## $12 / 28 / 68$

Dear Steve,
Your welcome letter of the 2and has just arrived. On the books, my wife will check thet out. It seems right, for now that you remind me, $\rightarrow$ do seem to recall your reporting not having received a shipment. That wes probably the one we didn't insure! She is in a condition such as you describe, so that may be a few days.

In order to speed up your getting on a fose memo, $\downarrow$ have written touis, with a copy for him to intifal and send to you. If he is out of the office, or if you abeak to him before you hear from him, by all means ask hira, for it is furgetft that this be cione as soori as possible.
$I_{\pi}$ understand, aporeciate and sporove your reluctance to viclate what you regard as a confidence, Hovever, it does indicete a fev things I do not find encouraging, an sbdicstion, an uncriticsiness and a feilure to do your orn thinking. These I will address, not for the purpose of criticism, but eo thet you cen do your own thinking sa see if you con reach your own concluelons.

For a yeer now, it hos been clesr thet, fight or wrong, im has clajima ownership, 60 to speek, of ell eseassination innuiny, including the unquectioned ilsht to uso the property of othere es though it were his own, The question you should ask, as by now you should have asked, suppose he is Wrong? hat then? What hsppens to the rest of us, sincere people with eincere interests and principles not in any way inferior to his? What happens to what he seeks? How, under such circumstences, cen we help him best, if not ourselves? thet is or mould be the proper couree?

Aside from the possibility of Bredley, what is inherent in your letter as it is in my belief is the ecknowiedgement that ell the Californis work has at best been a weste of time. At worst it is the ruin of the case, for wilile he was oxheusting himself where he has no jurisdiction, where he was using his officisl espacity to pursue investigations he could not toke to ccourt, no wister how commendable this might be, he was doing absolutely nothing where he had jurisaiction, nothing on the Shaw csse, nothing on the other possible cases. As a consequence, all is now in jeoperdy, Nore, much really good msterisi is nor worthlese. And the credibility-level of everyona has been very rauch lowerod. Now on Bradey, where I alao belleve he wes wrong and think I knov why ana how, ask yourself this: is it proper to make charges in advonce of investigation? or should the investigstion forst be completed?

The why end how will have to walt until we meet sgoin. I do ask you to try and recall the opinions $I$ gave you vhen I wes there. Terhaps you paid eo little attention you do not recell, but I did offer you on opinion of Jim. Aak Meggie if you are not certsin or do not recall. I think she will. Once you have this in mind, then esk yourself if your course is and hes be en the well-thought out one. If not, what mey be the consequence (8)?

Mey I succest to you that my opinion has now been sutit estsblished as fact to several competent if not scientific observers, inciuding some of his people? Then I further suggest that this wes accomplished by others, who begen with this intention. In the course of earlier correspondence, hava named these people to you. "hather or not they were independent, whether or not they had bigh motives is reslly not material. Hhat they did is wast counts, ani shat they may yet do. These things alone are my concern in what ${ }^{+}$have askad of you. There was onough for me to keep busy with $\#$ ithiout undertaking this additional chore. I did it not for plessure of reward. "either is pesaible.

Last Pebruary I had suspicions of Rose. I asked s simple thing of you people, getting ne a picture so I could check him out. Iou not only failed to do it, you not only fart let him know, you never ofice told me you hed no intention of doing 1t. Xhis is and was your right, for neither one of us owns any others. However, when those who have coopereted with each other ask euch things, he presurues a reasoneble request will be honordd or he'11 be told, and this, I think, is a reasonsble presumption. Nsed I remind you of el 1 the things I sent you, not even evisitines your request? Now what possible reason could I have hed for wanting thia s imple thing that mitigated egsinst ite being done? Again, there could not heve been a pranal thing here. I could have been wrong, but on what bssis could thia be assumed? Or assuried in silence? I was left to sit and ater, to waste much time inwriting an phoning. No one over said ity would not be done. lhis, I submit, is no wsy to do thines.

So, we have Fose, end ell of you trust $\mathrm{him}_{\text {, }}$ and your own judgements you neither quastion nor permit to be questioned.

