b Harold,

Thanks for all of the stuff. Enclosed are copies of lifton's last two letters; the exact recent of which (by one day) came airmail special delivery. I assume that you are keeping it a secret that I am giving you his letters. I hope to keep writer which end enjoy the dialogue. In the present instance I told him that I would send to set to you and Vince to search for info which would confirm or disconfirm his targety which I, of course, don't believe and which I told Dave I didn't believe. The letter reised a significant point, however, and that is whether or not the I would be similar to the one Jim had. If I remember correctly, you said that Jim's was a rotten copy and the color changed partway through.

Don't send the Ralmer memo-Paul just forwarded me a copy of it.

I would like a copy of the stuff on Horsey you are getting since I am intersace in who he is and what he is up to and might be able to get someone who has no other the continuent gate him.

What have already seen my letter to Penn, if I sent you a copy. If I didn't in what letter, I gently raised questions of how he was sure of those he interview to be also pointed out that even if all of those people were the "real" people they about the case against Boxley doesn't even necessarily rest on whether they are telling the truth, since the major point is that he didn't about other things which are the thin that the thing together into a plot theory. This fragmentation in the critic contains part to prevented by giving some examples to Penn I think, and it is worth that setter that Boxley has no haven.

loof luck with the Dixiecrat. Don't forget that they all have copies of the warms file according to what we know. Or and at least they had copies of that file.

I am writing to the Archives immediately on Hunt and Rothermel. I will send some money also binde my govt, grant of \$80 must have run out by now. Maybel I shouldn't have runger put some more into my account so I will be able to order anything I want between this and Marion Johnson coming in sick on't Friday, I am overwhelmed by what I remove to be undeserved attention.

No product 2. 1883

In MacIndoe, my roommate (who owns our house), Freda's Australian friend, went the Archives with Freda and Mike to research Malcolm X's death and see the dFA FF to get the run around and then made the mistake of mentioning your name. Headless the try, they never got to see anything, but got researchers cards.

Take it easy and I'll write when I get together with Patsy. That big appearance one cap paper, both for the 20th, are weighing heavily on me.

Dear Gary,

I was most interested in all the information in your letter of Dec 30. Much, of not all, of the info re Juffe is entirely new to me. your tettler, demolishing as itwen, may state of bissful ignorance, ted to a series of occurrence that were comewnat repsetting.

here in California; and that it was shown to the local contract people at a screening on Decomber to was not invited to this screening, and didn't even hear of it witil this week, when inquiries I will that were inspired by your letter) turned up the information (why I was not asked to go, I'll get in later).

Anyway, I understand that beader the Zapradice film, which is a prece of gold, a lot of the vest cold the film is very flimsy. I do not know details, but an told that one part of the film a clates directly to New Orteans, and would have been very clamaging and unethical pre-trial parhitute, from Garrison's point of view. I am told that the portion of the film relating to Dealoy Plaza discussion to dotted with insubstantial material, mixed in with the very substantial Zaprader film. I am with the very substantial Zaprader film. I am also told that my original discoveries of images-behind - the-wall in the Moorman photo were also on the film; Again, I do not know details.

I also was told that, after the film was shown on December 13, that there was quite an aprour in the Menger Field / Ray moraling group against Juffe. Marcus asked Juffe

to account for various of his (Juffes)
actions, and to defend his "investigational
integrity". As a repult, It a star cannot
proceeding was held at Maggie Fields home
where, with tape recorder young with the
permission of all concerned, Juffe was ask
to explain (presumably, the cercumstances of
the film's composition, and how this or the
ended up on it).

I am told that the general consensus
engs that Juffe, however reekless, for lish, etc.
mis not an accut, but I understand that
mancus still purher that hypothesis as the
explanation.

(,-:.

I have some questions.

i) How did the Zapruden film end up on Farewell America? Do you know? Or have theory?

2) Did Garnion get a copy of the Z

For his possession. Thod Asways been under the assumption of the Time /Life people retained possession of the film, and showed up with it, at Garrison request, when the time counce to show it as as part of the Grand Jury proceedings Am I wrong? Is it true that Garrison was given possession of this film?

If true, doesn't that suggest that such a copy become the source for the FARFWELL America insert, and, if so that Jaffe was probably metrumental in making that copy available to Lamoure? Please let me length with

About the screening, and my non-invitation A handworthen letter at 4 in the morning is NOT a good place to describe a the serious fend that begun years ago. Somelay el might send your and there exchanged. Maggie Fields is the hosters out here in LA. As you may know, the Fields are millionaires with a large palatial home. Maggie intellectual independence, however, is in musice proportion to her wealth. In brief, she is Race satellite - mind. I bearned, back in 1965, that it was useless to try to deal with her as an intellected independent. None of which is very important, except for the fact that she is quite a BITCH, in the real classic way. I'm being frank about my feelings: Anyway, everytime anybody of any importance comes through town, there is a gathering at Magie's house. There have been such for Currison, Lane, Mayer Ulida - you name 2t, Maggie, The horters, has had her party In the fall of 1966, I stopped getting invited. Everpince, I have worked pretty much in isolation working on my own, while - weeks after they had come and gone - I'll bearn about the comings and goings of a host of personal I would love to have met. Burton toisted off a rumor that I



Hurold Wessberg. This was pewer bull shit, and I was so upset that I wrote a 8-10 page single spincel letter & Hurold Wessberg, explaining and conster attacking, and sont about 10 copies-sent to various parties.

There's more to that plus other incidents.

The tow weeks later, KAN sent a 3 page letter?

Sprague attaching me, with for I copies sent?

Various Georgeon critics. I had not bearned. its existance until 7 months later, in December 1967, as Ray had not given me à copy land would not then, and still has not. Can you beat that?). In Dec, 1967, in the Ray cozied up to get a look at my correspondence with Tink. I no idea, at the time, that he intended to spreduce the test in a 26 page attack! After the 26 page letter went out, I balked at Ray's theory that Thompson was an agent. An argument ensued At that time, I learned of and contrasted RAY with the existance of his previous (MAY 1969) attach one me! He wouldn't give me a copy, even then; and that's when I wanted nothing further to do with him. We've spoken about once since Dec 1967 Em June 19 when he screamed at me that I was "helping the murderers of John F. Kennely" by my carticles on Garrison in Open City.).

Result: the pain maggie Field: /Ray MARCUS
"establishment", around whom cluster Burrow,
Taffer and other lesson lumin aries. Its so
had that people who do speak to me swear
me to secreey NOT to reveal that we are
friends, for "if Maggie finds out" they have

the morning one final question: you state hat a double head hit is "quite clearly indicate by the autopsey of the head." Can you hat a matchell, whice you mean by that? Are you referring to the basic medical argument for a double-head-hit that is in Tin book? If not, can you indicate, roughly indicate, and quickly, to what it is you are reterring?

Sorry for all the bitching, phis
my handwriting
Besides sending you the pictures, and a mic
Ray Merroro correspondences I also ought &
Send you a 12 page memo I del on the
head snoop, dated 3/20/67. Hove you seen
already? I send one to Trak at that tim
energy from presidences, and Trak at that

be invited to her home anymers. The result is that I have been at functions where people who are normally friendly will apologize in advance for the fact that their will have to "Egnere" me, in order not to alienate Fields!! So now you see why I think of her as a bild.

Given thise state of affairs, It can see why I was not invited & FARENELL Amenica, despite the fact That I have yet to see the 2 film; and despite perheaps it wouldn't suprise you that I'm NOT even told of the existence of my own work on that film, by either Field, Jally Marcus, Burtons I have learned some very bitter lessons from there experiences, and have no intention of shaving a thing with these people in the future. I have pretty much tried to ignore them,

though my curvatty was aroused by your letter, and what it rearned upon poking around year pissed me our again.

(ours)

Gary Schoener Box 392 Mayo Hospital Minneapolis Minn

1.55

Dear Gury,

I am resisting the urge to call you this afternoon, and decided I'd save \$ and put a particular matter in writing. What follows is purely a hypothesis; I have no evidence. I have been doing a lot of thinking about the Zapruder film being on Farewell America, Jaffe's role etc. Yesterday, I had to call Vince ever-so-briefly to discuss something, and he told me that Time/Life did give Garrison actual possession of the film. So now I have at least that fact straightened out.

OK. Here goes with my hypotheses. When you get a chance, I want your comments.

Carrison gets possession of the Zapruder film. Being a red-blooded critic, he knows damn well he is sitting on a piece of gold. As a critic, he'd love to see the film reproduced and one or more copies get out, so that researchers can get access to it, and so that it will finally have been wested away from the grip of Time/Life, at least for private research use, even if its illicit publication will always be prevented by the US copyright laws.

What to do? That is the question.

As DA, he simply cannot afford to monkey around with it as :For.to do so, he would then give the U.S. establishment a legal lever with which to hurt him, and badly. All that would have to happen is for there to be any evidence that Garrison violated the legal contract under which that film was made available to him, and he could not only be prosecuted as a person, but his professional credentials as a lawyer could be revoked. All the trials he has scheduled would be risked.

So he decides to let someone els do the job, "the job" being, getting that film reproduced.

First of all, he'd need someone with a small knowledge of photography. You just don't make duplicates of color movies in a home lab; you have to know where to go to do that. Which brings him immediately to the next problem: if that film is brought to a U.S. lab, it is sure to come to the attention of the authorities. It is clear that a lab must be chosen somewhere which can process the film, knowing that they are dealing with the Zapruder film, and keep mum about it. In fact, you'd want & lab that not only would keep mum about it, but would be sympathetic to the entire project.

There is no question that any U.S. lab would just about be the worst place to do such a thing, and that a European lab, and particularly one in France, might be about the best. As a people, the French had a real love for JFK, have a continuing interest in the assassination, believe there was a conspiracy, dislike the U.S. Government and its Vietnam foreign policy, and don't like the CIA.

As a courier, to go to France, Garrison needs someone who knows about photography, who can handle himself in situations that could get tight, and who has the time to make an overseas trip.

Obviously, Jaffe would fit the bill. The older critics, like Garrison, have families and professions that would make such an undertaking too risky.

So Garrison settles on Jaffe.

Now, you have to realize that anyone, and I mean anyone, who has knowledge of such an undertaking is a potential witness against Garrison in any court action instigated by Time/Life for doing such a thing. Therefore, such a thing would have to be handled between Garrison and Jaffe, only. Garrison lets Jaffe have the film; Jaffe is the courier. And to explain Jaffe's "trip to Europe", some sort of "cover story" is floated by Garrison for the benefit of other critics, like Weisberg, yourself, Salandria etc. who samply don't have to know about such an arrangement.

All information like this has a finite leak-time. If you want to keep it a secret, you just don't tell it. Swearing 8 people to silence is not the way to keep a secret. You just don't tell them, if their knowledge is not necessary.

And, I'm sure you realize, no one had to know what the hell was going on but Garrison and Jaffe. Both are in the same boat: each is liable if Time/Life finds out. So both have a common motive for keeping mum.

Ergo, Jaffe now departs to Europe, with a few insiders being given the "Rrench Intelligence Agency" caper as a cover story. With him, Jaffe has a copy of the Zapruder film, THE COPY THAT LIFE GAVE GARRISON.

Jaffe now departs for Europs. Hereof course, the details get fuzzy. I don't know where he would go to do the job. I now where $\underline{I'd}$ go.

In 1965, when I first discovered "images-behind-the-wall" in the Moorman photo, I sent the material to Buchanan, not only for safe keeping, but for his opinion.

Here are excerpts from his correspondance to me at that time:

1) Buchanan's letter to me of May 29, 1965

والمتنا والمنا المنطول والمستوال المراوية والمنتوان والمنافية والمنافية والمنافية والمنافية والمنافية والمنافية

"I have received your cablegram, and the next day the negative and print you sent to me were forwarded to me by The Express.

"As you suggest, I will have them examined by at least one person with enough professional experience to give an expert judgment as to the significance of what is found there... In this examination, I have access to the photographic service of some of the leading Paris papers. Is you have requested, I will not release these photographs for publication at the present time, even in the event that an analysis confirms, your first interpretation. For that reason, it would be more prudent if, you would address your future correspondence to my home, and not to the Express. I ask you, in return for this protection, to divise me of your future findings, and to do this promptly....while I can help you on the photographic evidence, and---if your own interpretation seems to carry weight----I can assure you that your findings will attract worldwide attention, I think you should also seek the assistance of other groups add individuals..."

I quoted the above letter to show how interested and excited Buchanan was.

His next letter goes into more detail.

from his letter to me of June 8, 1965

(after his comments on the blowups) "I have an appointment with a friend tomorrow who has access to one of the finest photographic studios in Paris. None of us, unfortunately, is an expert on police investigation. If we had to count on the police, however, I'm afraid we'd have to wait a long time!"

from his letter to me of June 14, 1965

"As I advised you in my letter of June 8, I made arrangments to have enlargements printed from your negative by what is probably the best-equipped photographic studio of all the French newspapers. I can now give you the result of this examination...(lots of opinions)...

"I can now report to you that, through the intervention of a friend here, I've been promised help from a completely unexpected quarter which I can't identify, except to say that if you had to pick some one to check the work of the F.B.I., these are the people best equipped to do it. I would like you to be extremely discreet about this, since to talk about it would jeopardize the whole operation and might get some one in trouble.

"The person to whom I refer now has the entire file of photographs (the ones I had sent Buchanan--D.L.)
He has asked me whether it is possible for us to get a photograph which he could use for a control...(goes into detail on type of control photos he would like--D.L.)
...the examination will be more than superficial, and it is of course the first thing a professional investigation would demand...

from his letter to me of July 5, 1965

"I had my first interview this evening with the offial who arranged an examination of your photographs. The examination was conducted by several technicians who make similar examinations as part of their daily work. Here is a summary of their findings: (lots of comment, image, by-image, of No. 5 man, No. 2 man, etc. ---D.L.)

"This operation having been conducted on a personal and unofficial basis, the man with whom I have been in contact did not feel that he could show the pictures to the head of the department which conducts this sort of work (emphasis mine--D.L.) but he is willing now to do this

"I'd advise continued prudence in regard to contacts that we have here.

The above quotes show the interest that Buchanan had, and the connections he had. A few months later, in Ottober, Maggle Field went to Europe, met Buchanan, met with editors at Paris Match; she brodgist a lot of her research from the 26 volumes to Europe. (Maggle has

relatives that live near Paris; and the Fields' go to Europe each Christmas, with the entire family, for prolonged vacations and skiing. Maggie, by the way, is fairly close to Stephen Jaffe. There is a warm relationship there.)

Anyway, the whole point of the above quotes and discussion, is not only to show that Buchanan had very interesting contacts, but to show that Buchanan met Magrie over there, they had quite a few extended discussions onthe case, and he and she went up to Paris Match together. The net result was that by the time Maggie came back from Europe, her in person contacts with Buchanan led to a more personal relationship than he had with me, which was all by mail.

That November, Buchanan ran a feautre story in Paris Match, and 22 other major periodicals around the world about the grassy knowl as the source of shots, featuring the Moorman images.

The banner headline, plastered across two pages of Paris Match, was: "There was a second shooter behind the Wall". Willis five was run, with a big white arrow pointing at the image at the corner of the wall. And the article was chock full of grassy knoll quotes from Maggie's research.

So much for the genisis of the deterioration in relations out here.

The important point is that Jaffe and Maggie are close, that $Mag \ell$ ie has relatives in Europe, and that, through Buchanan, Maggie was fully introduced to all parties concerned.

when she came back from Europe, she confirmed that Buchanan's contact was with the Surete, the French equivalent of the CIA. (** FBI?)

Having stated my hypothesis, I want to tell you what I think happened.

I think Garrison judged terribly wrong when he chose Jaffe for this little mission. I think that your description of him in terms of "total incompetence, status and adventure-seeking" is close to the mark. He is not one whom I would trust in anything that was sensitive. He sends out the vibrations of a man-without-emotion.

without soul, and incapable of experiencing the emotion of "concern", and will aple-polish so that he can get himself situated "where the action is". Every time he opens his mouth, he reminds me of the words Sylvia used. I believe, to describe the way the language is used in the Warren Report: "the use of the English language in the service of obfuscation and guile." He's a very slippery liar: from sentence to sentence, he tells the truth, in a technical sort of way. But in spirit, he lies. I've tried to corner him a few times regarding various matters: he is as slippery as a greased watermelon, used in the water polo games. Furthermore, Jaffe is the only person I've met in this case, who is on the side of the critics, who radiates the vibrations of a man on an ego trip, and nothing more.

Back to my hypothesis. I don't know what happened once Jaffe got to Europe with the film. Whether, in his glory, he stupidy chose to have dealings with LaMarre, who I understand is a french berry Schilter, or whether things got out of control through no fault of his own, I don't know. This whole construct is hypothetical.

But the result is clear: instead of just getting the film duplicated, and perhaps slides made, Jaffe gets involved in wheelng and dealing which results in the production of Farewell America. Furthermore, while he is over there, Garrison can't resist telling people that "French intelligence" agrees with his, Garrison's, theories. I am of the opinion that that statement has as its source an occurance similar to Buchanan's taking my Moorman images to someone connected with Surete.

Now Jaffe comes home. Presumably, he has copies of the Z film, and perhaps a slide set.

