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## $1 / 24 / 72$

## NAMe Hr．Horsy Wade <br> Latrict Attorney <br> Dallas，Texas <br> Dear Henry，

It is not bad manners but an accumulation of thing that had to bo taken care of promptly on my getting home，then a succession of＂worming company＂beginning anus and continuing until a dey ago，combined with an accident，that are responsible for this delay in thanking you for your cindneases when I was in dallas．
 walk on thy Mountain and work around our five acres of tres and such．Mils it what led to my almost chop ing of ny loft thumb with a machete，priming out a long－ne died white pin soar the house af to a storm took it down．I still have to keep that thus stray ht，
 send you the books published wyisif，the only ones I have）．It is still splinted for this purpose，but otherwise it is no real trouble，not in the sense or pain．he a natter of fact，au I＇vo thought about this，I＇ve cone to understand more about the first trace y of Dolls．I don＇t know how this happened，and I was looking，anis a han with na saw it and cant toll ma how it hap end．When you road my first book，you will see that I said that oyewtinoscos any of ton tho least dependable sources of Li formation not because they are di honest but because things hap an too fast for than to comprehend．Prosecutor usually have to dep nd moro on oye－idtnesses．and while I roasted pretty promptly，I do not know how mon dy reaction was delayed，another factor in the as as inaction．More，it is not pain，which I didn＇t feel despite how close I come to chopping the tomb off，that told sac what I had done．To this day I have novor had any real pain．So I have learned that a wound inflicter by surprise and with great swiftness：can block the reaction of tho nerves．In my case，I suppose it wa．because thin worn cut that fast．

You increased by understanding of Ruby very much．I always fort that ho was sick， but until the thing you told we and let ne soc，I didn＇t realize how sick in the how ho really was．I an sure these thing were not secret from the kauhington boys，so again I wonder why they didn＇t tell these things，and in those caves where the truth could－not be printed，at least hive an appraisal．You foxas people may think I ma putting you on when I say that Wanhington had you all，but it has always，from the titi I began to ransack those suppressed files，bean wy belief．With everything I learn this feeling becomes more certain．That，not libby，was my larger interest this trip，but the new insight into Ruby addresses that，too．Hence my inters t in several of the things I laid aside and asked for copies on your return．I renumber two，your（and I think historically very important）nevin on your July interview with Ruby，the one with the steno＇s notes attached to the original of the typed copy，and Alexander＇s detailed memo having to do with the polygraph．I would like those very much．If there are any reservation you would ap in，please write or type them on the copses．I do and can coup secrets if it i：necessary．With both of those，if you would proper that they not be quoted，whin I use then，if I do，I would not quote them． But I would like to be able to use the fufomation they contain．With regard to the Alexander memo，if you can find tine I＇d like your export opinion on who thor it was legally proper for Specter to insist that the prosecution bo present－not for quotation but for my under－ standing．Specter romains an onigran to me，and I think I know more about him and what ho did and how there is no excusing it then anyone．Why he was this way is what baffles mo．

Specter is not alone in tilis. All those lawyers lnew better. Only a fiew would not be part of it. One quit almost imadiatsly and othors not too lone thereafter. I am not rauly satisffied with tho explanation that tho avoraso dimeican lavjor is not piripeard to fill mox- than ous rolu in an advarsaxy jrocuding. When I was a youre men I was part of a Senate investication. We had little trouble seeing both sidese voro recently, with a friend who is a pretty good anuteur ballistica export, I was able to prove what the IMI didn't prove about those shells found at the Fisiw, how the dents got in theme Or didn't you know that the FBI left this entirely unexplained and that the Comaisaion asked no questions about it? But most of ay recent work has been in Specter's aroa, thereforc he is of more interest to me. I also found that sone of your local people who dealt with him developed an intenso personal dislike for hini. I do not know why.

One or two of the things I set aside you nay not be able to lut me have and I wanted only for souvonir axlue, liko his card with Jada. One of the thingi that interosted me about cuby I found cluos to but pot dofinitive answers. Berhape this is bocause thore was time for sidmang those large files only. I have never agred with those who postulated Ruby was sort of high up in gangland. Dut I have never seun any accounting of his finances. When I considored how much he owed in taxes I was antisfied that ho had nothinge Seeing those Amorican Express check stubs in your files conyinced me of this. This i. ane of tha roasons I wanted irs. Mary Perrell (who lnows more about fuby, by the way, than I do) to be able to eo over your file and Doen Storey's for we. hary is a legal secretary und knows how to regand in confidence what muit be ragarded as conifidential. There is an the advantage in her doins thfu at loisure whon the has the time for you. I hope the time will come whon you lakie your pert clear for history, if for no othor roason. She wil then be one who can help you mont, and knowing what is in your Plies can be quite helpful. I tiank you will fin that she has much knowiedge of duby you do not have. Unless sonebody has eleaned your files out, you got virtually none of the MBI roports on liff. Mary ai ther now has of lnows who does have everything on hin that has not been suppressed. I know the othors, but I don't know what she has simply because suby has not veen one of my larger interests. My chiof interest has bean in the waehington end of tho investigation which can hardiy be called that degpite its size, becuuse the right things nover were inveatigated.

