Your letter of the 16 th errived today. Please explain the paragragh beginning "Baker wes very evesive". If you mean at the Arch., is that "Jhnson? That being the case, which photo(s). I'll be thers Tednesday but you carinot let me know by then. I'll be in D.C. egain next Mednescay, if not sooner. Then I get the docs, I'Il copy and send, Hel's to Paul unless it is this weok thet 1 get to copy them: aksy on the memo for Bud. However, I cannot find the medical one of Kroman, leading me to believe you took it back, I failed to copy it, Hel hes it, or it is misleid. I'll check agein and let you knon if you do not have the extra copy. Connot now....l think ouis undersiocd me and betwe $n$ hin an me there is no Iriction. He would not deceive me in any way becouse he knows I usually follow thru.

Wish you could heve been here longer. I spent three hours today making photocopies:

If you examine Ex 762, pp 2, 2,27, you cen perhaps underatand the new eddition I meds to COUP DPTAT. In tat connections, the re is a fltting juxtaposition with Abe. I have nevar had a response from his wife and never had the letter returned. If you recall ren Galt, Who was then working et tha $Y$, se I recall, he had much in ormation that l could not use coming lron him. I'd like to be able to get a first-person account from Abe, to use in just this context. I do not believs he will ever get as mood an eixing. I do not think I should write him directly, uf course, he may not want to, but i think it would help him. However, do nob indicate to ran what the book says, save to offer your opinion, that 1 maan is can you write fran to ask?

A British correspondent friend read it end thinks it moves things into a new era and has possibilities. i expect him to introduce me to someone Wednesday.... lis hea ppent just about gll her time typing and fittirg the additions into ylece. Lots of work.

Best from us both,

