Dear Jia, While

1/22/77

Your two notes of the 14th arm of wisdom I appreciate. If the caution about the waif turns out that way then the two really are related, a possibility I believe I recognized from the remarkable timing.

You are absolutely correct where you refer to entree. Mercever, anyone who knew of this and knews a ything about no would assume, correctly, that I am very curious to knew the truth of eight years ago - was she more of an agent than I was able to prove?

You underscore what Waldren teld me recently, that I am too trusting. Actually I did not question her account of how she get out, through another decision. Haybe. But if not you do not exaggerate the heft required to lift these gates.

The Burnham article was a dupe. D expect one temerrow. I think you'll want it.

JL and HR may both get the paper. If each does I'll have a will send a dupe. Otherwise
I'll make and send a cepy. I had not thought this through. Of course it represents the
policy decision, that no whances be taken on even accidental disclosures. Any truths,
nex matter how distantly related or even if not related, can be hurtful.

Of course the real danger here is from the work I have deas already. The danger exists. There had been agreement on use of some at the outset, to lay a proper basis. This has been turned around. New it is not possible that a lawyer did not know this was the correct and the necessary way. What it means is that knowledge of what was available was withheld and these who know turned around, were turned around or never meant it. All supposedly factual stories to date have been disinfermational. It all fits.

Your suggestions about the usues to which Rev. Sum "son and his nevement might be put remind me of one in a position to know and his writing. From the other side politically it is integral in much of Hunt's fiction. And it would not be new in the history of religious.

Your appraisal of Ages's importance in this period of disclosures coincides with mine. It will be interesting to see where he winds up. I suspect they are trying to force him to a place they can call red.

Netting him out of England does not really interfere with his publicahing. There is another purpose.

Hven't read the clips yet but they appear valuable with only one dupe. Particularly glad you spetted the Schiller piece. Nothing of that sort around here. He is a terrible person and a story. Noveput a lead in on him at the Enquirer through a friend there. If the story idea is approved they write it.

I've read the story. Iy is helpful in several ways.

Rest,