I hope aoaetiwe we can sit dow for on evoning and talk about this. I think it may mean auch to your understanding. I don't know when I oan be in Dallas again. The propaganda in which vonnally haw such a large part, that thila kind of work is profitable, is false. I an broke from it, so I can travel litile. But to put it in its siaplest form, all the irwelevanajes ware explorad in great dopth and the Conanssion'a lawyers wore flooded with this bind of junk, noro so when they asked the bureau the riegt questions, which once in a whilla they did. The got the idea soon enough.

I saw nothing in jour files that bears on what intereatad ne nore innedintely, your energencyotrip to Washington. Whon you have tine, I do hope you will wake notes of all you oan romombor about it, no matter how allight and seodingly mimportant the datail. The Washington problem was worling around what you knew and then hiding what they could not misxepresent. I did learn moro abuut this, but not from you and Dean Storey. If ny proof is not ovexwhelning, I an without doubt of the fact. And I would like to be able to make the most completensi acourate record posatble. If you over cone here, I can show you what I mean. Lids is one of the areas in which records were, literaliy, destroyed, and I will show you the proof of the destruction and what rwains. I have both. I have to ask mybelf why, and I as satisilied with the answer I have arrived at. This may be the key to the whole thinge If I am might then tie Wakhington offort to pin so rach on bellas will be clearer. It will have a couprohensible purpose. Anong the tilucs I learned on this trip is what the Dallas FBI Leumed and did not eive the Comission on this. I have gotten, I think, to the root of what Aloxander was originally involvod in. I did not have time to follow your succestion that I louk hin up. But whon you see hirn, if he has any records ho made I'd appreciate havin; them, or any muso ho would care to let me have. 2 his is something about which I will bo witing and I an satisfied tiat ho is imocent in it. But I also think he may know fact the siegrificance of which he does not know. He may know exore. 1 have no way of
knowins: But on two points I aa satiaíieds that ruport did not originato with Allan Streatt and it did not originate in Dallas.

On. of the thing that ha: taken rauch of ry tirie lately is the absolutely insane thing that phoney libaral Burlee harshall ghs done in the Kemedy name, peridit an unqualified rin, a urologist, of all things, exclusive accoss to the material put away under a GSi-Kenuody fomily contract. Pirst of all, this is a clear violation of that contract (uhich I happen to think is illegal). Hext, it proves that the Arohivist of the United States comitted perjury, and as I aak myseli uestion', I have to vonder what could impel hili to open crifinality. The cortainty that he vould ne bo prosecuted is not enouch answor for me. I filled suit (unfortunately having to be ny own lawyer when I asi not a lawyor) under 5 U. $3 . C .552$ for access to some of what is covered by that contiact, in thits case also official oxhibits of the Comaission. The Archivist swore that under the contract he was not permitted to let anyone see these exhibits, the President's clothing, and then ho tums around and lets this uroloust see it. that ankes this all the wore incredible is that this doctor has dono no more research than squeoze and shave bullets. He really knows nothinc about the fact and has a politionl ax to erind. I have hia little writing and it is crazy and political. I knew what was up in advance and was ready to study it when it lapponod. How phoney it is I think you oan understand when I tell you what your papars may or may not have reported, that this phoney actually said and was without question quoted as saying that the pictures and $\chi_{\text {-rays prove whe fired the shots. That }}$ Is black madelc, not ovidonce or solonce.

I tell you theoe thigs not to bothor you wi th a long latter but because I think In tho long rum you undorstanding of what you have no way of lenowing will be iniforttant. This was a miajor evont in our history, I think a tuming point in it. And believe ne, $2 s$ I cun prove to you, one of Rankin's major preoccupations was koeping thoir information away froa you people and from Belli. He territied the merabers of the Coimission, for expluple, about what tiey ahould have been able to sec through, the likelihood that if they went to Dallas whon they should have Bellis would have mubjensed. Fhis is not in thoir published material, nor is it in their files. It wa oricinally olassitiad "lop Becret", and I have it now.

