## Dear Fionend,

7/31/72 (3)
Beain by consibering that my texing the time for this isn't an eso indulgence and romember that you do not talse offense at your professors when they illustrete a point they are trying or have tried to bako. And underetand that as of ticis monert, whe I'll iso into reagins presiature. So, this is a kind of lectum intended neither to pive offense nor to be self-justification. Its pumpose is to opon your bind to that is foreign to you and is almost without excestion not tolerated by the "critical" comurity, some of ay noze foreefin Iettors. As a philocophic basc, reoali that you recently read Ecclesiaster at my ehcompagement, so you know about a tine and a place, hwh?

Yoil are nla familiar with ny Warhington Poet file, so you know that thoir major 5/31/66 pley on assassinetion work, if e doublecross of ree, is the direct result of ny offort there ano thes this of lort, even with the interoession of a member of the house Judiciary Comaittee, after at leagt a year had cone to naught. The change was when I changed the character of my lettur's enil was closs to offensive with Frientiy. The Fost fed the Lalinee, wich did a similar store, but heving no conscignoen to asevege, the Lapines made no mintion of wo at all.

That was a majox break of its day, one on our rore inportant onve, and the direct imnedfate osusi wes what I an sure everyons voulf zegari as an intmperate putburet inon me.

You know the Post'a attitule, the news it won't report, ete. irou I'li ship other auch cases, and success with the governmont is rether conspicuous, as you slould moall, and asain never followad siveetness and light fron me, to the intervuption earlier. It was esain grom the Post. Agein, hat you on nost ould regard as intemperance in a letter to Ben Bradleo, after one of thoir nutby pseuio-scientific mopetitions of the loue-nut hooey. I oncloned a carbon of a piece I had rmitton for the liational Engpirer on prospects, using the "Cen itr. Wotaberg Tranelate" threat as a peg to hone the conepp on.

It took a while so ifler dom, from the in basket on swadlee's deck, but that carbon innily reach d the editorot their Sunday nagacine, Potomee, that eots jver 500,000 frigediate circulation and in number and audience is a bit more inelucntial than, say, tha Teces bBerver. (Ronite Dugger, by the way, was near hore for a year, routing the howe of a rafend about 20 ninutes amey.)

Potoracts editor gave it to his managing editor, who callud the a while zack. I theroafter Baw hin onoe to eive hin the answer to a question he hat asked. Ont of the bluo today he thoned and we talked for nore than a hour. He is going to oell book and he is then to come up. I have beon rather opon with hir, electing to trust hin, but gtipulatine that 2 not be used as either a fool or a tin-plate hero. He has agreed and I expect him to bo honest. As of now, I don't know the form whatever thoy'II do will tike, nor do I lunow if it will come to pass, given the policy control over newspaper content today. Ent I'II teke the tine and the chance, and perhaps we'11 not all pppear to be frustrated nuts.

My point lies in Eocleniastes: there is a time and a place for everything, not only a tine to be bom and a time to die, a time to sow end a time to reap tha which has been sown. There is elso a time for anger, a timo for indignation, and a tino whan it is unmanly not to be. If $X$ nay well be guilty of oberindulgonce on this, and I suicly rocognige the possibillty if not the probability, I oncowage you to laam that it is Nor that whero is
 major discovories. Heve an open rind. Don t be the oreature of nodum edication and itis sterootypes. In the nost recent paet, consider that with all tho rerusels to evon accapt responsibility for my foundations isile and a blind Insistence thet thore wan nome and it would not b: wotwmed, a rather pointed letter to vilich in today's maill you talce axcoption seems to heve gotten at least the promise of its prompt rotum.

Dou't accept blindly. But don't reject blindly, ither. As Al Sinith saili, look at the record. Mhose old boys said it liko it is in Eeclosiastes.
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