## Insight and Outlook Rusk Carries On

LIKE Abbe Sieyes in the rench Revolution, Dean usk, as he completes his ofth year as Secretary of

tate, can ount it major chievement hat he surived. But ow? Certainly pot by grabbing power nd holding n for dear



fe. Rusk Kraft as allowed European afirs to fall into the hands

## • By Joseph Kraft

lundy and Ambassadors verell Harriman and Llewllyn Thompson, not to menion the President, have blayed the creative role in relations with Russia.

Rusk, in short, is far from being an empire-builder, jealous of his bureaucratic prerogatives. On the contrary, he has the reverse kind of character. Hardworking, articulate, a master of the negotiating craft and f sticking to his last, he is he heau ideal of what the tate Department in the Imes of its tedium the old His bureaucratic faculty is the culty of plugging all the xits.

CONSIDER, for example, the Secretary's relations with Presidents Kennedy and Johnson Both have complained of a lack of new ideas and flexibility at the State Department under Kusk. But even when he had deep reservations, the Secf Under Secretary George all. He has palmed Vietam off on Defense Secreary Robert McNamara.

Latin America, once the lef of former Assistant Secetary Thomas Mann, will le no less the preserve of the new Assistant Secretary, lincoln Gordon. Arthur coldberg runs the American now at the United Nations is no American Ambassador here before him.

African and Middle Eastern policy has been fashioned chiefly by Robert Komer of McGeorge Bundy's staff in the White House.

> retary has never quarteled with policy lines dear to the White House—not on such matters as the cultivation of the neutralist countries undr Mr. Kennedy, still less of the heavy-handed and largely unnecessary efforts nade by President Johnson t justify what he did in the Dominican Republic.

Similarly with the Congress. Thanks in large measure to the Secretary, the usually troubled course of relations between Executive and Legislature has been transformed into a cool sequestered vale of life. It is a mark of the Secretary's instinct for keeping Congressional fences mended that he was the man who priginally came up with the dea of former Sen. Henry Cabot Lodge as Ambassador h Vietnam.

With equal care, the Secrtary has picked his way am ong the bureaucratic ninefields. He speaks of his rlationship with the Departrent of Defense as a "partfirship." He has not taken the opportunity provided by the current inept leadership at the Central Intelligence Agency to assert over the GIA a mastery almost everybody else thinks would be useful. As to the Department itself, Secretary Rusk has systematically cast his ot with the career diplopats who endure, even if they do not sparkle.

ONE OBVIOUS conseuence of this kind of beavior is that nobody of any ower wants very hard for very long to oust the Secrery; hence his survival. But other side of the coin is sistance to change and innovation, an affinity for doig things, wherever possi-le, just as they were done lefore. Korea, which occurred when he was Assistant Secretary of State, if not Munich, which happened when he was a young teacher of international relations, seems to represent for Rusk the quintessence of experi-ence in foreign affairs. Accordingly, he has been slow to see the change that has come over the Communist world since the Sino-Soviet

## plit, and slower still to see he need for promoting a imilar process of change in nainland China itself. As the war in Vietnam has ushed the China question loser and/closer to the surace of events, the Secretary as seemed more and more o dig in as a stone-waller the old policy of the 950s. And as inflexibility as bred public disparage-ent, he has even shown aces of qualities that seem me far removed from his ue nature-testiness , and elf-righteousness.

When American policies yere sharply criticized by former government officials, Rusk never 'made reply on he merits. Instead he inulged himself in sour renarks about the propriety of using information gained hrough previous governnent service for the purose of making money. Not ong ago he called in, and axed most severely, a corespondent of a foreign paper on the subject of some articles which the correpondent had not written nd which, it turned out, ne Secretary had never ead.

Perhaps the new face of Dean Rusk is only a tempoary thing. But to me anynow, it raises anew the quesion of whether, after five years of grueling service, he has not outlived his usefulless as Secretary of State.

© 1966, Publishers Newspaper Syndicate