

George Evita
107 N. Beacon St.,
Hartford, CT 06105

and Charles Drago 6/21/93
and Jerry Rose

Now that your self-described "research conference" is past, if in rational circles what you engaged in can be styled as research,

On what ^{basis} factual did you request a "research paper" on me as "a significant source of disinformation in the JFK assassination" and "Why?"

Was this your idea and yours alone? Charles Drago? Any other person or persons? If so, who?

And again, on what basis in fact?

Did you, The Third Decade or any others consider whether this is libel?

If the affirmatively-stated "research paper" was proposed by another or others, what qualifications did you perceive in such person or persons?

Did you ascertain whether any such person(s) had or could have had an ulterior purpose, such as inclusion in or relevance to a book?

The stated purpose of your conference, its "Theme", is "Taking the Critical Offensive." Your stated intent is "to initiate an in-depth self-examination within the JFK assassination community (sic)" (or should it be "sick?").

You make it apparent that I as "a significant source of disinformation," meaning serving the government or the assassins, am central in your conference.

What do you consider qualifies any of the persons indicated above and all at The Third Decade to be in a position to propose or offer an informed opinion on me and/or my work in the stated context?


Do you, Drago, Rose or anyone else at The Third Decade or in any way involved in your conference, which you describe as one of "research," consider it to be scholarly to request such a paper on me to coincide with the coming appearance of a commercial book announced to state what you state is the "research" purpose of your convention?

Who suggested and who approved the stated subject of your conference, this alleged "in-depth self-examination" that so closely parallels the stated content of that book?

You expressed the "hope" that you would "reveal the identities of the conspirators," their "methods" and "goals." Was it indicated that the author of a coming book would provide this alleged information? What person or persons did you expect to provide it and did one or they? What were the scholarly credentials of this person or persons?

Was any such "research paper" on me presented? If so, by whom, and may I have a copy of it? Was there ^{any} recorded discussion of it? Was I or my work included in any other alleged "research paper," and if so, may I have a copy or copies if in more than one?

By a copy I ask the same questions of Charles Drago and Jerry Rose.


Harold Weisberg