

10/7/72 Gary, Getting the attached article quoting Cyril from the Enquirer of 10/15 date and several other things today reminded me of you as of unpleasantness of which I'd rather not be reminded, unpleasantness I fear is not yet over. This is not to get you to break your silence. I suppose that with me it is better that you be silent. Earlier this week I got from Howard two things neither of which I feel free to send on my own. I think you should read both. Apparently Jerry sent Dick one of his foolish letters to me, the one in which he says it is good that the Kennedys were shafter, past time, etc. He seems to have been foolish enough to distribute that kind of childish effort at self-service. Dick's reply was dated sometime last month, I think around the 11th. He sent it to Howard to read before forwarding to me. I got it from Howard yesterday or the day before. There is much in that letter I think you should consider, therefore I suggest that you ask Dick for a copy. Or Jerry. Howard also sent me some relevant notes dated the 3rd. I think you should ask him for them and think about what they reflect not only about what you've been into but with whom. There is nothing you can do about what you have done. However, I believe it would be better for you if you acquire a better understanding of it than you have ever indicated. Of those who were involved, Jerry is the only one who has made comment. I expect none from you, certainly not the silly kind Jerry made. There is nothing ex poste facto that you could say that could mean anything except to you. But I do think you'd better face up to the reality, not the fiction you've been holding onto like "inue with his blanket, for if the stupid feds ever come to understand the new possibilities Cyril has presented to them, it will be ever so much worse. That Cyril didn't understand this was a bit disturbing. However, he can't lose personally, and it is now irrelevant to me whether it is ignorance, professional incompetence (which is possible if not likely), or self-seeking that drives him. He can't lose, not with a malpractice business. And whatever he writes for The Journal of the Academy of Forensic Sciences or any other minor journal is of the same character, he profits personally whether or not others or the work does.

cc; HR, RB

Harold