Cour Ton.

Driving home comething occurred to me that I wish had come to mind while we were talking. I hope you will not regard this as presumptuous, nor or any subtle, ulterior purpose. I am not trying to suggest that you do enything other than what you think is right or required. But there is, as I see it, a socialeration of which you say be unaware.

Then you said you would consult Justice, A merely told you the advance indications of the enswer, based on the record, and that I think it will be counterproductive.

More than this may figure in any sivice you may get. On the besis of what I know and believe, two things will occur to those who have their own records to be concerned with.

First of all, the memo covers a transaction that is in part illegal. I have known this, as I told you, for more then four years. Somebody gave every government property. I touched on this briefly. There is no authority for this. It is not something that can properly and legally be ordered done by sayone, either. That somebody was in your agency, which thereby is involved. Illegalities in this eres began almost immediately (and I am not questioning motive; particularly not Rellermen's). At the latest, these began at the Mayel Mospital.

Once this may have rested upon my opinion and my non-lawyer's interpretation of those laws and regulations of which I am aware. Now it has been the subject of a judicial determination of fact. If you'd like I'll take you to the court record. It is little haden, but it is in Seshington.

The enguer I showed you today in Civil Action 2869-70 is the closest thing to a competent legal paper propered in all the suits I've filed and all the preliminaries to athers, and it is ridiculous. Justice's record would disgrace a self-respecting, first-year law student. I have invited you to examine my files. You can consult less, if you want to, in Federal District Court in Vashington. The once case decided is VIS-70. They talked the way they will talk to you, but in the last minute they copituated. In giving he what they sought, which they knew they had to to begin with, they couldn't even do that well. Insteed they committed contemp and perjumy-needlessly—with no possibility of any gain from either. Not one of their moves succeeded. Not one of their many latters is truthful. They nade a record they immediately switched on in my next suit, \$2501-70 and, as you can see, actually did this in the name of the ittorney General himself. You will find that to counter their false interpretation of the exact sums point of the law, I filed the ittorney General latter saying exactly the opposite. The affidavit to which I referred today is a sup-lement to their motion in this case. You really should read it.

You may or may not feel you can trust my judgement end my opinion, but I offer it. There is some construction there over my suits, and I have every reason to believe it will be increasing. They have done very poorly. I think they would find any goat welcome. I think, particularly with all that Justice has withheld, including from the Commission (- have some cases in which the Commission cought them and compleined), they would, additionally, be happy for the spotlight to focus on smother agency. Especially if these is any suggestion of scendal or illegality.

There is enother Ferrie story I should have told you. Sincerely,