GAI-CIA: 36 9/12/69

Why this letter is in the Gal-CIA rile I cannot imagine, unless Rankin or a file clerk essumed CIA and FBI are identical (it is my recollection the report referred to is that 0 was an FBI source or minor agent).

It nonatheless is interesting. Why, then Bankan himself, had been over all of this with Wede the month before, did he have floover make a report on it, of egents interviews with Made and Audkins (if this is not CD385:106, I do not have it9x of is in my unclassified files. I should say these. The one on Tade mag be GAI-FEI 2/10/64, which I have a record of.

The persisting question is why so late on so vital an issue, especially with Hudkins, and why none with Allan Sweatt, on the same subject, since he seems to have been one of the sources, or bill alexander, also similarly quoted?

The suggestion is this was part of a covering-up, a record being left of a pseudo-investigation (the record originally made having been destroyed) to make it seem there was a legitimate inquiry into this. There never was.