Dear Gary.

Herewith your two letters to F &M abd one to Dave. Thanks. This while thing seems more incredible with everything you let me know. Not one of those people can be normal, rational and Dave becomes more sinister with each disclosure. Do you realize this mell dates to be fore he knew or met me, to before the appearance of any book but WHITEWASH, and to before it was sold in LA, to thebest of my present recollection? It was not until the American Bookzellers' Association annual convention, which was the end of the first week of June, that I was able to reach and interest any wholesalers in WHITEWASH? Shipping to California by bus took some time, and that wholesaler, to the best of my knowledge, did nothing with it until I did a radio show by phone with Joe Dolan, then in Oakland, which set the place on five. It was the Tursday night-Friday morning before the Rourth of July, and there wasn't a book in SF. He rushed them up from LA in response to the great demand, sold 500 immediately and reordered by phone. I do not know what might have happened in an individual bookstore in the LA area, on whether any copies had been obtained from NYC, where there were some. Hal first called me the day of that broadcast. I'd never heard of him before then. So, with my recollection being that Dave started his campaign against me in une of 1966, should I not wonder why, whether this can all be explained by illness?

I feel okay. I suspect what I now feel is anxiety over the diagnosis of anxiety. I am taking it a bit essier, getting into the pool more often; bedding earlier, trying to stay asleep in the a.m., etc., and not pushing as hard at writing...The medicine is Equanil or Milltown, ot eliminate spelling error. Do not extend yourself or, please, do not buy it. The is a free supply available. The two I take nightly seem to do some good, for I am able to sleep longer. I never take the other two during the day, but if I begin to feel at all excited, as from content of the writing. I take one.

Thanks for the Square. I'll still see if Bud's machine will make copies when next I'm there. I am still weiting to hear from Paul on the microfilm data. I'd have tried making arrangements lest week when I was in DC if he'd responded.

By now you know I got that Councillor. Wish there were space to work in their story and ad for the hhemincal gun for women and the "endorsement". It is strange that they left the IHO angle out....Jerry does call once in a while and I'll tell him. I esked him to do it through you because you said Fred was engaging in the futility of trying to appear npt in it.

Northwestern ref was to prof. or response yet. I may want to go into that in AGENT OSWALD.

Your recollection on Fred and 133A&B is essentially correct. Let me tell you the whole story as I recall it and I believe my recollection is accirate because it is so flattering. As you know, I always carry a little something with me on the cchance of getting someone new to do some work. That trip I had these pictures and planned to use them also on the Pyne TV Show if they'd go for enother and planned to get a rifle (and did) to show the dlip dropping out, as Dick says, like a stool from a cow. I left all arrangements to Steve/ Fred had just joined the committee, was aware of and fascinated by my work, and read through my ellipsis rather well. He asked that Steve bunk me there. He then explained this to me and he was quite on the point, as with Willis 5 and other things he specified. This understanding of my suspicions, he told me, is what really turned him on. And he liked my direct and hot manner. When I found he is an artist and with photographic connections (he had already done the Willis 5 thing for my, with a sketched overlay, gave it to me, and I think I also showed youbthat

I went into the rifle pictures with him and asked him, if he were to attempt to prove them a fake, he would go about it. His reply was almost spontaneous when I showed him both pix: what he did for me. He would enlarge the heads on both to identically the same sizemm, make negatives of each, and overlay. I either gave him a set of pictures, which is what I thank I did (for I know I had two sets I get at the same time and now have only one), or told him how to get them from the Archives, gince that would be faster than awaiting my return (and I knew I'd be busy woth oo coming and trying to record everything I did on that trip). He did exactly what he seid he would and sent it to me. Without any wrong intention, he also made a set and sent it to Jim. I phoned Billings trying to interest LIFE in this especially because they used that picture on the cover, loaned them to the National Enquirer and told others trying to interest some publication in his work. I probably have some letters establishing this. may remember ancorrectly, in that this may relate to other pictures, But I think I sent you a letter in which he thanked me for giving him pictures he did not have to return (as I asked he do with the SS reconstruction pictures I loaned him). That was only part of what - have done with this single thing for AGENT OSWALD. I believe I showed you, and I know I wrote him, about what a high-school student showed me (I having missed it) in these pictures, the doctoring of the woman in the background. Fred said he didn't see it. I have his letter. Not very sharp. I think by that time he didn't went to. There are other things he and Watson should never have missed in those pictures, more visible and more credible than what he has found. I am confident \bar{I} took the time to write and thank him, tell him what I was trying to do, etc., even though that was a rough period, with il in a cast. His letter to im, which have with the negatives still taped together with the masking tape commercial artists use, is dated 3/18/68 and makes no reference to my having interested him in this. It begins, "I got two prints (Wommission Exhibit 133A end 133B) from the National Archives" and describes the markings I had had them make on the reverse side of mine, what they usualy would not have done that "they were identified as copies from the original negatives and numbered". He wrote on the top of the carbon he sent me "Harold-Jaffe mailed this stuff to Jim and so far no word...in fact Jaffe tells me the pkg never errived!" ... After this thought I'd check file. He first wrote me 12.14/67 after reading PW. High praise and some questions. The enclosed note addressing itself you the purpose of your question was written at the bottom of his 2/27/68. He says I gave him both pictures. So you can better judge whether faulty memory is in any way involved and how far back some of what goes, I've copied these things for you, including the inscription I had ohnson add to the back of the pictures. I think you' recognize his writing.

