## The Commission's Conclusions

As COMMISSIONER FORD put it, "Conclusions were the work of the Commission." The staff conducted the investigation and drafted the report, but in the final analysis the Commission had to reach the conclusions and take the responsibility for them. There were five main conclusions,

First, the Commission concluded that the shots came from the Texas School Book Depository. This conclusion was based on medical evidence which showed that at least two of the shots came from the general direction of the Depository; on the testimony of eyewitnesses who saw a rifle in the sixth-floor window of the Depository; and on the fact that the murder weapon and three cartridge cases were found on the sixth floor of the Depository.<sup>2</sup> Although this evidence in itself did

not exclude the possibility that other shots came from a different source, it constituted ample proof that shots had come from the Depository.

The second conclusion concerned the sequence of events and presented a difficult problem. It will be recalled that the film of the assassination showed that the President and Governor Connally were hit less than two seconds apart, and that rifle tests showed that it was physically impossible for the murder weapon to be accurately fired twice within this period of time. Thus, either both men were hit by the same bullet or there had to be two assassins. Norman Redlich, Arlen Specter, and other members of the staff took the position that the Report had to conclude that both men were hit by the same bullet. There was, however, no substantial evidence which supported this contention, and there was evidence that all but precluded the possibility that both men had been hit by the same bullet.

The Commission was thus confronted with a dilemma. If it disregarded the evidence that Connally could not have been hit by the same bullet that hit Kennedy, and if it concluded that both men were hit by the same bullet, the credibility of the entire Report might be jeopardized. If, however, the Commission concluded that both men were hit by separate bullets, the single-assassin theory would be untenable in terms of the established evidence and assumptions.

In the "spectrum of opinion" that existed on this question, Ford said he was closest to the position that both men were hit by the same bullet, and Senator Russell was furthest away.<sup>5</sup> In fact, Russell reportedly said that he would not sign a Report which concluded that both men were hit by the same bullet.<sup>6</sup> Senator Cooper and Representative Boggs tended to agree with Russell's position. Cooper said, "I, too, objected to such a conclusion; there was no evidence to show

148

strong doubts about it [the single-bullet theory]," and he added that he felt the question was never resolved.8 both men were hit by the same bullet." Boggs said, "I had

or less evenly split on this question, with Ford, Dulles, and toward the conclusion that both men were hit by separate hit by the same bullet, and Russell, Cooper, and Boggs tending McCloy tending toward the conclusion that both men were were hit by the same bullet.9 The Commission was thus more reasonable explanation of the assassination was that both men Both Dulles and McCloy said that they believed the most

sion could not decide on the probability of this. 10 unanimous Report. He proposed, as a compromise, stating same bullet but that, in view of other evidence, the Commis merely that there was evidence that both men were hit by the McCloy said that it was of vital importance to have a

"credible" evidence,12 McCloy finally suggested that the adwhile Russell wanted to state merely that there was only pelling" evidence that both men were hit by the same bullet, of the adjectives,"11 Ford wanted to state that there was "com-The Report states: jective "persuasive" be used, and this word was agreed upon. 13 There then followed what was described as "the battle

opinion as to this probability but there is no question in wounds. However, Governor Connally's testimony and experts to indicate that the same bullet which pierced the nor Connally, there is very persuasive evidence from the shots which caused the President's and Governor Conthe mind of any member of the Commission that all the certain other factors have given rise to some difference of the Commission to determine just which shot hit Gover-Although it is not necessary to any essential findings of President's throat also caused Governor Connally's

The Commission's Conclusions · 151

nally's wounds were fired from the sixth floor window of the Texas School Book Depository. 14

The question was thus left open by the Commission

pository after the assassination; (5) Oswald possessed enough to kill General Walker, 15 (6) Oswald lied to the police; and (7) Oswald had attempted proficiency with a rifle to have committed the assassination; shots were fired; (4) the murder weapon was found in the Deof the assassination Oswald was at the window from which the Oswald carried the weapon into the Depository; (3) at the time conclusions: (1) the murder weapon belonged to Oswald; (2) Lee Harvey Oswald. This conclusion was based on seven sub-Third, the Commission concluded that the assassin was

rifle, but Oswald's subsequent actions-leaving the scene, The only other possibility is that another person used Oswald's mit the assassination, made for a strong case against Oswald. with evidence that Oswald had had the opportunity to com-Oswald's rifle was used in the assassination. This fact, together shooting a policeman, and resisting arrest-certainly were not the actions of an innocent person. The most compelling of these subconclusions was that

substantial evidence. Subconclusion (2)—that Oswald carried supported only by "probative" evidence (e.g., Brennan's idenassumption;16 (3)—Oswald's presence at the window—was the rifle into the Depository-was no more than a plausible merely reinforced (1); (5)—Oswald's rifle capabilities—was the testimony of Marina Oswald no record of Oswald's interrogation statements was kept; and to the police—had little value as evidence since, purportedly, based on extremely dubious evidence;17 (6)—that Oswald lied tification); (4)—the presence of the rifle in the building-(7)—Oswald's attempt to kill Walker—was based mainly on The other subconclusions, however, were based on less