Dear Arvin,

Except for the draft of the Russell article, I would prefer that the samples I gave you remain confidential. In some cases this is not to take the edge off books, in others so that investigation can be continued. (Example, the Ford-Douglas stuff.)

However, there is much of it I would prefer for others to use, so it is not that I want any of it to remain secret. My desire is to get all of it out, in context.

If those two sets of papers dealing with the CIA do not make sense let me know and I'll explain them.

Among their more significant disclosures are perjury by the top CIA people plus an offer to obstruct justice and the total suppression of these and other things, like who broke into Chile's embassy, by a whole series of Senate committees.

I was quite tired when I wrote you last night, from over-extending myself physically doing work around the place. And I was worried, fearing you or someone important to you may be getting involved in what you might not want to if you knew what I know.

Please take my caution to heart and if you have any interest at all have my files checked.

If he were not so overly busy for a young man just starting to practise law I'd recommend "esar. However, if you have no one else who is not partipuls, as Bud and I both are, and don't went the young historian-writer, I'll ask "im to make the time. I'll be seeing him later today and will merely ask him in he can squeeze in another job should there be need. So before you get this I'll know the answer.

I hope to complete a short letter to Miss Vogel before I awaken my wife and then leave for Washington.

Again our thanks.

Best,