2/3/69

Mr. Vincent J. Salandria 2226 Delancey Pl. Philadelphia, Pa. 3 Dear Vince.

This letter is the beginning of the record I promised you I would make of the awful thing you have been doing and have just accomplished. You have just protected the Warren Report, Attorney General Clark and everyone in any remote way connected with the assassinations and their investigations from total destruction. You have done for the government what it could not possibly have accomplished for itself. In the course of so doing, you have probably ruined Jim Garrison's reputation among not just critics, but among all those decent people who do have an understanding of the fact and dow or will come to understand the unparalleled opportunity was the exploitation of which you prevented.

Particularly because of your unreined paranoia that almost everyone else is an agent, a termor you assiduously transmitted to Him, do I emphasize that if you were an agent you could not possibly have accomplished as much. I could build a much better case of agency against you then you have against anyone.

It has, to me, been one of the tragedies that you, one of the firstpublished critics, were the first to abdicate and were strong in your efforts to
persuade the rest of us continuing the fight for truth and the integrity of our
society was a futility. It is possible to interpret your recent activity and ininjudicious
injudicious
injudicious
injudicious of your influence as a conscious or subconscious attempt to justify
your onw abdication, your cowardice of your quitting.

It is also possible to argue that you are engaging in a self-justification because you not only refused to help but tried to dissuade me when I asked you to act as my lawyer in an effort to bring out what you have just prevented Jim from doing. You are consistent.

In talking to me Fridat night you made much of your judgement, and I asked you to examine the record it has made for you. I shall, to a limited degree, for I have no time for the gilding of littles. It is your own excellent judgement, you said, that you had to use for Jim's benefit. In each of the few of the available instances of your judgement that I will cite I ask you to pretend you are not involved, that you are impartial, and then ask the question, IIs this inconsistent with the action of an agent?

Dave Dellinger's publication of a review of WHITEWASH. You then accused me of plagiarmin, saying I had drawn on the work of others (non-existent at the time I wrote that book, as you should have known). When I challenged you and disproved your slander, actually in the form of blackmail, intended to force me to give you credit for what I had done, you foldowed the course of Salandria honor and did not withdraw your foul and self-serving slanders. This first instance of Salandria "judgement" cost me and honest criticism of the Warren Report access to the progressive-peace press, for had "Liberation", in that very early day, peid attention to solid criticism of the Report in honest books, that press might not thereafter have been silent for so long.

Then there is your "judgement" in your writing about the bullets. You, the progressive lawyer, the men who detests the excesses of the FEI, actually dedicated your writing to an FEI agent, Frazier. You kind praised him escitatically for his false, deceptive and crucially-corrupting testimony before the Commission. Had Frazier testified really honestly, the frameup of Oswald and with him that of history would have been impossible. You dedicated your writing to him, thus, inherently to his agency and J. Edgar Hoover.

Consistent with this is your handling of the FBI report when you wrote about it. Not once did you meantion the fact that the FBI, the same agency to which, in effect, you dedicated your labor, pretended to provide the definitive report on the murder of the President without accounting for all the shots it knew had been fired and without even accounting for all the injuries it knew had been inflicted.

At that time my disposition was to clobber you for your stalwart defense and protection of the FBI. How I now regret I did not, that I decided this could be the kind of error the potential for which was carefully built in by the other side, the sort of oversight that was possible with the needless complexity of the material. I accept full responsibility for this and acknowledge my own judgement was deficient, that your glorification of Frazier and his agency should have decided me otherwise.

began to study the Zapruder film. You were devoutly convinced and tried to persuade everyone that Connally could not have been hit until, what was it, Frame 287 (if so, you picked a good one, for that or one close does not exist)? Do you have to be reminded of your boorishness when you were invited to perticipate on a radio program that was supposed to be mine, when you fillibustered with consummate verbosity for most of the four hours on just this? That certainly was one way of being on a program for WHITEWASH, the first in that area and on a station with so widespread an audience. This conduct of yours, whatever caused it, is not inconsistent with that of an agent trying to mislead and to deny attention to genuine critical writing.

