

7/17-72 Dear Jim, I think you will recall my learning on JERay for a couple of easy leads, not getting the, then switching to Stoner, who had done nothing for a year. When I gave JER proof that Stoner was saying one thing to me and quite a different thing to Jerry about these leads, and then learned later from Jerry what Stoner later said about danger, I got it all to JER. Stoner then found it expedient to make his first visit to James in more than a year, also contrived that Jerry not accompany him on that trip, although they lived and worked together, and so needlessly disgusted the warden that he described Stoner to me as "wors'n Hitler". When I next saw Jimmy I leaned on him again. He told me that he had someone else working on it. Before seeing him I personally checked his visitors' list. With those it is known he knows, the officials are careless, but not with strangers. So, I am certain I know who saw him with one possible exception. The only person who saw him after I got this info to him and the last time I saw him was Stoner. Therefore, he could have made arrangements only by mail, through another prisoner, or thru Stoner. When he wouldn't even mention Schoolfield's name to me in jail but wrote it down, I know how circumspect he was being, because there is almost no other case of his fearing to say anything and he didn't care if I disconnected the phone or not. If there was racist inspiration for the job, can you imagine how secure JER's future with ONLY fascists ~~and~~ knowing the leads he does have? Going along with this, John freely admits to me that he knows some of what James is holding back and won't tell me, his reason being that if James thinks it is important enough to take 99 years to keep it to himself, James must want it that way very much. I learned that John has such knowledge first from another, not John. This could be sufficient inspiration for framing John and salting him away, which I am willing to believe happened, and doing the same with my informant, who is also in jail. (His own case worker tells me it is a bun rap!) So, after two months of effort. I got the junior of Ray's lawyers to do the obvious checking, something of Schoolman's past lingering in my mind. Bud remembered something about the disbarment. Even then it took two months. After getting this from the NYTimes index alone, they are finally going to do one of the two things I asked more than 2 months ago, check at Group Research. I also asked them to check Anti-Defamation League. Please return when you have read. Does it bring any of this back to you? He may be psychotic in addition, is prone toward violence, and probably set a record for bad performance in an election by a racist in a racist state.

HM