Eisenhower was caraful tosee to it that he wuld not be balmed for what he inisitated, what he approved, whathe wanted and got and that on the failure of his plan his successor would be blamed for it. He kept from his successor all the doubts he had about the possibilities of his own plan and he actually planned for the invasion to be during the administration of his HEM successor -To whom he communicated none of the count he had. When Eisenhower, at the own planned very end of his administration, did not dare cancel his Cuba invasiion project, he Anew very well that the icoming President, would not dare do that. He gave Kennedy a situation about which Kennedy could do nothing, as a mat er of practical politics, other than what Eisnehower arranged for him to do, to go ahead with Eisenhower's seriously fallend and actually impossible plan that was actually a plan for scheme for getting the United States directly involved in the overthrow of Castro that had no possibility of succeeding without United state involvement in it. Eisenhower, former General of the armies, the general - He contrient who lead the defeat of Hitle after Hitler had concequored more than all of Europe, understood the realities and was caroful to see that non- of the responsibility his that washer would fil, fall on his shoulders. He was likewise careful to see to it that only Kennedy would be blamed for what Eisenhower wanted andturned over to Kennedy when the realities of that situation were that Kennedy could not cancel it and survive politically. What it could have meant for the country to havea President who was ruined in the first days of his Presidency can only be conjectured but it would have meant a disaster for the country was well as for Kennedy.

That Eisnehower's scheme wante worked is obvious from all the calmuny & heaped on Kennedy's head over it, without any major element of the media, without and political leader, without any great thinker, laying any responsibility for for it on Eisenhower himself.

Aside from his curning in this, Eisenhower was the President who greatly

Pradoš insert - 2

escalated United States intrusions into other lands, the intrusion that became Withe accepted and approved national policy. This John Frados makes cl ar in <u>Covert</u>. his <u>President 's Secret Wara: CIA and Pentaron Operations from Worldd War II</u> <u>Through the Gilf Ress Persian Gulf</u> (Ivan R. Dee, Inc, Chicago, 1986, 1996). Three pages of that Prados writes bout Eisbehower and his Cuban operation he contrived to be Kennedy's responsibility and the realities of which he was careful not to communicate to Kennedy, begin at the tipp of page 191 in one of Prados' chapters on Cuba and extend to the bottourn of page 195. Nothing is omitted from what is quoted:

Cuba I: "Another Black Hole of Calcutta"

191

60 presidential elecid in favor of a conles would establish a tee to declare a pro-States assistance. Acvember 4, Trax had ventional training for

o the 5412 Group on nedy on the twenty-70 days later. Ike in-70 ons but did not make a option. ngu

senhower questioned bold, given the need ere being done effec-D. Pawley, a wellthe President about ter of the *frente* (too aid he was unhappy ransition of administo be "in the position f a developing emer-

of Operation Pluto hree thousand exiles, e training bases other led the CIA's choice

to go over much of on the conventionalg developments. The as dragging its feet in clease Special Forces les.

ial Operations, which rations, had been regcine and Ed Lansdale y acerbic in his comwho was representing the Pentagon at these Cuba meetings. In the discussion on December 8, Douglas agreed to recommend the release of twenty-seven Special Forces advisers but made it clear the Pentagon in no way supported the CIA plan.

For his part, Eisenhower worried that there was not enough synchronization among different agencies on Operation Pluto. On December 7 the President approved the selection of special representatives at CIA and State who would serve as focal points in the bureaucracy for all matters related to the Cuba operation. Dick Bissell chose Tracy Barnes as his representative; State's man was Whiting Willauer, who had done so well during Operation Success in maintaining the Honduras base for the CIA's Guatemala coup.

The leaders of the secret war gathered again on January 3, 1961, to discuss both ending diplomatic relations and the progress of Pluto. Dick Bissell reported that Ydigoras of Guatemala had asked for the Cubans to be removed from his country by March 1, and that the exiles' own morale would suffer if they did not see action by that time. Willauer agreed that there was also a time problem with the OAS and that the only suitable alternative to Guatemala would be training on American bases, a suggestion that had been repeatedly rejected already. There was, however, considerable confidence in the exile troops—Gordon Gray mentioned an observer's report that called the Cubans the best army in Latin America. Although he warned of some equipment shortages, General Lyman D. Lemnitzer agreed.

Président Eisenhower summarized: The only two reasonable alternatives were supporting the Cubans to go in March or abandoning the operation.

Exactly one week later a detailed account of the Cuban training in Guatemala by Tad Szulc was on the front page of *The New York Times*.

Did the President bequeath his successor a "developing emergency"?

