Dear Bill, 5/31/89

Thanks for the loan of the book. To answer your questions:

Author of the "Here's Harold Again" memo from DJ files is not Wertig but Werdig. He was an Assistant U.S. Attorney in D.C. Normally, complaints in FOIA cases were routed to that office. His memo is to Jerriey Axelrad, then in Civil Division, D.J., which was involved in all FOIA cases it did not handle. Them or later Axelrad was on appeals. The memo asks if appeals should be involved at the outset.

I do not recall which case I was then filing. Perhaps from the date Jim tesar might. Checking the lawsuit files would disclose this, of course. But there is a sidebar on INTI-Werdig and this attitude that may interest you.

He handled the first suit I filed for the results of the scientific testing in the JFK assassination and in it he lied. - mean this word to mee be taken literally. I also want to leave it without question that he lied in hitigation regularly and always, as he assumed, got away with it. But in the results of the testing suit it kicked back, as is almost entirely unknown. Jim established that on a key point in that case, which was before Sirica, he lied and it was not accidental.

Werdig argued that disclosing the nonsecret results of the testing had been determined by the then Attorney General to be "not in the national interest." It happens that the legislative history of the Act makes it beyond question that the legislative intent was to make it impossible for the executive agencies to make this tenuous claim that had been used, traditionally, to withhold nonexempt information. Sirica agreed with Werdig. Jim's searh established that Werdig had just made that up and that, in fact, Joh Eitchell had made no such determination and nobody else had, either.

Jim took the case all the way to the Supreme Court and the illegality was upheld.

But someone called it to the attention of the Congress when it was considering what ended as the 1974 amendments to the Act and Congress, with Teddy Kennedy making it explicit in the debates, amended the Act and overrode that decision to open the files of the spockeries to FOIA, over this decision. You know what rotten stuff got to be public as a result.

So, I would say that when he wrote this memo Werdig had just gotten the copy of the complaint I then filed under BOIA. From the date I could have been <u>pro</u> se.

About the sketch, which Idl will try to copy later today, along with the Werdig memo, it was made during the summer of 1973 when I was a patient at Walter Reed Haspital.

The arry had taken over what had been a fancy, private girls' shoool at Glen Echo and an old CCC camp at Beltsville and used them as haspital adjuncts. I've forgotten the exact names had the school was the first step in rehabilitation after the main hospital, the CCC camp the last. I'd spent about two weeks in the hospital proper and in about April or May was at Glen Echo. Among the kindnesses private citizens thought of was for artists to go there and do such things as make sketches. I do not recall any considerable number of them CMM

I recall no other artists that day, although I presume there were some, and I remember nothing about the man who selected me or why and how. His name is John Holmgren. It may have been because I was a volunteer teacher. There were several of us, I am pretty sure, who volunteered to ease boredom and inform other patients by teaching subjects covering which the Army had handbooks soldiers could use. I taught radio, theory and how to make a simple set, and journalism.

There was but the one edition of Post Mortem. I was never able to promote it. As soon as I had the negatives required for offeet printing in the air to the Wisconsin printer (made the negatives in D.C.) I went to the hospital for the first thrombosis.

The book was printed while I was haspitalized and I set the pub date for when I'd be ambulatory again, the next month.

Yes, I had "some contact" with Tyle Stuart, but never facetto-face. As I now recall largely by phone. He is one of the publishers I approached first and several times he refused to consider the subject. I was, of course, quite surprised at this attitude, but it was far from his alone from those considered liberal publishers. After I copyrighted the limited edition and probably after a long delay, in early 1966, while Norton was contemplating its navel, I sent him a copy. In any event, he had one and he phoned me about it on a Saturday, when we still lived in Hyattstown. He had just decided to publish the book. I told him that it was right then being manufactured, as it was that very minute (time and a hald for Saturday and double time for Sunday), reminded him of his earlier refusals, and it was he, personally, who refused to consider the subject, and told him I'd be happy if he would like to consider distribution. He wouldn't and we had no later contact that I recall.

There is another Werdig story that might interest you. I could drive to Washington in those days and did quite often, usually without making any appointments first. One rainy day I drovei in to see there im Lesar, the then was in Bud Fensterwald's office NE corner 16 and Eye, NW. I remember other things of that day, it happens. I also forgot a rather large umbrella that had been given to me by an airline, I think Eastern, which had torn the coat I was wearing when the two parts of the stairs then used to load and unload had been put together carelessly and the wind of a storm blew the coattail into the opening left between the top and bottom section handrails. Idl was with me and I thus wanted the larger umbrella, to cover us both adequately. Well, I forgot the umbrella. When I went back to get it Bud was there and he told me that Werdig had told him that I had visited him that day. It was news to Bud who in fact I had not only not visited he wasn't even in the office. So, either there was a tail on me or surveillance of Bud's office or a truly remarkable coincidence of some kind. Otherwise, how could Werdig have known?

Best recards.

Hord

1726 N. Troy St., # 764 Arlington, VA 22201

May 27, 1989

Dear Harold,

and the same

Enclosed is Robin Winks's  $\underline{\text{Cloak}}\ \underline{\&}\ \underline{\text{Gown}}$ , for as long as you need to read or study it.

Please give me the background again for that "Here's Harold Again" memo, written by.... Robert Wertig to whom?

Also, tell me again about the sketch of you on your wall, smoking a pipe.

It would be great to have copies of both the above items. If practical and simple to do.

ALl best regards,

S'U William F. Ryan

Villiam F. Ryan (703) 243-6723

P.S. How many editions of <a href="Post">Post Mortem</a> did you produce?

One more. Didn't you tell me on the phone when I first called you, that You had made some contact with Lyle Stuart?



