

Dear Karen,

10/16/74

Because it looks as though your interest in the assassinations will continue, if you have no objection let us have a more informal basis and let me not feel like a chauvenist. None of the men of your age I knew "wister" me so if it does not make you uncomfortable, I waive the customary deference to 61 years.

I reply in some haste to your 10/11 because I'm preparing for the first of the hearings in the Ray evidentiary hearing to be followed by a trial, hopefully and the preparation takes time.

I recommend again that you read Frase-Up before you read the habeas corpus petition and the addenda for several reasons. You'll be better prepared and Jim is and will be too busy to take time for digging out and sending a copy. He will be carrying a large part of the in-court work despite this being his first real trial and can't and shouldn't be diverted. The recent trip he and I went on was so taxing we have caught on neither rest nor the materials we obtained. And if there is time after the hearing and before trial there are other legal matters, including some of mine, that he has had to neglect. By the time you've read Frase-Up you'll probably want more of the legal papers. I'd suggest this as a fine next summer's project. I'll have assembled a full set by then (too busy to better now) so you'll have two sources. By then also there may be an end or we may be closer to it. You'll get more out of it that way and I think it will help prepare you for studying law with some practical exposure to practical approaches rather than the taught courses. This is the experience of a young man your age whose first year of law this is. I've heard from him already on it.

I don't know which of the young men you heard but I know of none who is not taking what he wants, uncredited, of the work of others and with some skill blending responsible work with the outpouring of the irresponsible and the parameids and making a commercial success of exploiting the interest of your generation on the campuses without regard for what he knows is a deception of you. I've had long talks with their leader and it was a waste of time. Do preserve your critical faculties and ask questions of yourself, like is it really reasonable?

The Zap film is as shocking as you say it is. I've studied it to past the point where I can see anything new in it and my work has carried me past the point where I even need it. It requires interpretation. The work I've since completed does not. It is documentary, suppressed evidence the Commission did not even have.

Don't intimidate yourself on the research you can do prior to law training. There is now nothing you could do that time would permit so this is a generality. You can figure most of it out when necessary with a legal dictionary.

The Congressman is Gonzalez. His moves will come to nothing and his associations with all the nuts will, in the end, deter serious consideration by the Congress which has been dealt with effectively in secret by those anxious for there to be no investigation. The kind of rubbish you were subjected to is one of the most effective behind-the-scenes devices. They are taped and selections played back and that is the end because it can't stand on its own.

As an example of this commercialization, you are paying at least five times its actual cost for your copy of Zapruder.

I don't know what papers you see. One thing that could be helpful is to clip any reports you may see on the Ray case from here on. Often wire copy is edited by individual papers and a paragraph omitted in one may have significance. We'll be too busy to read any papers and we'll have the junky Memphis papers only for a while. Thanks if you can. Please identify paper and date if you do. Please also excuse types.

Sincerely,

132 West 10th Ave.  
Homestead, Pa. 15120  
Oct. 11, 1974

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

Thanks for the books; a money order is enclosed. I'd like to start reading them right now, but I'm going to have to wait until after my mid-term exams.

I wish I could take you up on the opportunity to do research for Jim Lesar, but I haven't started law school yet and so I don't yet have access to a law library. I won't be starting law school for another year, because I took advantage of an opportunity to do graduate work in Political Rhetoric under a man who is probably the country's leading authority on political rhetoric and campaign strategy. However, I still intend to go to law school, so maybe I can be of some help in the future. In the meantime, if there is anything with which I can help you or Mr. Lesar I'd be very willing.

Two weeks ago, a young man gave a lecture on my campus entitled "Who Killed JFK." He had been at one of the other nearby colleges before, but I wasn't able to be present then. He dealt mainly with the JFK assassination, but also showed very convincing evidence linking the assassination of Kennedy to that of Martin Luther King Jr, and possibly the other political assassinations and attempted assassinations in the past decade. I have only had a chance to scan Frame - Up, but it's obvious that he based much of his lecture on that book and the Whitewash books. I was already familiar with most of what he discussed, but he did show several photos and films which I had never seen. The high point of the lecture, for me at least, was the screening of the Zapruder film. Although I have all of the frames of the film in black and white photos, I had never seen it as a color movie before. As many times as I've studied those pictures, I still was dumbfounded when I saw the film. Even those few people in the audience, who prior to seeing the film tended to agree with the Warren Report, either left totally convinced of a conspiracy or at least with the seeds of doubt planted in their minds.

I stayed after the lecture to talk with the guy, and he told me of a congressman, whose name I can't remember, who plans to introduce a bill this Spring to have the Kennedy investigation reopened. I was wondering if you were aware of this and if you knew how much of a chance he has of getting the necessary support?

While we were talking, I told him that I would give anything to get my hands on a copy of the Zapruder film, so he gave me the name of a company in Massachusetts that sells bootleg copies. I wrote for some information and found that I could get a copy for \$15. I sent my order in at the same time as



this letter, so I should have my own Zapruder film pretty soon.

When you get the time, I'd really appreciate it if you would send me Jim Lesar's address, so that when I finish reading Frame - Up I can get a copy of the habeas corpus petition. I'd be very happy to pay any costs to him.

Once again, thanks for all your help. I sincerely wish both you and Mr. Lesar the best of luck in the upcoming evidentiary hearing. Don't hesitate to ask if there's anything you think I might be able to help with.

Sincerely yours,

Karen Sable