mentioner to married the reserve the second of the control of the second of the second

Need anym more? These people all have the same sickness: recognition. If it were worth the time I'd go through my files and send you a copy of my writing one of them of discovering the color change. I think it was about the time of Mark's wretched piece in the Freep (which also owes me money and doesn't respond to bills or letters-they are all alike!).

Before getting back to work, did you notice Penn's acknowledgement that he owes me money? It is about \$2 125.00, for me quite a bit. He finisk flies around the world while telling me how strapped he is - and I'm the bad guy.

I regret the time all of this takes, but you are dealing with those people and I do not want you to have the experiences I've had. I make no pretenses of superholiness or being better than the next guy, but you show me one of them or anyone else I've dealt with who will say that I consciously did anything to hurt or demage him and say it to my face....Did I ever tell you the project lifton did to ruin me with the NYTimes, how he went to a great deal of trouble and did a special report to prove me wrong on the Z camera, its speed, etc, and did it all in terms of Nix's? How more scholarly can you get then to use the wrong camera, one with different characteristics? This is old stuff. Best. H



MEDICAL SCHOOL DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIATRY AND NEUROLOGY MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455 7/ 30/ 69

Dear Harold,

I hope this finds ;you in good health, or at least in improving health. In your letter of 7/24/69, you wrote that you are taking 4, 400mg "mepromobate" tablets per day. I read it as meprobemate and then reread it today and realized that it wasn't. Is your spelling correct? In the meantime I will try to dig up a drug supply.

Got your letters of 7/26 and 7/28. Your letter to Jim was a good one. In your letter to Hal you mentioned the Butler piece, but not the cartoon, which I thought would really raise your blood pressure. That issue is yours to keep—I have already ordered another for myself. The size makes copying prohibitive, and copying would cost almost the amount of the magazine by the time you see all that is in that issue.

I am not familiar with Clyde Johnson or with the Baton Rouge part of the case.

On the Councilor, the name of the top gun in JFK's death has now become Santaino, rather than Santano. They add a letter each week. The full story on the King blackmail, which I will send if Dick doesn't, indicates that they learned of an affair King had with a white woman, which naturally was a communist ("her" name was Queen Bee which raises questions as to whether she was a woman to me, or rather, if it is true, a type like Shaw). The big commie was good old Corliss Lamont who set them raving in the Oswald case, although strangely, they do not note any parallel with Oswald who, of course, had Lamont's pamplet.

If you hear or see Jerry Policoff, tell him that I will send him his film as soon as it arrives I do not have time to write him until I send the film, especially since I have expected it since the beginning of the week.

On Butler, take time to listen to that record"Oswald: Portrait in Red."

My car is in much better shape now, and should be done soon, and the bills won't be high. But a Dallas trip will have to wait until after prelims.

Thanks for the clipping from the Bost.

I have lost the train of thought on your references to Northwestern, unless you wrote to the psychologist who testified. I plan to write him and ask him a few questions. He too will appear in my attack on psychologists and psychiatrists who have congributed propaganda and bullshit to this case.

Enclosed are two letters to Fred and Marlynn and one to Dave which I need back. I don't know whether I sent them to you or not, but I am sure that I didn't send you the one to Dave. By the way, I sent a copy of my last letter to Paul (which you have a copy of) to Dick.

Another thing I have forgotten to ask you about. I am certain that you showed Chris, Vince, and I color overlays of CE's 133A and B which you said Fred had done. Fred says that you couldn't. Is my memory that bad? I mentioned this to Fred and he said that he had never sent them to you. This is not too important, but will certainly give an assessment of Fred's memory, or my memory, as the case may be. It is mentioned, somewhat cryptically, in my letter to them of June 29. The screwed up lines in Dave's letter are rewritten in Fred's. Did Lillian ever send you that stuff. If not I will push Fred on it. He said that she was sending it. Remember, while I need these three back, that copy of Dick's is yours.

Best wishes.