

Mr. Alan L. Fitzgibbon
P.O. Box 34071
Bethesda, Md. 20817

4/15/84

Dear Mr. Fitzgibbon,

Many thanks for your 4/11 and its kind comments.

With regard to Stanley Ross, I enclose a copy of a few of the clippings. I also have and will copy for you if you'd like his 4/16/67 article in the National Inquirer and the 15 pp FBI report that is published by the Warren Commission as CE 956, in Vol. 22 if you have those volumes.

I don't know whether you'll ever be able to use the CIA's prior record in ex parte affidavits used in camera, but if you ever need an example of how horrendous they are, I have those used in the Keine case in federal district court, Baltimore.

I don't recall clearly what Theoharis said of Schmahl but Jim Lear has ordered a copy and it is indexed. He also has a xerox of T.'s excellent chapter on FBI files you may want to read. I made the xerox when I had a borrowed copy of the book, KE Beyond the Kiss Case. After the publicity T. is swamped with work in addition to his work load at Marquette, which is probably why he let you know of the microfilm.

Glad you've been in touch with Vivian Castillo and that she is being helpful. She did not respond when I wrote and asked her about Nando in Venezuela.

While it may be without significance in the Galindez case, Nando had a rather good short-wave receiver in his Woodner apartment and several times when I was there took time out to listen to broadcasts from the D.R.

I'm sorry that a few names escape me but there were some progressive and some pretendedly progressive Latin Americans in Washington in that era, people who if still alive might be able to help you. Also some LA diplomats and staff.

Have you thought of the Rounaldi archive at ADL-GIO? About 18 years ago I was able to arrange for it to be examined by a doctoral candidate for his thesis. The late Sefafino R was Irving Brown's Latin American counterpart. He and his people kept watch on what was going on there, aside from their participation in events, including for the CIA, as in Guyana.

Until your decision I'd never heard of CIA abstracts. Those of the FBI are a very useful condensation and identification of each and every FBIHQ record. Once I exposed them the FBI reportedly discontinued them. I note the coincidence in time but do not know whether there is a cause-effect relationship. One of their values to - and dangers to- the FBI is their usefulness in searching because they are arranged by date and by serial number. The source and addressee of every record is thus posted, along with its date and a summary of its contents, all on 3x5s.

Thanks for the offer re Gatlin but I have no interest in him. My approach is not the same as Bud's and I do not theorize whodunits.

My own work is not progressing but I'm hoping that soon I will be writing again. I intend to resume writing when I have no reason to anticipate any FOIA litigation interruptions because the changed conditions and requirements of my life leave much less time for these kinds of work. I spend the first part of every day except Sunday in walking therapy, ought have some additional exercise throughout the day and am not supposed to sit for more than 20 minutes at a time. None of this is conducive to concentration. The two remaining cases are both on appeal. One at least involves significant precedents.

My most recent exchange with the CIA may interest you. I enclose copies.

Good luck!