Dear Cary,

This hurried note before going to bed about something has has been on my mind for some time, part of which I've gone into before.

It has been so long since I sent you a copy of the "threat", called that for lack of better designation and because it may, indeed be, without even admowledgment, that "must conclude you want to break war this kind or work more than you have indicated or are not well. If the former, there is nothing wrong. You should feel neither guilt nor embarrasament and should say so. There is a limit to how many masters a man an serve and serve any well, and you do have professional responsibilities plus the needs of your own further preparation. Yong ago I suggested that the latter should be your first consideration. Apthing has changed since then, including my opinion.

However, in this case, I wanted two readings from an expert: is this consistent with what you know of a potential suspect; and can it let you say anything about the author or authors that could be helpful in determining the possibility of serious intent?

On the chance that some poor sick and or men might really try something of this sort several of us have been giving it what time we could, and have had a record of all seeming possible interpretations, whether or not we believe the or even believe them likely. Determinations can be made later.

Getting close to August. Hope you do get to come. Ho word from Tary. Bud says that Buck is quite sick.

Lil is aking clow progres. Her leg is bothering her a bit more tonight, but she walked more freely during the day that she had. On the other hand, during the day she found water-temperature too cool for its comfort and got out carlier than usual, while yesterday, when the water was cooler, it didn't seem to bother her a bit, conight she went to bed with a pillow under it, the way she did for some time after the fall, for the first time in a long time. I take this as a sign that it is more ancomfortable than she wants to admit to me, not to worry me.

If it did not appear in your papers, F. Lee Jailey has announced that he is undertaking Carrison's defense on principle, convinced of his in ocence, and without charge. I am glad. I also hope he knows what he is getting into. I haven t seen the story yet, but I was told about it. I knew he was there two weeks ago, and Belii did bring them together three years or so ago. I have read the affidavits and an convinced the government has motives not yet visible and that there is not yet enough available for definitive analysis. I am pretty cortain that part of shat is in mind is an atomaion of passer repression and a further rewriting of the meaning of the laws through judicial interpretations. I am satisfied they have needlessly jeopardize faily cortain convictions of Garvais and the pin-ballers and that for this they must have something or things important to them in mind. Further than this I can't go. I do think they do not have a federal case on gim, that they might have a non-federal non-feasance case but couldn't get a conviction on that in any event, and that even without the probability of a jury favorable to him, that case is far from strong and would not be without reasonable doubt. There is absolutely no evidence of the purpose of paying him mything, and the only inferences are that it was for lobbying only. That is not illegal, nor would it be it he accepted feces for local services, not there. I have heard nothing from there, reached these beliefs from previous experience with the various personallities and the contents-and omissions- of the papers.