Now tell me what you have from Rose and those ascociated with him that in any $\operatorname{say}$ held (Garriasn or in any way edvance whet we all seek? I tell you to look back over what he came up with and ask yourself what would have heppened if Jim be d ueed eny of it in public? Who would heve survived it?

How go further and esk youself the total cost, in time end money, of the combinstion of Rose and Boxley end Turner, on the basie of whet you elready know, not whet is lonom but may not be to you. Of course, Hepburn is po it of this. Does the sum total add a single word, even e gesp, that can be us ed in the pivotsl thing, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{im}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ great and primery responsibility, the Shaw case?

Not even a corma.
Perhapa a Period. Perbaps even en excalemgtion point.
At this point it behooves you to sek yoursele whet might heve been done with thet time and money, especielly in New orleane. At some point you w111 know what wes real ond ignored aown there, of the countless viable and natr authenticated leads and well-begun, in some ceses, about completed inveetigations ignored by Jim yet known to him. These ore thinge he could have dane something about. He not only didn't; he vouldn't help when he could heve. They vere less spectacular, not the kind of etuff thest would raske the netionsl press to begin with. Eut they ere real. Ho might hove dope thinge thst would heve rendered 1t imposaible for the federal government to continue to pretend the merren "eport is visble. He refused. Thet was his right, iis judgement should be his own the great tragedy is thtt it hasn't be en). But, es you have heerd me say before, the Warren cominission had no monopoly on error.

Do I have to begin to suggest to you the consequences of your (plural) dedicetion to Hepbum when the slighteat independent gnalyeis vould have told you it could not be for real? Need I necsll to you anything more than the fortune Jaffe pissed eway on it? Need I remind you that when I go to Hew Orleans to work, Jim does not pay my fare, lodging, ete. There are a ferminor expenses, like whan I give him microfilm for processing so he cen have ito thet I ask Iouls to pay for. He is almays very decent this way. So, Jaffe can get a grand veestion and perhaps mgke ofew films he might use for his orn purposes at the expense of the invastigetion, doing nothing that cen in any wey be used In court in No) orleens even if ho geta what is true, while those who go down there to work on whet is directly relevant heve to do it at their own expense ond ss unwelcome poor relst1ves.

I connot protend dispession about this, for - have mortgeged everything $I$ own to do what I try, including what future I may have and my wife's with it. About such thinge I cannot be impersonel. Now I fece the neceesity for goine their again, without incone, probably without my expenses being paid, to help them ouring the trial, to give, iree (as I will), whst it has cost me so much to gather, while what could hove financee this gathering wes squandered by those wio should never have beenntrusted with the deciaion on spending a cent to begin with or with the judgement to conduct any inveatigation under ony circunstances.

Everyone will sey nice things, but the fact will be that others who micht heve done this have not, that those who could afford it ond didn't do it have not helped with it and will not help with keeping me there. I heve already agread to go there befors the trial. I have to ebandon other work that I regsid as important to do this, as I heve hed to in the past, but I heve little choice. I heve agread to atey there through the trial, to help prepare for it, etc, During this same period I must in some wey iilprovise a meens of reising ubout $\$ 1,800$ due on ny lidebtedness. How I do not know, certainly not shen I shall be there buey enouch and cennot posisibly do all muat preperetory to goingeI wil continue to have no income. And I am nor engaged in trying to arrenge ny self a pad, for even thet $\begin{gathered}\text { will not be dorie for mo. It is not the most congenisl wey to mork, }\end{gathered}$ especielly egainet the resl odds. Delieve me, thay are very fe al, whether or not you ere arare of them.

In you finiah what you bre doing on Hepburn before you hear from Iouls or before you gat in touch ifth him, please at least begin whet you can record about Rose, You will at worst have lost o littie tine if he saye no, As one who plans tomite, you should have of your om made detalled notes on these people, if not for the present or for history, for your own future as a writer.

