Goting too forgotiful. Pjought I'd mailod tilis und didn't think I'd aiked yon to do antyine on lime bocaus of your wowk on your book. Thentles ior ye torday' mailing. I've gone over the lo ulines on the enclosures and will road tinca with interest. Un the psycho dept at Pem: Whon you are them again, if you h ve tia, an il the paychiatry dopt is separate, woul you please mako the sane inquiry there?

In rotumins sifl letter you nake no content. I presune this is intontional, wich is ok, or thent you ar too busy, also ole as anothor onclosure will shov, I'V heard from CrIA of other cil offorts. I'vo had nothing; olse to may.

Fortunutuly, Lil typed an extra copy of the unt bio enclosed from contanporury authors. I asked hur to hake carbons so II coild send around. Il vine forgotion I'd asked you, I didn's send. If y u have a personal interest in this, it lists 11 bools not in tho Penn catalogue anl, of course, your iist includes those it doesn't.

It is intorestin, that his home adress is listed for radrid 1966-7 an there is no fedoral asaigurent (cover) to nates, for he was then woriand for CIL and still listed hinself as competed with Littauer and Willinson, witil 1968-9. Fot there!

The Tracy Place IMI ed ress wa a good adress, close to domtom, not far fron Conn. and hass ives (both of which mele, with a nurber of snall embassies and military-attache of ifices nearly. The linliwood Lane address indicates he was moving farthur our of blackening dormtom, possibly also to a plusher place, or a 1 reger one as fanily increased, and present Potomac adiress one of strankier (ovor if Lijl $^{2}$, was bom there).
bue of the intereatine things is his interest (apparantly non-riction, nowning work) on povies. I thinis he ula did anothor. Yet not livtod as hobieg. I don't thinks ho did the at kind of book for the noney ho coutd expect in it. Yes, you list the other.

We fron Chave a clatined $\omega C$ adiress for $L$ of $W$ whos letterhead indic. tes none. We'J. do sone choclenge.

If you have no intorest in this separato frou holpin: no, lot the lhol: If you do, I'11 carbon you. I did a lons nomo sunder.

I'll also establish a diunt bio ifle widor this buging filo.
Ho worl Proa Gris, JP, She I hope you can see for yourself the complete irmesponsibilily in the loundutions file natter in tho context of tho interest of which you lenou, whether or not it is followed up dom here. Without that ip this interest is exprossed further, do you re lize the loss? Do you think anvone could now und a take to duplicate that work?

I take lifs' silence to botoken embarmasmont at what I told him in tho last lotiex, so long ago ho can the that busy. Incidontly, now that Jin knows the copy he gave ne was made from a cooy of hat Bud has as ny larrer and was inproperly, in the legal sonse, in the CIIA filles, and after two years of promise to rotum all my natorial, I a ait the fir t page and whon he was here yesterday, du pito ny requeat for my oricinal (I kno. he could have nade a copy in either of their offices), he did not brin; it. The rocord of unp trustrorthiness is uriortunutely ride. I'll now have to wite grS about what I runombered in thinitis of this earlier, Agent osvald naterial he got for ne, where I told hin to look, whon he was visitins herc. I don't want that kind of "good conscience" to oxtend to that, which I've carried nuch farthur and havo existing open further loadso

EC Hilliums phoned no lant niight. He has changed jobs. $H_{0}$ is "isturbed about this, saying he can't finci hingolf doubting Jerry's intontions anc can't find hinself trusting Jerry's judgonent. I've told hin only that I had broken because he not Jorry thru ne. I think he put it fairly well. I told hin I think the rocord shows delusion, self-justifications and not only bad judgracnt but so litile basis for malding any either way it can't be a sirple question of bas juugunent. $H_{0}$ is in touch wi.th IBS/

Thise a.in. the draw cord on oue of our drapes, onc of the gaziler oncs in the roon you use when you are year, brole. I've nevre replaced one of thom, 'hisis is tour fether's fisld. If he has any literature on hov to do it, I'd ap reciate it. The rods are IIrsch, I think. We use then ruroly for tho reasons: we like the yiews too much; and they worls too herd. The only ones tint con't work ark are thos we dian t have to roline when we moved in. Whey were relinod with a heavier naterial, which I prowue causes the difference. Maybe not anough support. Tho people who did the rulininc wore to add extra bracket hencers but never did and havagonce out of buriness. So, I suppose I'll have no:e to ruplace in tinc. The second reason is bocaus we don't ordinarily neod the insulation or the rivacy. But it is very hot, honce I wanted to dahed Lil when I broke this one. Dest and thanks, H I