With this record of hindering me and a solid work, who did you help?
Epstein, and the name should be enough commentary. Thompson, the man who came up with the copout formula that might get the government off the hook. What better examples can be cited of your superb judgement:

I skip to your December whirlwind in New Orleans, where you spent every minute you could to persuading I im he should trust nobody and that everybody was or is an agent. This was unnecessary, was not warranted by any fact. In doing this you succeeded in separating him from some he might have gotten worthwhile help and judgements from and were your own terror campaign against him and his peace of mind. You could not have done more to frighten and disorient him. Then, for no reason at all, you mixed with your pose of group eminence a stance as Counteragent No. 1. You went around and put on guard those who might be, for no reason, based on no knowledge, and with such ferocity of determination it was not possible to stop you. You then blew an excellent chance of inflicting the greatest

and Jim. There was no possible resonal reason for the things you did and said, no possible constructive purpose to be served by them. Jaffe had already agreed to surrender his credentials voluntarily, as I had persuaded him earlier that morning, when he acknowledged to me that he might already have hurt im by the things he had done while he carried them. Because it is unperdonable for a private citizen to presume to speak for the district attorney of a major city, and in such a case, I did not take them. Only the you have the truly superior judgement that impels you to do such things, publicly and to enemies, so there will be no secret about it. He is not the only one I so persuaded, privately, without stink or the possibility of hermful publicity for Jim. So, the one major accomplishment of that outstanding demonstration of Salandria judgement, good sense and real diplomatic tact, that quintessence of understanding of agents and how they work - and of counter-intelligence know-how - was to immediately warm Lamarre. True genius- Salandria style.

With this but part of your record you pretend to have judgement not demeaning to a pre-puberty "ongolian idiot? You presume to advise anyone?

You pose as Jim's friend? Yet those things you have so loudly, so ostentaciously done in his name cannot but hurt him. I cannot believe your own experience in the world did not so warn you, as I cannot ignore that with all the vehemence possible you did it.

How you could presume to hold an opinion on the current matter without the most careful analysis of the papers Clark filed in court with your training as a lawyer excapes comprehension. When you did this, with complete abandonment of logic and reason, and I tried to get you to understand what, for the first time, we really had, you were never once responsive. Because others were present, I presume, you never once let me finish a thing without an interruption that was in no single instance germaine. Prior to Fensterwald's arrival, all you would talk about was the inevitability that he, too, is an agent. The reason boiled down to that he Mad failed to overthrow the government:

Not once were you even in point, not once did you make the slightest effort to respond to or refute anything. You had an answer for everything: a trap!

Would that we had more such traps and fewer "friends" and "helpers" like you! It just is not possible to adequately describe your use of the word trap", for with what I had by then already discovered in the papers at issue in that very court, it was a "trap" like none other, ever. What we have is the Attorney General certify—and ing the Warren Report and its account of kim investigations of the murder of the President was fraudulent and that key witnesses had perjured themselves. He did have an out, now that he had be a forced to provide descriptions of the suppressed evidence we had not previously had. He could plead the evidence was faked!

Some trap: Some Judgement: Some Salandria:

Whoever was responsible for that time-nick phone call to Numa Bertel in the courtroom was also responsible for the preservation of this monster Warren Report and everything flowing from and connected with it. His spectacylar success would have been short-lived had it not been for your valorous backstopping. For the first time, in a court of law - and in Washington! - we had a chance of doing what had never been done and what had never before been possible, and you prevented it! For the first time we could, in a court of law, have established the invalidity and much more - the motive - and you saved the government and its henchmen.

And you dere talk of others as agents?

