Dear Howand, Kea Brootan' E visit to you 11/29/76
Your mailiag of the 26 is thelg. Fodny's weil is Iight. I'll use the time to ba Horw axploit than I have baen is the aarbons, if you've had time to read thomo

壇 the sorox of the story on Sprague's apparasce at the Press Club vreairfast you had $\mathrm{g}_{\text {an }}$ irooten's oarci and your nate sayling he had stopped off to see you for a moment, "would like to gat together with mo later to talk to we about the JFX oase and said he had ay letter to Sprague. I told hin I'd be clad to talk."

You probably ant ace your letter to S mrague. I do mot reoall it.
This talcos me beck to the Vecint flap. You were leas witure, leas experienced then, so then I had to be sore carvful not to influence your decision. While I feel somomert the anm way mof ard essuans you will do what you believa to be right, I'm sect going to be as indirect bucauge with your added watwity there it not the nead and it requires teo such time.

In a mease this remede me of Bobby Koaxdy' z apt comraption of Dunte (good authority for it sot being rael, our cimasioa expert erambei) about thet epaeiki cormer of hell reaserved for thome whe is time af ereet moral ariais and so forth.

When Hrooten returas I think you should toll hin thet you rofuse to have anything to do with the somittee under the eximting comaltions and attribute this dirwetily to wis, telling hia I have sont you carbong of uhat I wrote, or at least soav, und that an a to-be lewyer you are offonded at the lack of concerw for legal righte, if you aucue with me because it is over wore offeagive when it comes froe the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {ongrems. }}$

I've probebly not aent you cosien of the Sprague letterm. Jh ham all and can make themeasier.
 on John Ray, is a clear siga of where they aro goiag sed wing.

You nhould koow that they have already made a mass it will be hand to clank up sad that they are rwuilag with the "ane disinformers on Kimg, with the FBI's axd Stata'a and whore writare' doetrine and bellef; and with the nute only on JFK.

Als of today they have yot to ask me for al aiegly record on JFX or a aingle ose of ny boolcs excupt that I think Javeny Akers boagint a Prame-Up when he was herv toward the end of October. Thay did mot aek me for anything from ny ilies on Kiace I offered what I thought they should huve to Akern, the tranecripts of the evideatiary heariag and the suilty-plea hearing. Whan I cantt fixd tixte for the writiag I want to rutura to I an aluead gerwomally on this. Whou $I^{\prime} d$ be edving avay the rwault of much unpaid of rort I suffor mo pracaal loge. However, do I have to aak you to toll youraelf what thic rviliy weate and represente? Egpecially when they are so close to mo can get here so easily?

On $10 / 20$ their research dirwotor, who I keow from hing riporf days uith Abgeg, told
 be equipped with his oun zarox machinep, and expected to athy there antil ho cogided all he wasted. his was not at my invitntiono. jusitasaumad it. This was after I had wititea by requat as youk knom and had been told by Bud, then Dowaing's closest on thes, that ny proposals on apuroach hed bees agraed to.

Ify telling Sprague I'd have no wore to do with the mader te exidting conditiong is orly a whik old so that does mot explain any of this. They werused to piok up Jin's and the State of Demaeasee"s pleadiags before 6 th ciroudt when the made diates to do it ead broke them. Sprague agroed, mayigg he did mot wast him ataff bo be projudiced! by court recorde, of both sides?

You would have haerd frow them anywa, partioularily frow Brooten who cam goe you ald get ropaid for all his trigs howe. But aftor the buildup I guve them you ahould expect to hear from more then kin. I think I told tra you I adviese them to get jour book alone as the staff primer on JFK. $10 / 20$.

Brooten and I had a long phone conversation $11 / 7$, when he bracged about workiag on a Sunday. I wain than pretty hemevy on the Ozcr deal. Brooten's response wan an honeat one, that he would not cive his word if he felt be ootuld mot kesp it. He wlao let Sprague know innwdiated, awothert. But he onliud to awk me about the apoctro easw, which he leazad. about only from your book - three wevic aftor wre met. In the esouing theree wealce wo other word, no requeat for copies of the raconla, etc. But in that mase tive thay took "roder to Dallas for his inguixy into tia Lovelady ahirt. Thoy had his before the comattee
 to Inform the Memberw? It is the Aprague ot al way. And Oger to tell tho li an sbout the time_ned reasou for the destruction of recorde and how the rifle found at $\mathrm{C}_{\text {and }} \mathrm{me}^{2}$ e fired the fimg ahot so they can molve that oase and Swragu to tell them they can wolva it bectuge the killwr is alivw. So tivey investigate a kexphis erime in lhicago and aloag the buadiam boxier and wast to by talking to tie kays but not in or me. Inde, vary obviously, is lase and their bodidag together. (I have a note tojisy sayjug he hua a pleas 1u Midedijht dated $12 / 6_{0}$ )

## If you ased more for a eatalogue of horrore ask.

 and whatever the future may salce possibles and to proseure timan to detach from the buts


 to them, mo mitter how alifht, cive them cover for thia wretehed thing they are nbout.

