## Rusk Takes Pounding On U.S. Commitments

By Chaimers M. Roberts 8 3/166 Washington Post Staff Writer

The Senate Preparedness Miss.) expressed alarm at ] Subcommittee pounded away "an escalation of our potential at Dean Rusk again yesterday, obligations" and annoyance at but the Secretary of State his inability to find out the American commitments are his inability to find out the American commitments are likely to prevent war nam intentions in terms of than to produce further mili-either men or money. tary involvments all around But with a smile Stennis the world.

concluded the hearing by tell-Chairman John Stennis (D. ing Rusk that "you're a good witness, especially for your view."

It was the Secretary's second appearance before the group in its inquiry into where United States commitments are leading the Nation. Much of the questioning was repetitive, especially on why America's allies are doing so little

See RUSK, A14, Col. 1

MASSA PER in Southeast Asia.

sponded except for a momen-ton (D-Mo.) that it would be tary loss of his normal caution "pressing the point too far" to and reserve. Sen. Strom Thursay that current American mond (R-S.C.) riled Rusk with aspersions at the State Depart. commitments make obsolete ment and his charge of a "no congressional declarations of win" and "a weak-kneed, spine-less policy" in Vietnam.

The Secretary's voice rose as he said he wanted to "reject utterly and out of hand the Henry M. Jackson (D-Wash.) idea that anyone at State that he had "no clear view" of would give aid and comfort to the enemy."

And he said "we can have a great war anytime we want." great war anytime we want." He did say it appeared that He contrasted the current those in Red China who fa-American casualty rate of vored the Soviet peaceful coabout 100 men a week with a existence approach were "the potential of 10,000 or 100,000 a week or a nuclear exchange that would "knock out 300 million people in the first hour." American policy, said Rusk,

is to stop aggression with a measured response and to prevent us sliding down the slippery slope" to all-out war.

"There is too much power in the world, Senator," he said, "to be infuriated. Our purpose is to build peace, not just to

destroy people."
As to Vietnam, he said the American purpose is "to see that North Vietnam does not seize South Vietnam by force, and we will accomplish that result."

As to Europe, Rusk told Sen. Robert C. Byrd (D-W.Va.) that arms cuts because "down that path lies war."

And in general, he told vent miscalculation by a po- taken office. tential enemy by letting him States.

As to Stennis's complaint permanent United Nations that he could not learn Ad-peacekeeping force. ministration manpower and financial plans for Vietnam, (D-Pa.) and Rep. Richard S. Rusk said that the "call of the control of ministration manpower and fi-

He denied a Stennis intima-mature"

in this Nation's hour of need tion that he had said "everything's rosy" in Vietnam and Rusk patiently and softly re- he told Sen. Stuart Syming-

> As to the Sino-Soviet row, Rusk characterized it as a "mixed picture." He told Sen. events in Peking, where some 'two dozen people at the top" are in control.

> principle victims" of the current purge unless there is some "curious" development some underneath "that we don't know."

> Rusk said Lin Piao, now No. 2 in Peking, espouses a "doctrine of militancy" and he said "we don't quite see" the view offered by some China experts that Lin Piao's doctrine amounts to "do it yourself" advice to North Vietnam and other locales for wars of national liberation.

Rusk declined to comment on the idea of a meeting between President Johnson and French President de Gaulle other than to say there are "no present plans." He told Robert C. Byrd (D.W.Va.) that Byrd that "I think they would not pay" when the Senator asked about collecting France's World War I debts.

The Secretary denied that Stennis that he had not intended at his earlier committee appearance to indicate that "our task is to defend peace any eight policy field, "and I certainly would know." He said where and everywhere" as one the Agency had nothing to do headline had put it. Rather, with any of the 53 or 54 coups he said, he had meant to pre- around the world since he had

Meanwhile, Rusk was know that he could not engage sailed by two House members with immunity in aggression for what they called the State against nations that have no Department's "negative, nardefense ties to the United row-minded and short-sighted" approach to the creation of a

Rusk said that the "other Schweiker (R-Pa.) said their side" largely determines the proposal had the support of 77 future. Hence, he added, any other House members, but that estimate could only be tenta-the State Department had replied that the idea was "pre-