President Eisenhower's administration ended with the Cuban operation in mid-course. Only two days before the inauguration of John F. Kennedy, Ike's councils were still uncovering problems with Pluto that could only be passed along. It was left to Jack Kennedy to choose between the alternatives that Ike had summarized on January 3. It was a tough choice for a novice President.

ment smill chay 192 PRESIDENTS' SECRET

By not confronting that choice himself, Eisenhower has left questions history has yet to resolve. The consequent CIA failure at the Bay of Pigs has usually been cast as the fault of the Kennedy people, who came in implicitly trusting the secret warriors. Eisenhower had been sitting at the apex of the secret war for eight years; he knew better. He knew the difficulties with the 5412 Group, the CIA's penchant for keeping implementation issues out once approvals had been given, and the conflicts between military and civilian intelligence agencies. Ike also knew the current status of Pluto and the specific problems of the Cuban operation. On January 3, or up until the time that JFK stood to take his oath of office, President Eisenhower could have shut down the Cuba operation with just a few words. But he didn't.

The recently declassified memoranda of the Operation Pluto meetings in December 1960 and January 1961 reveal that the arguments Kennedy was given to continue the operation were well rehearsed. Before JFK assumed office, many in high places were aware there were significant weaknesses in the CIA's operation plan. It was clear that Castro's FAR forces were much more powerful than any force the exiles could raise. Moreover, the point had also been raised, by State on January 3, that American forces would have to back up an invasion force. The conditions necessary for success simply had not been created.

On the morning of the inauguration, as they left for the ceremonial motorcade to the site, Ike advised JFK to do whatever was needed to ensure the success of the Cuba operation.

Eisenhower believed in the secret war. His administration had consistently made efforts to improve the efficiency and range of covert operations while protecting both presidential control and plausible deniability. These aims were just too ambitious. In the operations themselves, failures were as common as gains, while leaks routinely occurred. Mechanisms designed to preserve plausible deniability had deteriorated to such a degree that the President allowed himself to become a principal participant in special group discussions of the Cuba operation.

Control of covert action would seem to imply the imposition of some discipline in the costs of these activities. But, such spending data as are available indicate that *no* major operation of this period was accomplished within the original budget estimated. Operation Ajax in Iran was estimated as low as \$100,000 or \$200,000, but cost

Cuba I: "Another Black Hole of Calcutta" 193

ower has left ques-CIA failure at the > Kennedy people, riors. Eisenhower or eight years; he 5412 Group, the sues out once apin military and cicurrent status of ration. On January bath of office, Presba operation with

• Operation Pluto veal that the arguition were well rehigh places were e CIA's operation much more powerver, the point had vrican forces would s necessary for suc-

eft for the ceremoo do whatever was tion.

administration had ency and range of lential control and mbitious. In the ops gains, while leaks preserve plausible at the President alnt in special group

ly the imposition of But, such spending ration of this period stimated. Operation r \$200,000, but cost \$10 million. Operation Success cost twice as much as the \$10 million allotted to it. Before the last failure of a Cuba operation, the \$13 million or \$15 million estimated for Operation Pluto would mushroom to something over \$100 million. It seems that once the aim of a covert operation was accepted, the controls were thrown away.

The question of direct American involvement in Operation Pluto also illustrates that the control system had gone awry. That no Americans were to be involved in combat was one of the fundamental assumptions. After the fiasco in Indonesia it is doubtful whether Ike would have accepted any direct American involvement. But, before the end of his administration, Americans were lying with the Cuban rebel air force, and CIA agents were commanding the rebel LCI mother ships.

Two years after President Kennedy's tragic death, Dwight Eisenhower would maintain, in interviews and in his memoir Waging Peace, that he had never approved a specific invasion plan because the exiles had never had a unified political leadership. According to Ike there had been a "program" but no plan. This recollection is supported by Ike's son and some others from the White House staff. Yet the date on the CIA's plan for a conventional invasion around Trinidad, Cuba, is December 6, 1960. There was a date for the invasion, too—March 1961—as well as a specific timetable for invasion-related events.

Ike's memory is correct only in a technical sense: Approval was withheld from *the* invasion plan because the President's counselors found problems with it. Eisenhower nevertheless had approved *an* invasion plan, and he knew that John Kennedy was entering office without the detailed understanding of the evolution of Operation Pluto that would have facilitated a decision. Not acting to halt the operation was tantamount to an approval—the only real question remaining was the landing site.

At numerous meetings on Pluto, Gordon Gray remembers, the President repeated one conclusion he had reached. "Now boys," Ike would say, "if you don't intend to go through with this, let's stop talking about it." Prados-0

Prados is cautious and understates. He says that "By not confronting the choice himself, Eisenhower has left questions history has yet to resolve." [But in Eisenhower's and Kennedy's lifetimes history had resolved this and had placed the blame on Kennedy when it was Eisenhower's contrivance and responsibility.

There was never any talk about getting even by assassinating Eigenhower. It was Kennedy who was blamed for what Eise nhower contrived frand who arangedfor there being no real choice for Kennedy and for Kennedy not to have been fully informed after he was elected. As a result, and as a result of their ignorance, the mennedy assassination is written about as a kickback against what is attributed to kennedy and was really Eisenhower's responsibility. The Bay of Figs flasco was the Eisenhower plan and the CIA/mafia attempt to kill Wastro was also Eisenhower's, with no Kennedy knowledge of it. Mill the fully Mulliffe