Hosrd (Dick),
This is the time of the day the sun heats my office up and I've whet fina heet cunongonial, a large case of chiggers, all over, so this acinolwedgemint of your 6/6/ neon on CEs 59 and 60 and your $6 / 6$ to Dick will be siant. I hope.

You are correct on CEB A A ther FBI 60. I heve studied the pitoo they will not make ovailable in coples, the one I mrote you about. Tnose slits were never made by a bullet or a irsppont and, as I earlierauggested, vere likely Irom a scalpel. I am going to sue for tilis PBI exhibit in photographic form litchell has not yet resporded to thisnone. And I'm not hurrving him.

You are probably right about link. ha probsbly had a base picture io de and, like others workine with the metemels of otiners, dion't understend what it proves. Let me kmom what Archives replies. Iffton has 'hink's pictures, or some of them, but do NON aek him for thig.

From your earlien oc respondence his pictures were teiken earlie r and the fragment was missinz before mine was teken. But tnis is assuming Arch honesty, as I do not slmeys do. I tell you thet before the Tink picture wes taken, there was no loose metal, for I did examine it with care, nut of the case. Hovever, when my picture wee mede. Johnson showed. mo what he said were finiz's. and there vas no base picture included. His doss not meen there was none.

Let me edd this ceution: without seeing tie footsge, you cannot be certain ink was shoving whot you sey. Fe is a professor, end ho may have beer oointing out, on a sincle side view, for the benefit of those in the sudience he might have thought othervise migit not have understood the nomenclisture, which end was the nose, which the base.

Best to both,
H H