Had we been able to go shead, we would have done more than accomplish what is clear from the above. We could have protected Jim. A victory in Washington would have validated all his claims of government interference that today stand without support to the average citizen and the press. Again we would have donné more. We would have given him a victory much more significent than convinting Clay Shaw can possibly be. Simultaneously, we would have put him in the position where even a defeat in the Shaw case would have been a victory for him. All these things your great judgement and dedicated friendship spared him.

Inevitably one who has written much finds what he has written sometimes appropriate in other cases. I refer you to the eplicage to WHITEWASH II, where I address myself to the record of the late President's "friends". On the first page of this strongly-felt writing I say "The late President had such friends he had no need for enemies. Caesar's friends had more honor. They worked in the open." Whatever your motive, nothing could better describe your "friendship" with Jim, your performance as his trusted "friend". It is like castration as a disease preventive.

I cannot ignore what I heard Friday night and what you did Saturday morning. Bud phoned me early to tell me of your call to him. In the middle of the loudest possible denunciation of any move in Washington, the one place we could not lose by moving, Jim phoned you. You then, pointedly, said you were moving the phone to me close to the one to him so I could hear what you were saying, and you went out of your way to let him know. What you then did and said is as opposed to what you stopped doing and saying to me as it could possible be. Since it was, as you knew, not necessary to move the phone, if you did, for I heard everything anyway, I can only assume your words were for Jim's benefit, in this case synonymous with deception. Then you immediately turn around when you think you had disarmed me and do the opposite again. If this does not provide a measure of judgement, it certainly does of honor and honorable intent. Without putting the phone down you tell me you are unalterably opposed to proceeding and simulteneously tell Jim you see no good reason why he should not! Then you come back on the phone to me and tell me the same thing all over again, we should proceed. You sure wanted to be certain I got the message, didn t you?

Without carrying this further, which is possible, I tell you I think it makes a parsuasive argument that you are, indeed, what you call everyone else, an agent. I also tell you what you have often heard me say, whether or not a man does what he does for pay is not as significant as the effect of what he does.

You have made your own record, and I shall do with it what I can. It is not important to me to decide whether or not you are or could be an agent. It is sufficient.

icient for me to understand that if you are an agent what you have done is more honirable, for then you will have been faithful to some trust, have done what some-one had the right to expect of you.

You have written the most invidious part of our history. No Schiller, no Thompson, no Epstein, no Poberts of "friend" like Salinger has been able to approach what you have done. It may be years before this can be properly recorded, for there is constructive work to be done. Eventually, it must be.

You must get full credit. Your have earned it.

Bearing on whether of not youkare or might be an agent is your qualification. If you are not you do meet a prime prerequisite, a psychological one. There is preference for those with paranois and a tendency for self-destruction. Like all paranoids, you can be and still not be sware, believe any effort to so suggest is part of a tremenduous campaign in some way to "get" you. But if you are not an agent, you certainly are a very sick man. I doubt you would head my advice or that of anyone else, but if you are not an agent you are long overdue in seeking competent help. On this subject you are completely irrational, your reactions are without sense or possibility of accomplishment.

As I told you in New Orleans, these flightful things you do, regardless of what impels them (Moo has an apt phrase about how much Chater's scout had earned Custer's trust), so unsettle me they make it impossible for me to work well for several days. If you persist in this dubious career, do me the favor of doing it without any contact at all with me.

It sickens me to write one of us as I have you. I told you I would.

I have but a single comment to add: if you are an agent, my hat is off and
my cape swirls.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Dear Bud.

Dr 5

Although you know and have known my opinion of ince for some time, I never dresmed he was capable of this treachery, to have had me listen in on his end of his conversation with Carrison and then so rapidly, do what he could to effect the marketer opposite.

When you get this you will know I have mailed the letter to him and to Lou Ivon for Jim. Other copies are going to Sylvis, Hech and Schoener only. The others (save those with whom Hoch will talk) are unwarthy of serious consideration.

When we meet again, remind me to tell you of a good development that, fortunately, has no connection with $^{\hat{N}}$ aw Orleans.