Bocause I an writine this while coing other thinst, I ranble foove than usual. On Latijnor, the doctor harshall lat have exclumive access to the uppressed yoidence, what ho says is irapossible for reasons other than that it doesn't nake sense. lis rupresontation of the wounds ho rentioned (naturally, not whcIuding tho oause of dinath!) is aliso inposuible from impotable evfunce I have and have had in the draft of a book for sombe time. Which nakes ne nonder nore about that entire operation when there was netther the nend nor tho lecal obligation to lot anybody see that stuff now and there has nover been less intraras In thit subjoot and virtunliy no doand for accoss. At best it is contrary to llodey's interost and at woxst it las tha potutial of ruining the Kennedy name, nore so if harshall now lots a real expert seo the stufi and he conos out and says it disproves tho Warren heport. ihis has beon carofully riesod in advance so that it will thon be allegad and uncritically reported that the lonnedys were responsiblo for all the supprossions.

Let to return again to sonething I rentioned to you, which has two perts. One is the prejudicial nature of all of Dallas that was built into the official work, including you. As I told you, I am condident - and sorry - that at the beginning I also was token in by it and while - have no recallection of how 2 ropresentod it in gy earlier writing, I feel it must be there and at least in soan degree in mpear form. There was an iniodiate Waahington involvmont in Dallas, and Washineton had exp rience with what you people did not. One sirale example of this is the pooling of reporters. Thay do it all the time. You nuver haw the need down thexo. They did it, for exartple, whan A'l left to return to bashingeton, whon they had but thres roporters to represent all. Had this bein done, and it should have boen, all thast ensuad would have been different. You would not have had the problem of all that irresponsible airing of what ahould not have been uired, and you.would not have felt compelled to try and
straishtened the record out whon your only source was those who were maicins the mistakes. In retrospoct, I fe 1 I should havo understood this bettor long ago, but I iidn't. I thinis I was blinded by what was subsequontly affirwed by the Suprome Court in Shoppard, that ull of that publicity provonted the possibility of tryinc Oswald. So, if there is no rush on it, I hope you wil congider writing a picec for sow hing like tho ABh Joumsil on the special problomis of the DA whon the nost spectacular crimes are comitted. If you do. I also hope you would let me circulata it for caiticiam asong some of the responaiblo ones of maturity who have done rosearch, for it would do little good to do an arificle that would only stir up more controversy without settling any thinge I wish I could feel tiat such orives will wane. If there is not a eroat likalihood of meny othors of that nagritude, I think sorlous violonce is going to increase, and that just as tho accused is entitiod to a foilr trial, so also do I think that society and its institutions must it netion proporly. When the gublty go free because of tecinical crrors, only tho sutl ty bencilit. But uithout the protections of the law, whech should apily e, unlly to all, nobody can ever be cortain of not boing found guilty whon he is innocent.

Also in rotroopoct, I find that thore is wore of what sow of those on "py side" tho do not always agroe with no will consider a defonse oi Dallan in what have written but heve not beon able to eat printed than 1 had recalled. Actually, this begins vith yy
 What I had uritten so wy wifo could type it'I reuusbered that one of the ifret critioismis of ny first bojk wai bocause of its ingistonce that tho laws, vishts and oblication of Doylas and Iexas should have boen reapodted, an that if they liat been auch or the nleedless ensuins tiagedy ini chtruell have boun avoidod. of all puople, thia criticisia was by a judgol A. New Yorlkor who ap arontly fools las should be respected solectively. Sut I toll you tha from ay study of the Omald autopgy, had loso cone that on JFh the psegent scandalous situation hould not exist.

Hary Porrell has not boo able to got in touch with you. She is a full-tinu legal secrotary, was just cetting sick whon I lof't, cot viral pacunonia, and when sho rocovored onough did hat any proud Erundiother would do, flew to Europo to see the new-born. Sho has just rotumed. I'a sure thait what stacked up in her oflice durins this absonco will oocupy her for a whil. But I an looking formard to hor buing able to 60 over those files with care, malding notors which, of courso, will bu availablo to you for urything you wight In the fuvure want to do, and posaibly incroasing everybody's wider taidinge

So, apologids for this longth, tharks for cverythind, and I'Il soon send the books. OWALW In ALW Olan alis is out of print. If you wan it and can warox a copy, I can lend you one or thary will lond you hers. Wy book on the kins as assination was publishod con orcially and proaptly asaanidnatod. I can buy a copy for you at lese than you'ch have to pay for it there, if it is gtill on sele. Aldredge's had a copy when I was there. ind I hope you can let se have copios of those things I got aside in giduing the filos.

Bost regards and thanks,

Harold Weisberg