I do not believg Boxley wos a CIA agent. I think it mare likely he 1a gick. I do not believe im has any real resson to believe ha was CIA, I think he had other purposes in incluaing this in the press release. However, it does not make any difference mho or what Boxley is or med. That he did ond was about to do are the aignificant tainge. They are all disasterous, aithout any axception, even a minor ore. He too, has bled the laveatigation, without giny contribution to 1t. He, tog, kept Jim terrified and off the subject. Nor do I occuse Ross or Turner of being agonts. Turner worked hand in glove ríth Boxloy, at best uiafiticolly whon the most criticel aproach mes called for, If he hes com up tith - single thing im can now use, I sm unamare of it, ond so is everyone in Jim's office who is in a position to know, He, too, wes part of the terror and part of thexdiatraction. He wes also part of the guthentication of what could not pystix possibly be authenticated.

To me, the question of egency has the mesning of thet of the fairles and the needles. All that counts is the record. If you do not know it, you should take steps to learn 1t, gnd thet very quickly. It may sl resay be too late, The record is this: Boxley, furner, Rose, Jaffe end Zalmer may hove olroedy urecked everything we have all worked so hard for. With Jim's assent, they heve utteriy mostad every cent that has ban contributad to tim's inveetigation. They have kept him from his knitting. What more do you require? I feel that with this record, we should be learning what we can of those reaponsible for 1t. Lhave already established s Hepburn connection I will not put on paper snd in the malls,

There is now no tima for conmention otherp parts of jour letter. I am glad you "restgued". If jou falled to rsturn your cuedentisls, you nay regret it. Tor souvenif velue; a Xerox is sufficient. Remember the credits on "Forevell America", which mey slready be enough for a mistrial. Remember that you were.

## 4

part of $1 t$, rithout the aredit $11 n e$. outd best ascums the pos $10111 t y$ that Hepburn is the other side snywsy. Hithout doubt, he is not ours.
$i_{\text {here }}$ is so much that cennot be undone. $\nu_{0}$ not leave any within your control.

There is suppesed to be a new arrengement in the office, Hike so many gereements end uncerstancings, it may not exist in any real sense. Jim has agreed to $1 t$. He 1 a leaving the actual kending of the case to Alcock, assisted by Sclambire and tron. Thoy are to restrict themselves $100 \%$ to the ${ }^{24} \mathrm{em}$ Urie ans stufi or what roletes to it. Their iromediate naed is to propore for the trial. The most basic things heve not been done. Some of thein heve been esiced to do and will. J1m will devote bimeelf to such things as getting re-elected, for his campeign ia close now. The informality of the past is to be peat, with no extreneous investigations outaide their jurisaiction. Certain things were essumed of me and + have, ofterward, ogreed. I do not expect $J$ in to get on the phone end epell this out to you. 2his, howetrer, is the essence. I sugeent that phen you apeek to touis you cen learn fof yourself what he will be Willing to sey on the phone. Of course, if the case loses, in will have the out, st lesst in his own mind, that others dia it.

That msy very well be true, but in a different sense.
I Blso sugeest that it is not too soon to etart considering your ? own position and thet of all of ta if the court decialon 19, adverse. Por a lone time, as perhapa you may recall, 1 heve considered that it mey be decided on legel technicslities rether then figct, + have be on quite explicit ebout one part of this, and here I refer to hark end kort.

If I do not give you a pretty picture I do, Inthirik, give jou an acourste one. Teke $e$ good look at it. It may al ready be too lste to learn whut should have been so long ago, whan no one would listen.

Please remember, in these memorands, what mot be of crucial Impdrtence is whst may seem to be of the slighest, the simple, assual thing thet 1: usualiy unnoticed. The peelresl connection for Hepburn comes from whan he asw when. No one paic any attention to it. I checked it out. -t is a connection With whet has been eatabliuhod, oven to Jin's satisfaction, es the other side. I did not tell hime I did tell Louis. So, when you moke notes, include everyone snyone knows, no matteir how allghtly, overyone they to your knowledge saw, Although it can mesn less to me, plesse include who you saw where in surope, with desciptions.

For whatever it is or mey ba north to you, we all meke mistakes, we ell have fallible judgements, we all at some time do whet it later turns out is or mey have been the wrone thing. Thare was, certainly, no comission monopoly. Why, motive, is the controlling thing, et lesst inverdiy, wich is where it really counts, whon later esch of us hes to sseess himself. If it turns out that you may havo done what wes not for the best, you will not be alone anya more then I. I think your motive eill not plegue you.

Heves a eood yenr, all of you. Wy best to you all.
Sincerely,