I want to go further with what I tried to persuade you this morning. This is a crisis point for all of us. This tall set back any effort to get access to what has been suppressed more than enything the government can do. I therefore feel it is urgent that we take certain immediate steps.

Whether you go any further or not, I again ask you to at least announce that you are filing a suit for me under the freedom of information act and possibly others. If you feel that you cannot, or if you later feel that you should not go further, I will have had time to try and get another lawyer. It is possible this inemcuseable thing may make that easier. But this announcement coming on the heels of Garrison's addication will keep all of us a little further from his brush. I have exhausted my administrative remedies, more than once, and satisfy that prerequisite. I also have a very broad area I can cover, of which the pictures and X-rays are but one. The others are without justification, have no fictitious contract to support them or give the government the possibility of invoking. It will be very had for all of us if we stop here, leaving the record Garrison has made. It will also make things more difficult, encourage the government to do more of the same.

If you will recall how much I wanted us to hold a press conference on the filing of the brief and consider what we might have accomplished with a little attention that was then possible, you can prhaps better understand my urgent desire that we hold one now. This new Garrison thing will focus attention on any press conference keyed to it. With what I can then disclose, we do have a chance of coming out of this rather well and getting a few telling points accross. If we do not do this we join Garrison, become part of what he has done. Had I the capability of doing this alone, I would. It presents a number of possible if not probable developments. One, with the judge as interested as he seems to be, with a little attention in the madia, should he be so disposed, he might herken back to what I did get in the record, that he had been lied to, deceived and imposed upon, that the papers filed do not say whatshe was displaced to say. I believe that, given the understand of how understated this was, how he had been really had, he just might get interested and do what I have always understood a judge has the power of doing under the secircumstances. At least we put him in a position to.

The criticism has often been made, somethines with truth, that the critics are tails on Garrison's kite. This is an opportunity to show our independence without in any way undercutting him. I think it is especially important for you because you have or had him on your board of directors. Now, with you his lawyer, this happens and you stand for it, you will never, otherwise, convince enjone it is not true. If he should lose or deport or conduct himself badly, you will by that be ruined. It is also the chance, then, to make it possible for your organization to have the pos-

sibility of getting started. More, however, while we have nothing to lose by a press conference that gets no attention, look how much we have to gain - and who much your committee in particular does. For you to hope to get going, you have to persuade people that you will be doing things. There is little likeligood of your coming up with what I already have and have given you free. A little attention to that and youtcheve a reputation. Although it is my work, you understand that it will enure to you. I think if you understand, as I know you do, that this is the one thing that cannot do me any personal good, that can only take the edge off my writing and book(s), you understand my seriousness.

In such a press conference, save for questions that are asked, we restrict ourselves to a few narrow and comprehensible highlights. This and the new area the changed overtones put us in, make it possible for other things to flow. For example, right now, this very minute, we have a formula that eliminates all the political liabilityate the new administration by making it a Clark rather than a warren or Commission matter. With five of the seven members Republicans, Nixon is not about to clobber the Commission, now or later. If the wolves have to be fed, they can right now be fed the expendables. In fact, there is now, for probably the only time, the chance that the new administration and particularly the new Attorney General, not to be hurt and able to get what they might regard as a benefit.

In a different area; in the political area, we are now where we were in court when huma got that call. Please do not have up in a couple of days or weeks look back with regret. We can now apply intellectual jude and turn all that heft that will be applied against us wack where it came. We will not apon be able to expect a duplication.

On a selfish basis, with you trying to start a law practise, this should be helpful rather than hurtful for you. It is more comprehensible than anything we can look forward to trying to present, is so gignified and significant in content that no client you would accept would be entagonized, and others who might not know about you and be considering Washington counsel might just be impressed, gavorably.

