Mot until folding it to put into the envelope did I notice that the page Cary sent Mary (IIR) 8/17/75 me from the inventory had earlier been folded for a letter-sized envelope. He used a legal-sized in sending it to me. So, that fold was not because of his sending it to me. This has made me think of Other things, if not to draw conclusions. He usually uses a xerox. This is an Apeco-type paper or of a machine of the kind in Bud's office, which cuts the paper and allows for adjustment in size! Having though of this I removed it from the envelope and measured it and it is less than 11 inches, the standard Apeco and other size. Now if Gary made this copy for me, first of all there was no need to disassemble the pages to make the copy, for the relevant part is not up to the top. Second of all there was no need to resuse a copy that clearly has been severely folded at letter size rather than legal prior to mailing it to me. Even discoloration is clear at those folds. So, all I can-and do-say is that there is something he still hasn't said. Moreover, this is a much less clear copy than can be made from the copy I consulted of the copy that had been made of the copy that Bud had for use in my case, and that is what? Fourth generation? This is less clear than fifth, and Cary says it was made from the copy the A sent him. Can't be or he used an incredibly bad machine and reused this page after copying. It sure looks even worse than I said. I'll not add this to him. ilw

Afterthough. You need not return it, but so there will be no question, I have enclosed Gary's legal-sized envelope. The postmark will leave no doubt. And to avoid any other question, I have foled it in half twice so it can't duplicate markings of this page. I have also marked the beginning od two of the three folds in red. H