

5/29/69

Dear Gary,

With Lil working and, though inadequate, a slight income for the first time in many years, I have a new complaint: it ruins my work. I'm trying to (and usually succeed) sleep until 5:30-6:00 a.m. Then I take her to work, go to the p.o., get the NYTimes, and, if I have any slight chores, like notarizing a statement for John Nichols, get them done. I try and work about an hour outdoors, to get some exercise, which I feel I require. Well, here it is time to go for Lil and I've done nothing else except most of the mail!

Sp. to your 5/27: Glad to get enclosures. Had clipping on Cubans. There seems to be much activity of this sort at Union, or in that area. Interesting Dell is suddenly finding books to sell, instead of saying they have none. McCarthy has a strange way of "leaving the way open for a third party", by guaranteeing an abortion. Good business with the editors!

No further word from England. Fine on Jaffe. I have one Specter clipping, from when I was in Wil. last week. If there is no change in your financial condition and problem (medical bills) let me know. I have a further idea, something that would be awkward for you to do.

Radio hoax: is government hoax. Geyser found the young amateur said to have confessed he did it as a prank. He says no such thing and that there has been no action (automatic, let me add, by FCC, if not FBI) against him. He still has license, and there has been no threat to revoke. In short, their explanation, in court, is false.

With Fred: You are right to do it your way, even if that is, as I think, wrong. Your arguments in favor may be valid, all of them, but they do not address the two basic points I made as I recall them: he cannot do as much good as he will and has harm and will misuse anything you give him at some point when it serves what he believes his interest; and he will revert to the same conduct again, for you'll not change him or his character. However, I'll be interested in his response. So far as I am concerned, it is worth no more of my time. I do not care what those people, who have been so hurtful, think of me, and compared with what can be done with the time required, it is not worth any time. Besides, it is a futility.

I leave Katen/Paul up to you. That K was capable, and that close to vince, is enough for me.

King stuff not yet arrived.

If you write GCI, which is GIC, send copy to member, Dr. Steve Pauley, who lives in LA, 1219 Villa Woods Drive, Pacific Palisades. If you would write George Abbott, who is very busy (You met his fine father, Sam, in DC with me) send a copy to Prescott Nichols, because George is due east in June, which is right here.

Check on rest. What is nature univ police inquiry? Get those asked to give you statement with all details, including questions, purpose of inquiry, if indicated or stated, what they said, etc. Do not overlook making an adequate record, for they will have one, and they are capable of having a wrong one. Also, you should know purpose.

Gotta go get Lil. Have a good holiday.
Best,

UNIVERSITY OF

Minnesota

MEDICAL SCHOOL

DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIATRY AND NEUROLOGY

MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455

May 27, 1969

Dear Harold,

Thanks for the letters and enclosures. Coming under separate cover from me is Dave Lifton's newspaper file on the RFK thing, which came by way of Fred Newcomb. These are yours to keep. I realized that there was no way for you to copy legal sized stuff and so did it myself. Enclosed is Atkinson's most recent letter to Dell. Once the committee is organized for the summer we will give them real trouble. The other enclosure I don't fully understand (i.e. reference in his note to Union, NJ) but may be of some interest. It was a very well received lecture presentation I gave to an organization of industrial editors and journalists.

If Warren Smith (memo) of Clinton Iowa is in this area, tell him to drop in on me. I will be here most of the summer.

I got a copy of the stuff you did from Nichols from him today. Thanks. I will send him a letter of thanks also.

Agreed on Dick B. He did a lot of good hard work and learned much, if nothing more than that his theory was wrong. He is helping establish a record you began on Frazier which would be significant in the future, and is useful now.

Thanks for mentioning that woman to Louis. I am still interested. I guess the Rose-McNabb thing, which started with an identical lead, is still fresh in my mind. That stuff was public knowledge too.

The English stuff on the Ray case is fascinating. Glad you are on to it.

I will write my parents to try to get you clippings from the Phila. papers on the Spector thing.

On Jaffe, I will find out what his address is, contact him, and try to encourage him to visit you if he is in the Washington, DC area as I think he is. You can take it from there. He is bright, has an excellent legal mind, and has quit school here for law school. He has the typical lawyer-type of conservatism but is susceptible to evidence and open minded.

Thanks for the kind advice on my financial situation. At present there is still a chance that the city will take care of it, so right now I am working on that. I do not intend to pay it myself. I saved a guy's life when the police were afraid to do anything, and then almost got killed myself and lost an eye due to their negligence. That I am alive and am not seriously brain damaged and still have one eye is little more than a miracle. I have many friends out here and was a hero for the incident, not to mention having been applauded many times for my work on the case and continued public appearances.

I agree on the importance of the Oswald frameup. They are very vulnerable on that, and it can even turn the tables and show the possibility that his only involvement was as an informant, and that as Ford said in his book "the FBI had a man on the job", and Lee is the hero. Also, the frameup melds easily with the medical stuff, such as with CE 399.

I couldn't follow you on the Gavser article on the radio hoax, or on Canale. I haven't seen it.

With Fred, against your advice I have written a letter and quoted your explanations on the Lillian thing and with Maggie, so as to make a record of it. I would like to snap him into line if for no other reason than the one you mention in your letter: that he may be turning off other researchers. Fred often does this, and many cluster around him because he comes out with photos, slides, and other glamorous stuff. The stuff you will get on the RFK thing is from him (Lifton indirectly) and there would be no other way for us to have gotten it.

I may mention the thing about Tom Katen to Paul, but maybe not, since it was totally irrational and I really feel that he has abandoned it. In fact, Tom sent to Paul to purchase copies of his memos--something I suggested so as to calm down the irrationalities, whether I was there or not. Paul has got to be the last person among us to be considered an agent.

You should by now have the King stuff as well as the De Voisoli stuff. I made a mistake. Remember--they are both yours.

I will pursue the Sirhan faking angle as soon as I get more info. I will write to the state of Calif. and try to get court records of the psychological testing. Fred will not come through, I am sure, and the rest are useless also. Perhaps I will ask Dave Lifton. He gives proper value to public records, newspaper clippings, etc. The findings will go to you, but he just might have the raw data. No other critic could do this analysis that I propose--it requires much training, which I have. Furthermore, I am an MMPI expert, which is something common to find in Minnesota but rare or nonexistent on the West Coast. It is nigh on impossible to pursue things from this distance. Before trying Lifton I will try the CCI in San Diego.

Still setting up to get a guy ready to go to work on Moritz. He will be in Cleveland for quite some times but needs more facts. I should have some other items of interest for you in the near future.

Best wishes.

Gary

PS: The university police dept. has just made an inquiry about me, so it looks like something is up. It would be interesting to know what it's all about. Sounds ominous.