At this press conference we say, simply, that unlike the statement by the Attorney General, in fact, even his punel, that they "support" the Warren and autopsy reports, their work, limited and entirely inadequate as it was, destroys both. We cite as proof the suppressed knowledge that there was metal in the area of the thorax and the perjury, that there was none; the wrong location of the President's wound, of which we say it cannot on any ground be excused and is fatal to the Warren Report and its solution - and that knowing this thep penel and the AC were first silent and then misinformed the people (in the last significant act of the outgoing AG); that there is till suppressed what cannot be, no question of good teste being involved (my request for the memo of transfer, made 1/20 and since never responded to despite repeated requests; we allege, I think but do not insist, that the penel was convoked for other than the stated reason, and that, with quotes from Clark and panel, they did not us rform their purpose and made no pretense of so doing; that the accounts of the pictures and X-reys do not stack up-at the wary least some are missing and more, we can now account for more than they say they took. The shell geme with the pictures should get attention.... In addition to everything else, this gives us a chance to reach the remaining Kennedy people, possibly to turn some on. It is certainly the only chance we can expect to get a message to Teddy - and I want to Gotta get back to other work. Please see it my way and try. I assure you you will regret it soon if you do not.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

PS brief and Merox came today

Deer "ou,

Bud phoned me this morning, early, to tell me of the newest reversal. The one thing that is fixed and firm is that your office is not capable or making end sticking to a decision (nothing personal). He gave me somebody's message that he try and restrain me. That would be impossible and he will not attempt it. However, I tell you frankly that the restrain impose upon myself leaves me in doubt that it is the proper course. As I have tried to help you, so would I not hurt you. Despite all those things that I cannot justify, I am still persuaded that Clay Shaw is Wlay Bertrand. With a mind not corrupted by what is with such abandonment of reason has come to be known as the "law" (or by a greater association with its practitioners, so large a persentage of whom are less fit for decent company than a worn-out whore) that under other circumstances I would elect, this is enough for me. I want a judicial determination of fact and regret only that it has already been so inhibited, so pre-conditioned, perhaps pre-determined. I fear that what we may get may be much less than this.

Enclosed is a copy of a letter I have written vince. Had there been more time, were I not so sick at this latest of the unending New Orleans stupidities, perhaps I'd have written him more. If only you could have seen his insane posturings when he was here the Sunday after I was in New Orleans! He not only spoke and a cted like a two-bit Mussolini, he went through identical gesturings with his jew! He was wildly irrational, obsessed with the idea he could control Jim, had amadenly schieved an importance he had never earned and otherwise could not, so much the center-stage actor who would milk every opportunity, strike every pose, be the truly great man of decision! I had anticipated some of what transpired, for as you know, I have long regarded him as paramoid. To the degree I could, I prepared. I even arranged for Wecht to call me, as he was for another purpose, at a time when Vince, Bud and Tom Katen, who Vince had brought with him, to be part of the conversation. As I knew he would, Wecht spelled out exactly what failing to go shead would mean. "e left no doubt in Vince's mind or anyone else's, that the most urgent need was to proceed without delay. "e also said that if you did not, it would and should cost you the expert witnesses, who might thereafter endanger their reputations by continued association with the office that had for so long been clammoring for access to the secret materials and then refused to do the very little required to get the most essential of them. But I did not, and I guess it was not possible to, enticipate all of what Vince foundshimself capable.

If you people want to destroy yourselfes, just continue to listen to Vince. I cannot think of a single thing he has done in this entire case that was not wrong. What I say in the enclose is no unkindness, believe ms. His judgement is the worst I have even encountered and his perenois the most prosyletizing.

I prolong this interruption of work that can have some meaning only because I believe, regardless of whether or not he pays the slightest attention, Jim should have the enclosed immediately. With the situation that has come to pass, I could not care less what he does or does not do. I memely do this because I believe it required of ms. I urge you to discharge your own responsibility by giving it to him as soon as you can. That then happens is his affair and his responsibility.

Sincerely,