Searet Service/FBI JFK inveatigation
HW 5/17/77
I have written earlier about Rowley's 12/9/69 orders to all his SACs that the FBI was in charge, etc. There are a fif other alements to note.

Regardless of what LiJ directed the Secret Service had statutory authority. The FBI did not. So Foriley wrote to his SACa not in terms of what they picked up about the assasaination. His words are "any information coulng to the attention of any Secret Service office concerning Iree Hervey Oswald or ack Ruby."

His, of course, represents the urior concept of gillt.
By 12/9 there was a Commission. Rowiey makes no mention of this.
$H_{e}$ gave orders for what I've rever seen from any source, written records of what they told the FBI, which is what he directed. Such information "will be furnished immediately to the nearest FBI oflice by telephone, to be confirmed in vititing by letter to the Special Agent in Charge" of the FBI, copy to Rowley.

The Rowley memo can be taien to have Junk in mind in this part from what is at the end, "Any information believed to be of significance should be reported imediately to Deputy Chief Paterni." Rowley would then decide whether to investigate.

The Secret Service could benefit from this arrangement in boing able to escape criticiam. The FBI henefitted from control.

What this could have meant is illustrated by a Secret Service investigation of Osiwald's literaturet in ew Orlcans, cut off promptly by the New Orleghs office throggh FBI HQ and Secret Service HQ. Nothing ever came of that but the Secret Service was on the right track. ${ }^{\prime} t$ also would have learned that Oswald had at least one associate in his literature printing and distribution.
$i_{n}$ fact when the Commission wanted the pemphlet in which he used the 544 addreas it was never able to obtain a copy from the FBI, which had it. It was provided in the last minute by the $S S$.

Rowley's orders coincide in time with the FBI's first report, CD 1. Johnson's orders were not of $12 / 9$. They were not iater than $11 / 23$.

