Ruby's Motion Overrule

AUSTIN, Tex., May 18 (UPI) — The Texas Court of Criminal Appeals denied today a move to give condemned Jack Ruby a new trial on the ground that Trial Judge Joe B. Brown had a personal interest because he was writing a book about the case.

The appellate court ordered Dist. Judge Louis Holland in Dallas, who has taken over for Judge Brown in the Ruby case, to proceed immediately with a trial to determine whether Ruby has lost his sanity since he was convicted March 14, 1964, of murdering presidential assassin Lee Harvey Oswald.

If the sanity trial determines that Ruby is sane, then the 'exas Court of Criminal Appeals will go ahead with considering Ruby's appeal on the case's merits. That is, the defense contends Judge Brown committedd 1200 legal errors in trying Ruby.

The highest criminal court in the state took only a week after hearing arguments to rule on the motion that Judge Brown disqualified himself.

The appellate court, in denying the motion, technically a motion for a writ of habeas corpus, said it would not entertain a motion for rehearing.

Addenda

• A sanity trial for convicted slayer Jack Ruby has been set for June 13 in Dallas.