI reports referred to above, but Castro is, and falsely.)

If you can find time to respond to the other questions I raised, I'd appreciate it.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg