Dear Gary,

Re you let 2/28: I have learned from friends in the press that Louis finally called Tom in last week and fired him and counselled him to get out of town. I twice tried to speak to Moo today. The first time we had just started to talk when he got a call from im he had to take and the second time he said he was busy and I believe it, because as he picked up the phone I heard him speaking as though to Alcock. I do presume they have much to talk about and that it is pressing. So, until I can find out more, I can only wonder. Moo did ask that I (we) not say enything about it. Presumeably Jim will, in his way and time. However, I em anxious to know to whom he gave this stuff or for whom he was working, as it can be vital to all of us. That Vince did not tell you is incredible, for it is pert and parcel of the only-father-knows-and-understands attitude that has been so close to ruinous and reflecte what, almost without exception and to his knowledge, has been so wrong. Here I am, heving time tried to get them interested in realizing that he undoubtedly was working for the other sides with proof that he was helping it, with every other reason to suspect him - having a year ago insissted as a condition of my ever giving them anything it not get to him - having even tried to interest ince in doing something about him in December (Vines had a preference for arguing with him about his beliefs and public statements) - and the one person who cannot be trusted with this knowledge, when the press is - is guess who?

The only thing Finck added to the record is that it was "suggested" they not dissect, which is practically what I said anyway, and is in the existing record I have made, and the name of Admiral Ainney (see enclosed). The rest is all in my oublished work. Aside from Vince having read it when you did in PM, there were three copies there, plus citations to my earlier autopsy writing. More, I have just learned, from theirress, that Nichols stayed there throughout. He also read it, knew the backstopping in documents (which were also there ) and I had discussed just these espects with him at great length, on several occasions. He had been entirely unewere of them. Matter of fact, after first reading — when he just glanded at — this book, he undertook to get medical auspicies for it, including serialization in the AMA Journel. No, the importance of the Finck testimony is different and limited. Here is is crucially important. It is that it is testimony, was adduced in a court of law, was subject to examination by the other side, and was the responsibility of the other side. Thank God for their stupidity in trying to understake the defense of the Warren Report. Without that stupidity, we would not have this because of the mixed incompetence/paranois in New Orleans.

Vance is wrong in telling you the reporters in the courtroom stopped writing when Tadim took the stand. The fact is I got a more completenaceount from listening to CES and from the antagonistic Weshington Post (using wire-service copy) than from the New Orleans papers, which did give it much space. CES even quoted him (or the Post did) as saying "Oh, Christ" when he saw Shew with Ferrie because he knew Shew to be a notorious homosexual. There is absolutely no doubt about the dishonesty of the press, but there is also no doubt that in this case, the skepticism and treatment were earned. Even our friends in the press felt this way. I have heard and still do hear from them about it. That attitude, I repeat, is paranoid. This was our chance for access to them press when it could not be completely avoided, and it was blown. The reason the Tadims came forth was also given at great length, on radio and TV, in the Post.

I agree Phelan did essentially what Vince quotes them as saying he did. I have seen some of that evidence. If it is admissable, I think it is less than airtight. If they can get to court, they have a better case against both Sheridan and Townley, and I think Sheridan is the really important one. We have given them more on this, despite their reluctance to return calls for weeks at a time, noe one else, naturally, being busy, only they. Had Vince, for example,

even a single time, they would have known. They could not use it in this trial until Andrews was a witness. That made it admissable, and would it have been dynamite. Someone reputable overheard the pitch to Andrews, by Sheridan.

He certainly should have been in touch with me on the autopsy stuff at the very least, for you do not know what is missing from that testimony. If I was willing to give it ma away, he was unwilling to acknowledge how disesterously wrong he was on the Washington engle. Hed he called me amout any of the witnesses, knowing how much work I did in New Orleans, while I do not think the decision would or should have been changed, the record and the press would and could have been different. For example, Cobb, who testified Snaw's resignation had been "entirely voluntary", and Shaw's repetition of it, are perjurious. Ean you imagine, springing on Shaw during his cross-exemination, that he had actually been fired and that Cobb, personally had done it? Cobb heted him. One need only have peid attention to the felse testimony to gether this. Cobb had an annual, very big effair at his rench, ket in not less than 10 years this "close associate" had him there only two or three times, not since 1962? Yet, fascinatingly enough, Cobb, not his lawyers, sought the handwriting expert. When Shaw said he "never worked herder", it may interest you to know this is without meening, for he never, ever, worked herd. There is, in the N.O. files, from both Paul and me, documentary disproof of this engle, for Lawrence, of the Bloomfield Company, was working on that in New Orleans as early as the day of Oswald's picketing of the ITM, 8/16/63. More, there is the business of the LAR, opened up in the testimony of Shaw's former secretary. Cary, it is right and proper that you be true to a friend, but Vince bears a very heavy responsibility for so much of what is so wrong. Why may be a reason and in my mind is, but not the fact of it. The demoralization in the staff is in large part attributable to him. Read Kevin in the current AIC!letter.

SHAREST REALTS.

When I have more time I'll respond to your question, what did Rothermel mean. I have to get another letter done before I pick Lil up and it is now 4 p.m.

I feel comstrained to make an additional comment about Vince and his and their wenting me there. I phoned him well before I was comeplled to leave and told him I would not be able to return without help. He was and remained satisfied. Yet he is the one who had made the unkept arrangements, and if he felt unwilling to pay the cost himself, he could have advanced it until it was returned. I go into these things unwillingly but I feel compelled to. I made it explicit to him and Maggie, that I did not ever want anyone point an accusing finger at me for not being there. Thought I was not hopeful and did want to do other things(I was slready doing them in N.O.), I would have remained and this was explicit. ou have first-hend information, well before the fact, well before the crisis that eventuated. I think that in the end this will turn out to be one of the more costly things, this and what was so wrong about what had to be done, but not the way it was, in December. We could not prepare all the things missing from their case, not at that late moment. But we could have made what they had end did much better. Their case is openly controlled by perenois, as is their calling and not celling of witnesses, and this utter nonsense of everything and everybody being en agent lies at the rotting root.

We are concerned not with inner intentions, but with realities. The realities are unpleasant. They do not become less so by being ignored. We now have to worry about what will next be done, for there much that probably should be and much that should not, and I wonder whose voice is being neard, where there is a dependable counterweight, where, in fact, there is anyone who has more than a glimmer of some of the fact.

Hurriedly,

university of Minnesota



## MEDICAL SCHOOL DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIATRY AND NEUROLOGY MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

February 28, 1969

Dear Harold,

The job they did on Finck was excellent—Oser really did his homework. It is far easier for us to plan these things out of court than it is for they, who are unfamiliar with the material, to use them in court. Finck left a record which helps us immensely. If you add that to the Burkley material then you have a strong case for high level military involvement for your book.

Vince and I spoke last night and he said that he tried to get them to arrange for you to go down there but that it was too late in the trial. The rebuttal witnesses, Tadim and his wife, were excellent according to Vince and the Herrons. People down

there are predicting a guilty verdict.

After yesterday in court, Vince was outside and approached by the press, including Hugh Aynesworth. Aynesworth said "Where did you get it?", referring to Tadim. Vince replied (Anynesworth refused to even refer to Tadim as a person) that he was a good and honest American citizen uncorrupted by the dishonest press. Ayyyesworth told Vince he was going to punch him in the -mouth and stopped himself when he noticed Ruiz, the police investigator watching. He told Vince to come outside and Vince requested that he do it there. Amynesworth declined. Later on Aynesworth came up to him and apologized. Vince had earlier said \$"You have lost your cool man, you're in trouble". According to Vince the reporters in the courtroom stopped writing when Tadim began to testify. His story is that one of their two sons was taking flying lessons from Ferrie and then they found out that Ferrie was a homosexual, so they went out to the airport to check on things. Ferrie came out of the hangar with ### Shaw, and when they asked whether Shaw as another pupil, Ferrie replied that he was an old friend. Takkim had seen Shaw many times before in the French Quarter. His wife, who Vince says was a beautiful witness, verified everything. The reason they came forward yesterday morning and not before, was that the night before, while watching TV, they became disgusted with government suppression, and decided to tell their story. Garrison decided to risk a plant, and apparently Dymond was at a loss to do anything with them.

Vince says that there is a very good chance that they will arrest Phelan and charge him with "public bribery" for his attempt to suborn perjury from Russo. They have proof of this. Phelan tried to get Russo to say that it was Bannister and Lewellan rather than

Shaw and Oswald.

Vince said that they have a signed confession from Bethell which says that he gave the complete trial plans to the defense, and that this was arranged through someone other than Dymond or Wegman (I have forgotten the name) from the defense. There is no mention

of money, previous leadks of info, or the more useful things.

I can't wait to see my Archives stuff. I barely remember any of it, except that there were many things which excited me. As you know, I didn't even stop to take notes. Even if I had two eyes I couldn't have gone through more. That was thousands of pages and I think I did at least a 99% accurate job of spotting stuff. Have you come across the affadavit about a Ruby-Oswald connection. From what I remember it sounded solid when I read it and I could hardly believe it.

I will have no time for reading until I am home Min Phila. I will be there from March 16th until the 22nd, or perhaps sooner. Due to many things, I will not be able to hit the Archives or come down to visit, but I will have time to read. I will also be putting together some newspaper articles then. Next week will be 100% study for finals which will be the next week. I am way behind on work due to many things, the

flu, the case, appearances, talks with rich people, etc.

I'd better go now. Take care and give my regards to your wife.

PS What was that that Rothermel said about a burglary.

and the state of the state of the most some wholes by the states, including

tend de la comita d Comita de la comita

La particular son and compared to the second of the second

The state of the state of the second second

কৈ বিজ্ঞান কৰা কৰিবলৈ প্ৰতিষ্ঠান কৰিবলৈ কৰিবলৈ আইছিছে আইছিছে কৰিবলৈ কৰিবলৈ কৰিবলৈ কৰিবলৈ কৰিবলৈ বিজ্ঞানিক প্ৰতি of the content of the design of the content of the

and a first the state of the st

made leaves that the first remaining it is the

land for the state of the first land the transfer of the state of the

To medically and extraction of the second of

Barrell and highly broke Barrell Broke Broke

Highligh Pair Stanley