7/22/72 Dear Howard, your 7/17 was delayed by insufficient postage. It didn't seem overwight to me, either, but it has 1/2 oz over, so when you include photocopies su gest you do not go by thickness but use the scale a friend gave me and I gave you.

A long and quite unpleasant norming devoted to the trouble-magnet nephew who is being hassled by the police for reasons I can't detect, who was arrested without warrant and on no evidence at all (released without my help, although hundouffed and taken to station after being stopped by at least three zeroing police cars), and whose head does not get aut together easily, restricts the time I have for answer. I've locked at the headlines on the enclosures and will read them when I can (thinks). Your photocopy and description of the Cary envelope duplicate mine. Thanks. You can argue this makes more innecent or more suspect/ I do not think suspect. But for it not to be, a whole lot of sail would have had to have been put in same "supposedly empty equipment". When you write to others to whom he may have sent, please as if this h pened to theirs and if it did for photocopies. Hine was "c ncelled" on the back, too. The stamp cancellation was, I think, different.

Your question of 7/10 first. You ask if there is a death cert in Bud's files with notations in my handwriting. No. What I was referring to is the source Gary says he used to get an "independent" copy. That was what I'd gotten not voluntarily on their part from the Archives, what you did not get sent automatically and I didn't either. When I got it, it was with a covering letter from Rhoads dated, as I recall, 3/12/70. The copy Bud has is part of that he'd asked of me for the suit he was supposed me be handling as my lawyer, quite separate from the CTIA. It is a complete duplication of my Archives files. He asked for it and at considerable cost in paper and time I did it. It soms to have been gone over and put into the CTIA files. My letter is without response to this date. And as of the time I got this from the Archives, as I wrote Gary and carboned you, even if he could consider it "independent" to duplicate my work, knowing it was sine and having accepted copies in confidence as one of my duplicate depositories of all my stuff (no response to date), how could it be "independent" when the source he used he also accepted in confidence? I asked Jim for a copy of the list he said they had gotten from the Archives. He brought it and told me when he gave it to me that from the notation on it it seemed to be mine. To date my original has not been returned. Hor have I gotten any of my stuff back after more than two mears. (This has gotten even more complicated because Dick Billings gave them his files. He apparently took his LIFE files with him when he left LIFE. I then gave him things

in confidence. I have described to Jim what those are and asked for their return and for at least a view of anything he did with what I supplied him. I have in wind two things: what I got from Castorr for him that he was supposed to follow up; and the business of which I think you know nothing, a theft at Loran Hall's spontaneously done for me by one Corky Huston where Dick sent, of all people, LIFE's revanchist Cuben contact/correspondent, Miguel Acoca. Acocu blew the whole thing, Work lost his job and has since had much trouble, we didn't get what he had, etc. You may recall that one of the misleading stories on Cubens in the Wate gate caper was done for the Post by this same Miguel.) Castorr broke off a while back, and I suppose I now have less reason to wonder why. He and his wife had been kind and helpful.

I think you can now better understand Cary's long silence. There is nothing, not even by the remotest indirection, that is not literary thievery, whether intended, whether conceived as in Jorry's deathless words, as the requirement of "good conscience". Daily it becomes less of a figure of speech to describe this search for and misuse of my work as a minor industry.

When Lil rend your letter, she saked if I were giving you typing lessons. You will see why.

I'll not be able to address all of it.

Check on Weeht's "behavior not befitting anyone who calls himself a man" meaning. However, despite the way I feel about him I would not assume "it is very possible that Wecht instilled into Jerry that crap about 'crawling' to me." Thatbis vintage Jerry as I know his mind and is

repetition of Sylvia, I think you can realize, in Jerry's rewording.

Other misuse/theivery: it is in Sylvia's letter you asked for. I never told her what I had or had done on spectro, etc. Yet she refers to it. How does she know? Med, nost likely, but not exclusively. There may have been more. This stack in my mind. With Jim's agreement, unless the book is printed, I'm holding that for the Supreme Court. Or intended to. Again, the arrogant ignorant ar so far out of it. Can you conceive a better forum? Or place to make a public record wa and one that makes it public domain? I think you know he well enough to know that I would not lie about this, but if you want, you know where my suit files are end there you will find a new-stuff, labelled file under spectro. Don't let on to her unless you think she would tell you how she knew. If you think she would, ok. But if you do not let her know. she may inadvertently disclose more such misuse.

By interest in knowing if and when Weeht sees is timing. I have only so much, an spread too thin, and want enough time to think of what may thereafter be necessary. It is not to interfere, etc. From what 'im told me, he may well have seen already, the impression I got from your words, because as my letter or meno indicate, he is making demands. Vithout knowing what he demands is not included, how can he make demands? And for what that hour conference with Lifton at the Pgh airport? I have not, by the way, done what I'd like to be able to, let it be known that he used Lifton. I presume Sylvia knows. It would be good for Cary to know, too. He ought to be beginning to underst ad what he is into and has done. Having done the inexcusable and being deep in self-justification, one of the few things that can jur lim is lifton. He knows Lifton is insome and knows Sylvia and Jerry know it. J did. 'ou didn't have to tall him. He know chapter and verse and lies if he denies. I told him. Warned hft, I should say.

our long p.1 graph Sylvin: I dig. She know she'd be tempted to steal and there is nobody else from whom she can. I had taken a less ungenrous view hence was lead to misunderstan

your interpretation. Of such is the kingdom.

Liebeler: if she can see no connection with the only thing that can have a connection, sich the state of her mind, her ability to think where passion gets involved. Matter of fact, she told no Norton had returned her book after deciding to go for Liebeler's as yet unwritten, which can let you evaluate what you should know she had written that anything can get published and her's had no trouble. No doubt why it took from 1965 to late 1967.

Your graph on the "nads of the WC" looses me, as I must lose others. I loaned her

Excess, as I recall. Could you rephrase this grach, which seems significant?

You are probably right in giving two laternatives about whatever the change in her is, that it is due to my letters, the second remaining unanswered and possibly the first only being involved, of she was cottoning to you, trying to con of soft-soap. You disclose no new contacts, so I presume there have been none. It may be difficult for her to change, but she has such a mind I believe she can is she can detach from her passions and lusts and yearning and past. Not impossible, in my view. Agreed unlikely. Esp after she gets over

being off uppers.

She eliminated my reason for not sending a copy to you by telling you essentially the same thing I didn t want to spread around. I am sure you realize the potential for misuse against her of 20 years on uppers! But that was not until after I had gotten and responded to her latter. If you say her letter was sorrier than my response indicated, I think I can take it was I was neither unkind nor unfair. I've heard too often that "how much everybody leven you and respects you " routine from too many who never meant it and so often acted the op seite to be influenced by it, but I guess you are right that she was making a play for my sympathies. As you do not say, she at no point responded to anything, never made contact with reality, and I think she lines and knows it.

Now that you dig the NeG opening, can you better understand that "good conscience" and what it may cost? I have the Herris book. I've not read it, but I expect I have what is not in it and know I can fefer to what is not that I opened for another, part of that long career of suppressing and sitting on things, no doubt, huh? You last sentence discloses you do get the overall context of all the policies involved and that the CLA-mil-industrial complex is more involved than meany's personal feelings. He's part, anyway.

Gotta get to other things. Thanks and best,