

11/2/70

Gary,

This suit development causes me to write about Crosby again. If I had the \$\$\$, I'd have phoned, because the idea of him seeing what I'd show him and then going to Clark now is not premature. If he is as you say, I think more than ever it was a mistake not to bring us together.

The real reason they're fighting me on the pix is that the pix blow the entire mythology. I have enough in my possession, one the FBI faked, for whatever reason I cannot guess with certainty, but I do have a notion. Their story cannot survive what I have, and it can less survive what I am asking for.

The courtroom as a forum ought drive them up the walls, so I suspect their play will try to get me tarrown out on technicalities. If they fail, they'll then deliver-enough, anyway, for this would deny me the forum of the courtroom, which they would be well advised to do.

In thinking tonight, not feeling up to work, I've got the notion that Justice has not really informed its USDA for the DC, for the lies in their answer are incredible. I'll try and get a good copy tomorrow, send it to Mary for redistribution. You, Sylvia, Paul, etc. Unless, of course, USDAs are that corrupt to begin with.

As my subconscious dwells on this while my conscious writes letters, I'm getting the notion that perhaps what troubles me most of all is trying to replace a competent lawyer in a court rather than in preparation. The difference is great. I think it wiser to assume that just about all the judges of the district court, esp in DC, are predisposed toward the government, which may make it easier to tie me in the knots of contrived technicality.

But Crosby is the real reason for this. What I'd want of Clark in this case is simple and could not injure him in any way. If he is, as most Texas boys, a hunter, it might also educate him.

Best,