

6/27-IR-On placing a call to a friend, I was reminded on something. The unfortunate mess in which you are innocently involved fell upon me when only other things were in my mind. I was quite serious in reporting that the bigger the stink Cyril can make, the better my not promising selfish interests. So, this call, on the chance of promoting some interest on the part of that friend of the past. He was not available. But it made me think. Several aspects of what you reported have bugged me much. Self-seek charges by the self-seekers. And a newswriter (courts) triggered. The papers I filed in the clothing suit, more than book length, became public domain on filing. Now DON'T advertise, but remember I offered everyone a set, including Sylvia? Remember my spectro suit? Everything in it is public domain. Did I not run the same risk in the Ray suit when I had in my possession unofficial copies of that for which I sued, when I had the entire book written and no possibility of contract when I filed the suit? And on the other hand, has SM filed ANY suit, for ANYTHING? Has Cyril, even ~~in~~ when I, not he although it is his alleged filed, developed the proof that the contract was illegal? He was privy to all of this. So, any time beginning the end of 1968, if his are the pretended purposes, he could have filed suit for what he now is to see, and need I remind you how much more significant it could have been at that time and in that context? This thing disturbs me much more than the theft of my stuff by my once trusted. (And by the way, I have checked and it does appear that I do own the literary rights to the stuff that Jerry is passing around, in essentially the representation I made to you and SM poolpoosed). It comes back to me now, when I met Cyril in the Halleck case, I also asked him to handle some FBI assassination/medical cases. He declined, as I think he later did in writing. And do you realize the potential plus the safer context if it had been possible to do this in the form of charges (quite explicit in PH) against those really guilty, really responsible? But in that this cabal so devoted to "purity" had no interest. Ask yourself if you can consider a better and safer approach than any of those I ~~supposedly~~ proposed much earlier in evaluating the present posture of the purists and any possible motives they may or may not have. The more I think of this the easier it is to read in really evil things I do not really believe to be factual, the record is that bad. HW