Meanwhile, the people with whom he dealt in Europe also have copies of the Z film, plus all sorts of research and theories that Jaffe spilled while he was over there. Between the mixed bag of photo research, New Orleans "plot theories", and the Zapruder film, Farewell America is produced.

Now LaMarre comes to the U.S. with his film. Its shown to a few critics. Those who have any common sense immediately realize what a threat such a film is tothe Garrison investigation, and to the critics. Jaffe is called out on the carpet.

And now something very interesting happens. The material in the film that comes from New Orleans, or from the critics, is blamed on laffe. Instead of applying the exact same standard of reasoning to the Zaprduer film, the existence of that is turned on its ear and used to prove that Farewell America is agency inspired. Else how could the Zaprder film be on it, the argument goes.

Which brings me to the next point: there will now be two groups of people who think that Jaffe is an agent (or that the film is agency inspired) but for different reasons!

- Group 1) Anybody who knows about Jaffe's true reason for going to Europe
- Croup 2) Those who don't know about the Jaffe/Garrison arrangment to reproduce the 7 film out of the country, but who do not like the film, Farewell America, and can only explain it in terms of a set-up to get Garrison and the critics in hot water. To this second group, the existance, on the film Farewell America, of the Zapruder film, is only further proof that the film is agency inspired.

Now consider the first group. This group could conceivably include only one person: Jim Garrison. Its possible that he might have told one other person. (besides Jaffe), of the plan, simply because it is troublesome to have anyone harbor a secret like that. I don't know who knows and who decen't know; I make no claims.

But anyway, the film <u>Farewell America</u> inspires group-2 people to attack it is agency-inspired.

Meanwhile, Garrison feels personally let down (at least) and perhaps double-consed (at most) by Steve Jaffe. But Garrision can say nothing. His hands are tied.

For him to admit, in the process of explaining Farewell America what he had done with Jaffe and the Z-film, would be to expose himself to all the dangers of court-action that I discussed in the Sacend page of this letter and which required secrecy in the first place.

Therefore, if Garrison is entertaining the idea that Farewell America is agency inspired, he probably does not think so for the same reasons as the "Group 2" people do.

Rather, Garrison would probably think that the hypothesis of Jaffe-as-an-agent might explain what he conceives; to be some type of "double-cross", rather than face up to his misdudgement of choosing, in Jaffe, a person who was so reckless, indiscreet, and self-seeking.

A variant on this theme would be that Garrison doesn't think of Jaffe as the agent, but thinks agency-connected people the the European end of the line learned of Man Jaffe's mission to Europe, and that Jaffe got had. Thus, to Garrison, the "agent-theories" which explain Farewell America The a rationalization.

The above is all hypothetical.

Its my reaction to theories, which I just do not buy, that Stephen Jaffe is an agent. Its also my reaction to theories, which I do not buy, that Jaffe makes a trip to Europe, lots of theories to which he is privvy end up on Farewell America, but that the Zapruder film, also New Orleans based, didn't come from there. Its also my raction, as a critic, to the sort of tugs my heart would experience if someone gave me a copy of the Zapruder film, and then extrapolating to the situation of Garrison.

It any of this is true, Garrison must really be off on a paranoid trip by this time, wondering just who the hell can be trusted. If there is any humor at all in the situation, it is that there probably are labs where the Z film could be duplicated right here in the U.S. I certainly know of at least one. I'm sure there are others. But I can easily visualize Garrison, with his love for exotica, dispatching courier-Jaffe to France, in order to do what he conceives to be "Mission Impossible".

With this letter; I feel I have saved one small fortune in a phone call. Please let me hear your thoughts on the hypothesis I have put forward at your earliest convenience. Particularly, rethinking events, do you find it explains certain things that have been puzzzling before! Looking forward to your reply

Dear Gary,

After thinking it over, I decided it was necessary to send Maggie copies of the Lifton letters. It is not simply because he has been maligning her for some years. It is also because of the i portance of the entire motter.

I think you should know that for some time Burton has been concerned about how Lifton manages to learn agas of the things he does, and Steve told me last February, when no one know where I was staying and Lifton called me there, that he had to have a source among those Steve trusted.

If you take the heat, or most charitable view, you have to consider he may be a vary wrong guy. Or, you take take a Vince view. Or, as I regard most likely, he is sick. Either way, or any, I think Maggie must know what these latters can tell her.

I do thank you for sending them, if serlier I garget.

Sincerely,