Ther is no oauee for eostuey frow the staff. Do I have to toll you about the fombora? One, Ford of temkeseep, is convidering roaigaing. Cragier then Domaing and Congalez on fhis axbject they can to, with the odis that fousalez will replace Downing next yoar. Then timere ia Devina, who is a former RDI agent. And Fauntroy, who mow knows when he did with Fane, from more tham (mot evan aokacwladgement of ny lettor).

The other blaci bembers have all bean approuch and all axe silest. "ea i'aysu spoke to Stckes and I thinic Burice. Fhopd mpolce to Fanitroy's a.en and to Burice permonaliy. An of today I have mot heard from a siagie momber and have had not oven an indirect ackmowledgoment from Dovaing in reaponse to the moabs asked of me for hin. While ancage deonet people this would be a complaint, it is not and it is mot my point. It weys that the mats and self-prompters and self-geckers have thea all locked ine.

Surague ish able, clover, oven cunsing, but he is not a cood parson. ba bypitios a11 that is wrone with the forst of professional promacutorg, which is what he is. the malown flues syeochen and writes lauyers' latters, evasdvely. Sie dives his worid and thea broalce 1t, aith Jis and me having lrokem the word he euve us $11 / 17$ on $11 / 36$. We ever



How does he rum an Lavestigation? By toing for ali the digiuformation operatiene and not asking those who say know anythong about them. I illustrato with the kist's eut secosd one, the Fiexican tay on LHO via the the oa the USSing, mot mev at all but mparartly Hen to thom. I have no obiection to caniligg Pidili,gin in to testify. Orcivarily at the futset, where the mectinge are organizational, I'd have wone about executive aessions. But when this clearly is diainforastional they do it in macoutive segaios? Why? We thas heve the comalsaioms all over again, the sams vieas by the mase kinds of crrempiste people. Them they rush off to ieflciel texion. Ine for fun by mot at this stege for investigation or from meed. o question a mypist sid a framalator. If they have been there that loag they are oereer people. The executive branch would fly them gip and that investigetive momey could be savad. The time to use it to co to kexico could be waved for when they kuon

 teatimony, will mot"be available except in the secret filez that will be burisd. Griat.

For the spooks axd the promoqualstis. In a sense this is worae than the WC. They at least deposed those to whos they syoke and left a racord. in this seace the early indiaxtions ane that Soncue aud or this coandttes are mot going to leave a reoord for devils loviag acispturw.

I've already wzittea about pther aspucts of this. But I do wint to eaphasige that the sane poople are doing to thene people exactly whet they did uader the Comosigsion and with this ganges ansemt are gettiag ancy with it. The result will be the same Accoptance of the sate tribic twice in two weoke is a clear raadiag on the comadttwe mad on Sprague, raxging from mophintiostion to courace to integrity and including ailam acocptance. As a sige for the future only thide is terrible.

- I dom $t$ have to read Bixder'r puice I'm gled to get. I will reed it, of courae. But it
 hedged a Little。

We have nens more than exough of the real Spragus, the one the ACLU described mocurately.

 then. Hoving learmed that have means dieneter he mtays uith hame and repeats the mesmothinin.
 he then slac did Mimself.

At thd point and om thie Jin ghomed. He hae Sprague'd vord on the withdrawal or hoiding in aboyusce of the subyoema on dofonee counesl. I forgot to ruaind him it wha returnable today, He in now not aion in befig worried about Spracue. Polieorf, who bsgan
 Gerriaom. I rondaded hin of the impression in my firet zemo, the day I met Siprague.

We are seoing tine real Sprague, not the noophyte lont in a wex fisld. I told Jim what I an matiag you amd he shad only "Yes" geveral tiwes. If Sprague has thime for"the
 holding off ox subpoasems.

I'm sormy I did not have tine to thing this through because I think it caan be ikportant to you permonaliy an well as to tiose fer of us who sre responaible. I thiak it is Honry $V$ who xeforred to "wo ferth befors he want ahad to calt xlobber the "reach. If so it is approprlate. And very inportant for tie country aad for cacency.

Ilve also benc i terrupted aoveral timea, the last by one of my faroyity yoasg vomens one of two suall but chubby AU sophomores. Sla looks on at as aort of a ancond father, her roonsate on 14.4 as that kind of sother. From another of wy youn women friends of whom I'W fond I heve just rwceived a xeroxit of someone'a neat letterieg of this from Wemund Burice: "Alit that is necessary for the forces of ovil to win in tho world is for onough good mea to do mothiago" "lie fow" and = "enough" if we can be wified in what we percoive, believe and ar willieg to des. Or try.

Jiw's parsonal situation ia more coaplicated than wine. "c has to preserve an open
 in dolag as have - and bulieve we wili - I am meetiag mine. I an without doubt that you whil meot youry as you see It. I's hopiag you will see it ae I do.
Bent,

