Ruby

The 4/8/64 Hubert to Willens memo on Wade but filed under Ruby

I had never seen this. As the copy you sent me shows, it is not filed under Wade. I am pretty certain is also is not filed under Willens, for I believed I checked his file as soon as this part was made available. I'd appreciate two of the clear copies you made and one of the illegible original. I will write Wade about this and other things.

What should have interested the Commission and is entirely missing is any reason or interest in whatever reason that could motivate Wade in refusing to let the lawyers of the DJ see anything while having no reluctance for the FBI to.

Suppose it is nothing more sinister than his Ruby-case evidence? Why should he not want the DJ to know what he had? Did he suspect it wanted Ruby acquitted? What could have made him feel that way?

It is also interesting that they were not satisfied with simple Xerox copies but instead wanted negatives, much more expensive.

I add this definitely was not in the Rankin file, the first of these I checked.

On second thought, if it is not too much, because I think at some point I may want to use this, could you please send me two copies of the original also? I'll let you ~~kow~~ know what, if anything, I hear from Wade. There is no rush. But I have been intending to write him. Is it not significant there seems to be no followup memo with Wade's decision? Should they not have been together in the files?